Abstract

TAILORING THE GEOMETRY OF MICRON SCALE RESONATORS TO
OVERCOME VISCOUS DAMPING
MARGARITA VILLA

Improving the quality factor of the mechanical oscillations of micron scale beams in a viscous fluid, such as water, is an open challenge of direct relevance to the development of future technologies. We study the stochastic dynamics of doubly-clamped micron scale beams in a viscous fluid driven by Brownian motion. We use a thermodynamic approach to compute the equilibrium fluctuations in beam displacement that requires only deterministic calculations. From calculations of the autocorrelations and noise spectra we quantify the beam dynamics by the quality factor and resonant frequency of the fundamental flexural mode over a range of experimentally accessible geometries. We carefully study the effects of the grid resolution, domain size, linear response, and time-step for the numerical simulations. We consider beams with uniform rectangular cross-section and explore the increased quality factor and resonant frequency as a baseline geometry is varied by increasing the width, increasing the thickness, and decreasing the length. The quality factor is nearly doubled by tripling either the width or the height of the beam. Much larger improvements are found by decreasing the beam length, however this is limited by the appearance of additional modes of dissipation. Overall, the stochastic dynamics of the wider and thicker beams are well predicted by a two-dimensional approximate theory beyond what may be expected based upon the underlying assumptions, whereas the shorter beams require a more detailed analysis.
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1. The baseline geometry of a doubly-clamped beam used in the numerical simulations, case (1). The beam aspect ratios are \( \frac{L}{w} \), \( \frac{L}{h} \), and \( \frac{w}{h} \). The beam is composed of silicon with Young’s modulus \( E = 210 \text{ GPa} \), density \( \rho_b = 3100 \text{ kg/m}^3 \) and the fluid is water with \( \rho_f = 997 \text{ kg/m}^3 \), \( \mu = 8.56 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kg/ms} \). All simulations are performed at room temperature with \( T = 300K \).

2. Comparison of the resonant frequency in vacuum \( \omega_0 \) and the spring constant \( k \) using Euler-Bernoulli beam theory \( (\omega_0, k) \) and finite element numerical simulations \( (\omega'_0, k') \). Analytical results are found using Eqs. (19) and (18). Also shown are the ratios \( \omega'_0/\omega_0 \) and \( k'/k \).

3. Grid resolution of the eight doubly-clamped beams used in the simulations. \( N_x \) is the number of cells in the \( x \)-direction. \( N_y \) is the number of cells in the \( y \)-direction. \( N_z \) is the number of elements in the \( z \)-direction. The three-dimensional fluid simulations have a total number of elements in the beam and a total number of elements in the fluid. The addition of the beam and fluid elements yield the total number of elements of the simulation given in the final column.

4. The magnitude of the Stokes boundary layer \( \delta_s \) and the size of the numerical domain with respect to \( \delta_s \). Number of Stokes layers variations with respect to the geometry cases are studied: \( \frac{L_x}{\delta_s} \) is the number of Stokes layers in the \( x \)-direction, \( \frac{L_y}{\delta_s} \) is the number of Stokes layers in the \( y \)-direction and \( \frac{L_z}{\delta_s} \) is the number of Stokes layers in the \( z \)-direction.
The frequency parameters and Reynolds numbers determined from the numerical simulations. The frequency based Reynolds number in vacuum \( R_0 \), the frequency based Reynolds number in fluid \( R_f \) and the velocity based Reynolds number in fluid \( R_u \). \( R_u \) is evaluated at the maximum deterministic velocity of the beam \( U_{max} \). \( F_0 \) is the magnitude of the step force. The values of \( R_0 \), \( R_f \) and \( R_u \) are determined using the finite numerical simulations.

The number of time steps per oscillation used in the numerical simulations. \( P_v/\Delta t \) is the number of steps per oscillation in vacuum, \( P_f/\Delta t \) is the number of steps per oscillation in fluid, \( \Delta t \) is the time step in vacuum, and \( \Delta x_{min} \) is the smallest side of a finite element in the three-dimensional model.

The eight geometries of doubly-clamped beams used in the numerical simulations. Case (1) is the baseline geometry and the remaining cases are variations of this geometry. The beam aspect ratios are \( L/w \), \( L/h \), and \( w/h \). The horizontal lines separate the different studies performed: the baseline geometry, variation in width, variation in height, and variation in length. The beams are composed of silicon with Young’s modulus \( E = 210 \) GPa, density \( \rho_b = 3100 \) kg/m\(^3\) and the fluid is water with \( \rho_f = 997 \) kg/m\(^3\), \( \eta = 8.56 \times 10^{-4} \) kg/ms. All simulations are performed at room temperature with \( T = 300K \).
The geometry variations with respect to the baseline geometry given by case (1) with \((L', w', h')\). Cases (2) and (3) explore increasing width, cases (4) and (5) explore increasing thickness, and cases (6)-(8) explore decreasing length. Also shown are the spring constant \(k\), the frequency based Reynolds number in vacuum \(R_0\), and the mass loading parameter \(T_0\). The values of \(k\) and \(R_0\) are determined using finite element simulations.

The stochastic dynamics of the beams in fluid. Shown is the frequency based Reynolds number in fluid \(R\), the reduction in the resonant frequency \(\omega_f/\omega_0\), and the quality factor \(Q\). Also shown is the improvement of the quality with respect to that of case (1) given by \(Q' = 0.8\). \(Q^\dagger\) and \(\omega_f/\omega_0^\dagger\) are the results predicted from analytical theory using Eqs. (11) and (17).
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1. A schematic of the fluid flow around a cross-section of a doubly-clamped beam of length \( L \), width \( w \), and thickness \( h \). The flexural oscillations are in the \( z \)-direction and the dashed line represents the Stokes layer of thickness \( \delta_s \). The schematic also shows the regions of potential and viscous flow around the vibrating beam [39].

2. Scanning electron micrograph of a doubly-clamped beam used in recent experiments demonstrating thermoelastic actuation in vacuum and air [7].

3. (a) A schematic of a cantilever beam with length \( L \), width \( w \), and height \( h \) with uniform rectangular cross-section. (b) A doubly-clamped beam used in the numerical simulations with length \( L \), width \( w \), and height \( h \) with uniform rectangular cross-section.

4. A schematic of a doubly-clamped beam with length \( L \), width \( w \) and height \( h \) with uniform rectangular cross-section. The beam geometry parameters are given in Table 1. (a) The \( x-z \) plane of the beam. (b) The \( y-z \) plane of the beam illustrating the rectangular cross-section. In our simulations the beam is immersed in room temperature water and we compute the stochastic dynamics of the fundamental flexural mode driven by Brownian motion.
(a) The predicted variation of the quality factor $Q$ for the stochastic displacement of a beam immersed in a viscous fluid with respect to the nondimensional frequency parameter $R_0$ and mass loading parameter $T_0$. (b) The predicted variation of the resonant frequency in fluid $\omega_f$ with respect to $R_0$ and $T_0$. In both panels five curves are shown for $T_0 = 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8$. The bounding two curves are labeled with the remaining curves in sequential order. $R_0$ is evaluated at the resonant frequency of the beam in vacuum. The quality $Q$ is determined by evaluating Eq. (17) at $\omega_f$ where $\omega_f$ is the frequency that maximizes Eq. (11).

A schematic of a doubly-clamped beam used in the numerical simulations with length $L$, width $w$, and height $h$ with uniform rectangular cross-section. (a) The $x-z$ plane of the beam in fluid. The beam is supported by a rigid support of width $w$ on each side. The beam is light grey and the two rigid supports are darker grey. The supports are used to minimize the effects of the bounding side walls for the short-wide geometries. (b) The $y-z$ plane of the beam illustrating the rectangular cross-section. In our simulations the beam is immersed in room temperature water and we compute the stochastic dynamics of the fundamental flexural mode driven by Brownian motion. In the following figures the vertical displacement of the beam at $x = L/2$ is referred to as $z_1(t)$ for thermally induced fluctuations and $Z_1(t)$ for the deterministic ring down simulations.
The autocorrelations and noise spectra of equilibrium fluctuations in beam displacement. (a) The autocorrelation, normalized using $k/(k_B T)$. (b) The noise spectra, normalized by the peak value, $G(\omega_f)$. 

The deterministic response and power spectrum of the doubly-clamped beam in vacuum. (a) The deterministic response of the beam due to an applied step force. (b) The power spectrum, a dashed line indicates the frequency that maximizes the power spectrum to yield $\omega_0'$. 

The deterministic ring-down of the beam in fluid due to the application of a step force. $Z_f$ is the steady state displacement of the beam. $Z_{max}$ is the maximum displacement of the beam. 

A three-dimensional numerical domain of a doubly-clamped beam with 10 elements in the $y$-direction, 30 elements in the $x$-direction and 2 elements in the $z$-direction. 

Grid resolution for cases (1-6). The schematic shows a single quadrant of the fluid and the beam domain. The doubly-clamped beam is located at the center of the volume. The volumes around the beam are composed of fluid. The external surfaces represent the walls bounding the fluid domain. The dashed lines are symmetry boundaries. (a) The $x-z$ plane grid resolution, symmetrically about $x$ and $z$. (b) The $y-z$ plane grid resolution, symmetrically about $y$ and $z$. 

xi
Grid resolution for cases (7-8). The schematic includes the fluid and beam. The schematic shows a single quadrant of the fluid and the beam domain. The doubly-clamped beam is located at the center of the volume fixed by two supports. The volumes around the beam are composed of fluid. The external surfaces represent the walls bounding the fluid domain. The dashed lines are symmetry boundaries. (a) The $x-z$ plane grid resolution, symmetrically about $x$ and $z$. (b) The $y-z$ plane grid resolution, symmetrically about $y$ and $z$.

Normalized magnitude of the velocity between the beam and the wall in the $z$-direction. The velocity magnitude $|U|$ has been normalized by the maximum velocity $|U_{max}|$. The distance between the beam and the wall in the $z$-direction is normalized by the Stokes length $\delta_s$.

A schematic of the fluid flow regions around a doubly-clamped beam in the $z-y$ plane. The figure is not drawn to scale. The outer box represents a no-slip wall. The beam surface is $15\delta_s$ from the wall.

A schematic of the domain around a doubly-clamped beam of length $L$, width $w$, and height $h$ with a uniform cross section. Shown are the wall-beam distance separations $L_x$, $L_y$, $L_z$ in the $x$, $y$ and $z$-directions respectively. (a) Numerical domain in the $z-x$ plane. For cases 7 and 8, $L_x$ represents the length of supports. (b) Numerical domain in the $z-y$ plane.

Quality factor as a function of the number of time steps. The geometry used is case (5) in Table 7. The solid line is a power fit to the data.
The error $E_t$ as a function of time resolution. The geometry is case (5) in Table 7. The solid line is a power fit to the data and yields $E_t \sim \Delta t^{1.86}$.

The autocorrelations and noise spectra of equilibrium fluctuations in beam displacement as a function of beam width from numerical results: case 1 ($w'$), case 2 (2$w'$), case 3 (3$w'$). (a) The autocorrelations, the results have been normalized using $k/(k_B T)$ for each case. (b) The noise spectra, the results have been normalized by the peak value for each case, $G(\omega_f)$.

(color) Comparison of numerical results with analytical predictions for $Q$ and $\omega_f$ as a function of width $w$ and height $h$. The circles (blue) are for increasing width and the squares (red) are for increasing height. The solid line is the analytical prediction for increasing width and the dashed line is the analytical prediction for increasing height. To place all values on a single plot $\xi = w/w'$ for the varying width results and $\xi = h/h'$ for the varying height results.

The autocorrelations and noise spectra as a function of beam height from numerical results: case 1 ($h'$), case 4 (2$h'$), case 5 (3$h'$). (a) The normalized autocorrelations. (b) The normalized noise spectra.

The autocorrelations and noise spectra as a function of beam length from numerical results: case 1 ($L'$), case 6 ($L'/3$), case 7 ($L'/5$), case 8 ($L'/37.5$). (a) The normalized autocorrelations. (b) The normalized noise spectra.
22 The variation of the quality $Q$, panel (a), and of the resonant frequency in fluid $\omega_f/\omega_0$, panel (b), by decreasing the length $L$ of the beam relative to the value of case (1) given by $L'$. The triangles are the results from numerical simulation and the solid line is the analytical prediction.

23 The transverse fluid velocity $u_z$ for cases 1-8 along the line beginning at $(0.0,0,0,0.01\mu m)$ and ending at $(L,0,0,0.01\mu m)$ from the deterministic numerical simulations where the beam velocity is at its maximum value. The baseline geometry is shown as the dashed line, cases 2-7 are the solid lines, and case 8 is the dash-dot line.

24 Flow field velocity vectors around a doubly-clamped beam for case 1 at the instant of time where the beam is at its maximum velocity. (a) Flow field over the beam in the $y-z$ plane. (b) Flow field around the beam in the $x-z$ plane. The dashed vertical line represents symmetry the boundary at $x = L/2$.

25 Flow field velocity vectors around the doubly-clamped beam for case 7 at the instant of time where the beam is at its maximum velocity. (a) Flow field over the beam in the $y-z$ plane. (b) Flow field around the beam in the $x-z$ plane. The dashed vertical line represents the symmetry boundary at $x = L/2$. 
The normalized axial velocity from numerical simulation. (a) The axial velocity found varying the width and height of the baseline geometry. (b) The axial velocity found when decreasing the length of the baseline geometry. The results for the baseline geometry are given by the dashed line. The axial velocities are computed along a line in the $x$-direction with origin $(0,0,0.01\mu m)$ and end-point $(L,0,0.01\mu m)$, see Fig. 6 for definitions of coordinate directions. The velocity is normalized by $u_0$ for each case where $u_0$ is the maximum transverse velocity which occurs at $x = L/2$. The abscissa is normalized by the length $L$ for each case.
Nomenclature

\( \hat{f} \) Denotes a parameter in the frequency domain, i.e. \( \hat{f}(\omega) \)

\( ' \) Denotes values obtained using finite element simulations (unless otherwise noted), i.e. \( Q' \)

\( \langle \rangle \) Equilibrium ensemble average

\( R_0 \) Frequency parameter at resonant frequency in vacuum

\( R_f \) Frequency parameter at the resonant frequency in fluid

\( R_u \) Reynolds Number

\( T_0 \) Mass loading parameter

\( \delta_s \) Stokes length, (m)

\( E \) Young’s modulus, (N/m\(^2\))

\( \eta \) Mass per unit length, (kg/m)

\( \eta_E \) Error, (\%)\n
\( f_0 \) Resonant frequency in vacuum, (Hz)

\( F_0 \) Force magnitude, (N)

\( G(\omega) \) Noise spectrum (m\(^2\)/Hz)

\( \gamma_f(\omega) \) Fluid damping, (kg/s)

\( \Gamma(\omega) \) Hydrodynamic function

\( \Gamma_i(\omega) \) Imaginary part of the hydrodynamic function

\( \Gamma_r(\omega) \) Real part of the hydrodynamic function

\( I \) Moment of inertia, (m\(^4\))

\( k \) Spring constant, (N/m)

\( k_B \) Boltzmann’s constant (1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J/K})

\( Kn \) Knudsen number

\( K_1, K_0 \) Bessel functions

\( w \) Beam width, (m)

\( h \) Beam thickness, (m)

\( L \) Beam length, (m)
λ Average free path between molecular collisions, (m)

$m_{cyl}$ Mass of a cylinder of fluid, (kg)

$m_e$ Effective mass, (kg)

$m_f$ Fluid loaded mass, (kg)

$\mu$ Dynamic viscosity, (kg/m s)

$\nu_f$ Kinematic viscosity, (m$^2$/s$^2$)

$N_x$ Number of finite elements in the $x$-direction

$N_y$ Number of finite elements in the $y$-direction

$N_z$ Number of finite elements in the $z$-direction

$\omega$ Frequency, (rad/s)

$\tilde{\omega}$ Reduced frequency

$\omega_0$ Resonant frequency in vacuum, (rad/s)

$\omega_f$ Resonant frequency in fluid, (rad/s)

$p$ Pressure, (N/m$^2$)

$Q$ Quality factor

$\rho_b$ Solid density, (kg/m$^3$)

$\rho_f$ Fluid density, (kg/m$^3$)

$t$ Time, (s)

$\Delta t$ Time step, (s)

$T$ Temperature, (K)

$\vec{u}$ Velocity vector, (m/s)

$U$ Velocity, (m/s)

$U_{max}$ Maximum velocity, (m/s)

$u_x$ Axial velocity, (m/s)

$u_z$ Transverse velocity, (m/s)

$z_1(t)$ Stochastic beam displacements, (m)

$\langle x^2 \rangle$ Mean-squared displacement, (m$^2$)

$Z_f$ Steady state displacement of $Z_1(t)$, (m)
\( Z_1(t) \) \quad \text{Deterministic deflections, (m)}

\( \langle z_1(t), z_1(t) \rangle \) \quad \text{Autocorrelation of equilibrium fluctuations, (m²)}

\( \gamma_f \) \quad \text{Viscous damping, (N s/m)}

\( \alpha \) \quad \text{Correction factor for equivalent mass}

\( f \) \quad \text{Frequency, (Hz)}

\( P_v \) \quad \text{Period of oscillation in vacuum, (s)}

\( P_f \) \quad \text{Period of oscillation in fluid, (s)}

\( N_v \) \quad \text{Number of time steps per oscillation in vacuum}

\( N_f \) \quad \text{Number of time steps per oscillation in fluid}

\( \Delta t_f \) \quad \text{Time step in fluid, (s)}

\( L_x \) \quad \text{Wall-beam distance separations in the } x \text{-direction, (m)}

\( L_y \) \quad \text{Wall-beam distance separations in the } y \text{-direction, (m)}

\( L_z \) \quad \text{Wall-beam distance separations in the } z \text{-direction, (m)}
1 Introduction

There is a growing need for fast and sensitive micro and nanoscale sensors and actuators that operate in viscous fluid environments. Cutting edge research involving micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS) has the potential to make a significant impact on the fields of medicine, fluid mechanics, and biology [57]. MEMS offer opportunities for sensitive chemical, biological, and physical measurements [4, 5, 40]. Indeed, MEMS are being actively used for mass detection of single molecules in vacuum [3, 56]. With this in mind, one of our main motivations is the prospective that micron scale technology could bring a remarkably fast, on-chip, and robust sensor for technological applications in a viscous fluid.

Many current micro scale technologies are based upon the dynamics of small elastic beams in viscous fluid because of their performance characteristics and high quality factor observed in vacuum [7, 24, 38, 51]. The quality factor $Q$ is the ratio of energy stored by the potential and kinetic energy of the beam, and fluid, to the energy dissipated per oscillation. Currently, experimentalists are trying to obtain high quality factors, $Q \gtrsim 50$, for small beams oscillating in viscous fluid. However, this is a challenge as the beams become smaller because the quality factor gets significantly lower due to the dominance of viscous damping over inertia. The unsteady fluid boundary layer formed around the oscillating solid adds significantly to the added mass which also reduces the resonant frequency of the beam. The Stokes length $\delta_s$ is the thickness of this unsteady viscous boundary layer [46], see Fig. 1. The Stokes length is inversely proportional to the frequency as $\omega^{-1/2}$ and increases dramatically when a beam is placed in a viscous fluid. This large boundary layer thickness is a problem for many of the proposed applications of MEMS devices in viscous fluids.

Indeed, due to the length, force and time scales involved, the dynamics of micro scale beams in fluid is dominated by viscosity, while inertia is insignificant.
This problem has attracted considerable attention [29, 31, 43]. With this in mind, it is important to build a physical understanding of micro and nano scale resonators and to develop appropriate analytical models that predict the resulting dynamics and quality factors of the devices in viscous fluid. With a solid understanding of the system, it is anticipated that one could fabricate efficient structures with high quality factors in fluid. This thesis focuses on the physics and modeling issues related to the dynamics of micro scale resonators in viscous fluid to overcome these challenges.

![Doubly-Clamped Beam](image)

Figure 1: A schematic of the fluid flow around a cross-section of a doubly-clamped beam of length $L$, width $w$, and thickness $h$. The flexural oscillations are in the $z$-direction and the dashed line represents the Stokes layer of thickness $\delta_s$. The schematic also shows the regions of potential and viscous flow around the vibrating beam [39].

Experimental fabrication and measurements are rapidly approaching the range of $1 \sim 100\text{nm}$ [2]. An understanding of the length, time, and force scales involved is important and it is interesting to compare with relevant biological systems. For a perspective of the length scale: a human hair has a diameter of about $100\mu\text{m}$, a DNA molecule has a diameter of about $2\text{nm}$, and the diameter
of a single hydrogen atom is about 0.1nm [53]. In this thesis, the nominal dimensions of the studied micron scale beams are between 4µm - 15µm for the length, 0.4µm - 5µm for the width, and 0.1µm - 0.34µm for the thickness.

For a perspective of the force scales of biological systems, the breaking and manipulation of chemical bonds occur at the piconewton scale (1 pN = 1 × 10^{-12} N). For example, the force needed to unzip a DNA molecule at room temperature is 9 ∼ 20pN [18]. Similarly, the time scales are typically related to chemical reaction rates, protein motion, and protein deformation. For example, protein conformation changes occur on the order of one femtosecond (1 fs = 1 × 10^{-15}s) to a few seconds [6]. The nominal period of oscillation of the studied micro scale beams in fluid are 0.1 ∼ 0.3µs. With these biological length, time, and force scales in mind, it is apparent that the dynamics of biological phenomena are comparable to the dynamics of micro scale devices.

Currently, an analytical theory that predicts the dynamics of complex beam geometries of micron scale devices in viscous fluid is not available. However, the dynamics of an infinitely long cylinder oscillating in fluid is well understood [27, 41, 50]. It is possible to use this theory as an approximation of the beam geometries under study here. However, it is not known how accurate this theory is when applied to these micron scale structures of finite size. For this reason, we have performed a computational study and use a time dependent, three-dimensional, finite element method to quantify the beam dynamics [28].

The dynamics of a waving cantilever in fluid is a difficult fluid-solid interaction problem with moving boundaries and complex geometries. We solve this fluid-solid interaction problem using an available pressure based algorithm [55]. We are most interested in the resonant frequency and quality factor of the geometries studied. Our results quantify the range of applicability of the analytical theory and yield the deviations of the theory for the more extreme geometries.
Computations, such as these, are critical for the development of micron scale sensors that operate in viscous fluid environments.

The rapid growth of micron scale science and technology over the past two decades has been largely enabled by advances in fabrication [23]. The basic fabrication approach for MEMS using upon silicon technology involves electron beam lithography and micromachining techniques. These production techniques can be used to make complex shapes and well defined electromechanical structures. The technology is based on planar concepts using a combination of electron beam lithography, silicon micromachining techniques, dry etching processes, and lift-off processes [19]. These processes allow the design of various geometries that form mechanical resonators and by metallisation these can be coupled to electrical circuits. Micron and nanoscale applications are usually multidisciplinary and often include electromagnetic, structural, thermal, and fluid interactions [7, 23, 26].

1.1 Modes of Actuation

There are many methods developed to actuate MEMS devices that vary depending on the intended application. Driving methods can use an external apparatus, thermal bombardment of fluid molecules, or an on-device mechanism. For example, two methods are the thermo-optic drive [51] and magnetomotive drive [54]. The optical drive and detection method uses an amplitude-modulated driving diode laser and a continuous wave detection laser. The amplitude tuning of the driving laser causes the resonant actuation of the beam causing the angle of the detection laser to change [51].

The magnetomotive actuation and detection method uses a static magnetic field to induce an electromagnetic force on a beam. Such a beam creates a conducting loop. Since the beam is inside a static magnetic field, a force can be
induced by applying an alternating current through the beam. Therefore, the
frequency of the current determines the frequency of oscillation. In addition,
detection of the beam displacement can be obtained by using an electronic
operational amplifier [20, 23].

Another externally driven technique is to use the inherent thermal motion
of the molecules themselves. This driving technique exploits the Brownian mo-
tion [1, 11, 54]. For micron scale beams immersed in fluid, the random motion
of the fluid molecules induces stochastic fluctuations in the beam displacement.
Extensive studies have been done to quantify the dynamics of beams driven by
Brownian motion [12, 13, 14, 33].

An elegant on-device technique is thermoelastic actuation [7]. This technique
eliminates the use of an external apparatus and the resulting drive dominates
the effects of Brownian motion. Thermoelastic actuation expands and contracts
the beam due to heating and cooling the top layer of the beam. Thermoelas-
tic actuation is a robust and compact approach for use with MEMS devices.
For example, thermoelastic actuation has been successfully demonstrated for
doubly-clamped beams in vacuum and air [21]. In this case, the system was
made of silicon nitride with thermoelastic actuation and piezoelectric detection
resulting in megahertz (MHz) frequency resolution, see Fig. 2.

Figure 2: Scanning electron micrograph of a doubly-clamped beam used in re-
cent experiments demonstrating thermoelastic actuation in vacuum and air [7].

Another approach is piezoelectric actuation [34]. A piezoelectric element in-
duces beam displacements by converting an electric field into mechanical strain.
The beam is typically driven at mechanical resonance by varying the applied voltage. A separate piezoelectric element is utilized as a sensor of the beam displacement [2].

1.2 The Challenge of High Quality Oscillations in Fluid

In a fluid environment, the relative magnitude of viscous forces to inertial forces is large resulting in a dramatic reduction in the quality factor and resonant frequency of the fundamental mode of oscillation. For example, the dynamics of a nanoscale cantilever in water can be overdamped [37]. Several approaches have been proposed to overcome this difficulty, including the use of the higher order flexural modes [7, 8, 10, 25, 45], nonlinear feedback control strategies for the external drive [42, 47], and by embedding the fluid inside the cantilever while it oscillates [26, 44].

In this thesis, we have focused on the dynamics of doubly-clamped beams in fluid. These geometries have the potential to form the central component of a robust, compact, and fast detector or actuator. We explored the variation in beam dynamics as a function of its geometry. In particular, we quantified the stochastic dynamics of doubly-clamped beams for a range of sizes and geometries, as well as determined the effectiveness of tailoring the geometry to increase the quality factor and resonant frequency in fluid.

We calculated the stochastic dynamics of the doubly-clamped beams in fluid, see Fig. 3(b), using a thermodynamic approach [36]. The approach requires only a single deterministic calculation of the fluid dissipation that is used to compute the stochastic beam displacement via the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. For a doubly-clamped beam, the deterministic calculation is the ring-down of the beam due to the removal of a step force applied to the center of the beam. We emphasize that the only assumptions in this result are classical dynamics and
Figure 3: (a) A schematic of a cantilever beam with length $L$, width $w$, and height $h$ with uniform rectangular cross-section. (b) A doubly-clamped beam used in the numerical simulations with length $L$, width $w$, and height $h$ with uniform rectangular cross-section.

small deflections.

Using three-dimensional, time dependent, finite element simulations for the precise geometries of interest, the stochastic dynamics are computed. In particular, we calculated the autocorrelations, and noise spectra of equilibrium fluctuations in the beam displacement. An analytical approach based on an oscillating infinite cylinder is used to predict the stochastic dynamics and dissipation present. The analytical approach is valid for long slender beams whereas the numerics are valid for any geometry [36, 41].
2 The Dynamics of Doubly-Clamped Beams

In order to build a physical understanding of the stochastic dynamics of microscale doubly-clamped beams in fluid, it is important to first understand the dynamics of the beam in vacuum and to then explore the dynamics of the beam in fluid.

A doubly-clamped beam with a uniform rectangular cross-section is shown in Fig. 4. The beam properties considered as a baseline geometry in this thesis are given in Table 1.

![Figure 4: A schematic of a doubly-clamped beam with length $L$, width $w$ and height $h$ with uniform rectangular cross-section. The beam geometry parameters are given in Table 1. (a) The $x-z$ plane of the beam. (b) The $y-z$ plane of the beam illustrating the rectangular cross-section. In our simulations the beam is immersed in room temperature water and we compute the stochastic dynamics of the fundamental flexural mode driven by Brownian motion.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>$L$ [$\mu$m]</th>
<th>$w$ [$\mu$m]</th>
<th>$h$ [$\mu$m]</th>
<th>$L/w$</th>
<th>$L/h$</th>
<th>$w/h$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The baseline geometry of a doubly-clamped beam used in the numerical simulations, case (1). The beam aspect ratios are $L/w$, $L/h$, and $w/h$. The beam is composed of silicon with Young’s modulus $E = 210$ GPa, density $\rho_b = 3100$ kg/m$^3$ and the fluid is water with $\rho_f = 997$ kg/m$^3$, $\mu = 8.56 \times 10^{-4}$ kg/ms. All simulations are performed at room temperature with $T = 300$K.
The beam is modeled using Euler-Bernoulli beam theory \cite{32},

\[ EI \frac{\partial^4 Z(x, t)}{\partial x^4} + \eta \frac{\partial^2 Z(x, t)}{\partial t^2} = F(x, t), \tag{1} \]

with boundary conditions

\[ Z(0, t) = \frac{\partial Z(0, t)}{\partial x} = 0, \tag{2} \]
\[ Z(L, t) = \frac{\partial Z(L, t)}{\partial x} = 0, \tag{3} \]

where the deflection and slope of the beam vanish at the boundaries \( x = 0, \quad x = L \).

Since the doubly-clamped beam is micron scale, it is important to check whether the continuum mechanics hypothesis is still valid. A useful nondimensional parameter is the Knudsen number,

\[ Kn = \frac{\lambda}{L}, \tag{4} \]

where \( \lambda \) is the mean free path between collision for fluid molecules and \( L \) is the characteristic length scale. The diameter of a water molecule is \( \lambda \approx 0.3 \text{nm} \) and the half width of the beam \( w/2 = 0.2 \mu \text{m} \) is used for the characteristic length \( L \). This yields,

\[ Kn = \frac{0.3 \text{nm}}{0.2 \mu \text{m}} = 1.5 \times 10^{-3}, \tag{5} \]

indicating that the continuum mechanics and the no-slip boundary assumptions are valid \cite{30}.

The equations governing the fluid dynamics around the beam are the nondimensionalized Navier-Stokes equations \cite{35} given by

\[ R \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + R_u \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{u} = -\nabla p + \nabla^2 \vec{u}, \tag{6} \]
\[ \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0, \tag{7} \]

\[ \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0, \tag{7} \]
where $\vec{u}$ is the fluid velocity, $p$ is the pressure, and $t$ is time. Equations (6)-(7) represent the conservation of momentum and mass for an incompressible fluid. The nondimensional parameters multiplying the two inertial terms are $R$ and $R_u$. $R$ is the frequency based Reynolds number given by

$$R = \frac{\omega w^2}{4\nu},$$

(8)

where $\omega$ is the frequency of oscillation, $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid, and $w$ is the width of the beam. $R$ is the ratio of local inertia forces to viscous forces. For the micro scale beams studied in this thesis $R > 1$ which implies the local inertia term $\partial \vec{u}/\partial t$ can not be neglected.

The nondimensional parameter $R_u$ is the typical velocity based Reynolds number given by

$$R_u = \frac{Uw}{2\nu},$$

(9)

where $U$ is the velocity of the fluid. $R_u$ is the ratio of convective inertial forces to viscous forces. For the micro scale beams studied in this thesis $R_u \ll 1$ which implies that the nonlinear convective inertia term $\vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{u}$ can be neglected. However, it is important to check the validity of these assumptions for the parameters of each simulation. Our numerical simulations include the nonlinear convective inertia term neglected by the analytical expressions.

The flow field around a micron scale doubly-clamped beam in fluid contains both potential and viscous flow contribution [39]. The viscous contribution is within the Stokes layer, see Fig. 1, where

$$\delta_s \approx \frac{w}{2} R^{-1/2}.$$  

(10)
3 Quantifying the Dynamics of Doubly-Clamped Beams in a Viscous Fluid

In many situations of technological and scientific interest, such as atomic force microscopy [9], the elastic beams are long and thin $L \gg w \gg h$. The fluid-solid interaction problem describing the motion of a waving beam in fluid is very difficult with analytical solutions available only under idealized conditions [17, 41, 49]. As discussed, in the limit of small beam displacements, a two-dimensional approximation for the fluid flow over the beam is often used to determine the force interactions with an Euler-Bernoulli beam. This approach has been very successful in predicting the resulting beam dynamics in a viscous fluid [41]. Furthermore, it has been shown that replacing the rectangular beam cross-section with that of a cylinder of diameter equal to the width $w$ yields small errors on the order of several percent [48]. The flow field generated by an oscillating cylinder is well known as well as the forces acting on the surface of the cylinder [39, 46]. These approximations have led to insightful analytical expressions describing the stochastic dynamics of beams in fluid [37, 41]. However, the validity and accuracy of these expressions remain unclear for the finite beam geometries often used in experiment.

3.1 Analytical Prediction

In the limit of a long and thin beam, small displacements, and using the two-dimensional approximation of an oscillating cylinder for the fluid flow, the noise spectrum of equilibrium fluctuations in displacement of the beam measured at $x = L/2$ for the fundamental mode is given by [37],

$$G(\omega) = \frac{4k_B T}{k} \frac{1}{\omega_0} \frac{T_0 \tilde{\omega} \Gamma_i(R_0 \tilde{\omega})}{\left[ (1 - \tilde{\omega}^2 (1 + T_0 \Gamma_i(R_0 \tilde{\omega})))^2 + (\tilde{\omega}^2 T_0 \Gamma_i(R_0 \tilde{\omega}))^2 \right]^2},$$

where $\omega$ is the frequency of oscillation, $\tilde{\omega} = \omega/\omega_0$ is the reduced frequency, $\omega_0$ is the resonant frequency of the fundamental mode in vacuum, $R_0$ is the frequency
Figure 5: (a) The predicted variation of the quality factor $Q$ for the stochastic displacement of a beam immersed in a viscous fluid with respect to the nondimensional frequency parameter $R_0$ and mass loading parameter $T_0$. (b) The predicted variation of the resonant frequency in fluid $\omega_f$ with respect to $R_0$ and $T_0$. In both panels five curves are shown for $T_0 = 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8$. The bounding two curves are labeled with the remaining curves in sequential order. $R_0$ is evaluated at the resonant frequency of the beam in vacuum. The quality $Q$ is determined by evaluating Eq. (17) at $\omega_f$ where $\omega_f$ is the frequency that maximizes Eq. (11).

parameter evaluated at $\omega_0$, $T_0$ is the mass loading parameter, $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant, $T$ is the temperature, and $k$ is the spring constant for the fundamental mode. The frequency parameter evaluated at the resonant frequency in vacuum is,

$$R_0 = \frac{\omega_0 w^2}{4 \nu}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

In our notation, the frequency parameter $R$ is evaluated at arbitrary frequency $\omega$, and $R_f$ is evaluated at $\omega_f$. The mass loading parameter is

$$T_0 = \frac{\pi \rho_f w}{4 \rho_b h}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

and represents the ratio of the mass of a cylinder of fluid with radius $w/2$ to the actual mass of the beam where $\rho_f$ is the density of the fluid, and $\rho_b$ is the
density of the beam.

The dynamics of a beam in fluid are not precisely equivalent to that of a damped simple harmonic oscillator. For example, both the mass and damping are frequency dependent. The mass of the entire fluid plus the mass of the beam is

\[ m_f(\omega) = m_e (1 + T_0 \Gamma_r(R_0\tilde{\omega})) \] (14)

where \( m_e = \alpha m_b \) is the equivalent mass of the beam such that the kinetic energy of this mass is equal to that of the fundamental mode and \( m_b = \rho_b Lwh \) is the mass of the beam. For the fundamental mode of a doubly-clamped beam \( \alpha = 0.396 \). The viscous damping is

\[ \gamma_f(\omega) = m_{cyl,e}\omega \Gamma_i(R_0\tilde{\omega}) \] (15)

where \( m_{cyl,e} = \alpha m_{cyl} \) is the equivalent mass of a cylinder of fluid with diameter equal to \( w \). In the above expressions \( \Gamma \) is the hydrodynamic function for an oscillating cylinder in a viscous fluid [39],

\[ \Gamma(\omega) = 1 + \frac{4iK_1(-i\sqrt{R_0\omega})}{\sqrt{iR_0\omega}K_0(-i\sqrt{iR_0\omega})}, \] (16)

where \( K_1 \) and \( K_0 \) are Bessel functions, \( \Gamma_r \) and \( \Gamma_i \) are the real and imaginary parts of \( \Gamma \), respectively and \( i = \sqrt{-1} \). As the frequency of oscillation increases the magnitude of \( m_f \) decreases and the magnitude of \( \gamma_f \) increases [37].

The simple harmonic oscillator approximation is convenient to define commonly used diagnostics such as the quality factor \( Q \) and resonant frequency of the beam in fluid \( \omega_f \). As a result of the frequency dependent mass and damping, the fundamental peak of the noise spectra is not well approximated as a Lorentzian for these strongly damped oscillators and care must be taken when determining \( Q \) and \( \omega_f \). The resonant frequency in fluid \( \omega_f \) will be defined to be the frequency which maximizes the noise spectrum in Eq. (11). The quality factor \( Q \) is then defined as the ratio of energy stored by the potential and
kinetic energy of the beam and fluid to the energy dissipated by viscosity per oscillation when evaluated at $\omega_f$. This yields

$$Q \approx \frac{m_f(\omega_f)\omega_f}{\gamma_f(\omega_f)} = \frac{T_0^{-1} + \Gamma_i(R_0\bar{\omega}_f)}{\Gamma_i(R_0\bar{\omega}_f)}.$$

(17)

Given values of the nondimensional parameters $R_0$ and $T_0$, Eqs. (11) and (17) directly yield the analytical predictions for $\omega_f$ and $Q$. The variation of $Q$ and $\omega_f$ with $R_0$ and $T_0$ are shown in Fig. 5 over a large range of parameters. The quality factor increases significantly as the frequency of oscillation is increased and also increases as the mass loading decreases. The drop in the resonant frequency when the beam is placed in fluid, $\omega_f/\omega_0$, also increases with frequency of oscillation and with a reduction in mass loading. The increase of $\omega_f/\omega_0$ with respect to $R_0$ is very rapid for $R_0 \lesssim 20$ with only small changes for higher frequencies, while the dependence upon $T_0$ results in a nearly uniform increase over the range shown. It is typical for $R_0 \sim 1$ and $T_0 \sim 1$ for many proposed microscale applications in water. In this case the analytics predict strongly damped dynamics with $Q \sim 2$. For applications that require a distinct peak to be measured this presents a significant challenge.

Using Euler-Bernoulli beam theory [32] for a doubly-clamped beam these expressions can be written as a function of geometry ($L, w, h$) which are often the experimentally relevant parameters rather than $R_0$ and $T_0$. The relevant expressions are,

$$\omega_0 = \frac{11.2}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\frac{E}{\rho_b L^2} h},$$

(18)

$$k = 16E \left(\frac{h}{L}\right)^3 w,$$

(19)

$$R_0 = \frac{2.81}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\frac{E}{\rho_b \left(\frac{w}{L}\right)^2 h}},$$

(20)

where $E$ is the Youngs modulus. These expressions for $T_0$ and $R_0$ together with Fig. 5 suggest that $Q$ and $\omega_f$ increase by reducing the length, increasing the
width, or increasing the height of the beam. However, the precise improvement is not clear since the available theoretical predictions are only for long and slender beams. In light of this we have performed full time-dependent and three-dimensional finite element numerical simulations [28] of a range of geometries to determine precisely the stochastic dynamics.

Figure 6: A schematic of a doubly-clamped beam used in the numerical simulations with length $L$, width $w$, and height $h$ with uniform rectangular cross-section. (a) The $x - z$ plane of the beam in fluid. The beam is supported by a rigid support of width $w$ on each side. The beam is light grey and the two rigid supports are darker grey. The supports are used to minimize the effects of the bounding side walls for the short-wide geometries. (b) The $y - z$ plane of the beam illustrating the rectangular cross-section. In our simulations the beam is immersed in room temperature water and we compute the stochastic dynamics of the fundamental flexural mode driven by Brownian motion. In the following figures the vertical displacement of the beam at $x = L/2$ is referred to as $z_1(t)$ for thermally induced fluctuations and $Z_1(t)$ for the deterministic ring down simulations.
4 Numerical Approach

This chapter describes the numerical approach used to obtain the stochastic dynamics of the microscale doubly-clamped beams in viscous fluid. This chapter also validates the numerical approach. Three-dimensional computations were used to obtain the complete solution of the fluid-solid structure interactions. To ensure that the numerical simulation parameters did not artificially affect the beam results, a series of validation studies were performed including a grid resolution study, a domain size study, a linear response study, and a time-step study.

4.1 Autocorrelation of Equilibrium Fluctuations in Displacement

We calculated the stochastic dynamics of the doubly-clamped beams in viscous fluid, see Fig. 6, using the thermodynamic approach discussed in detail in Refs. [36, 37]. The autocorrelation of equilibrium fluctuations in beam displacement is calculated from the deterministic ring-down of the beam due to the removal of a step force applied at $x = L/2$ given by

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} F_0 & \text{for } t \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t > 0 \end{cases}$$

where $t$ is time, and $F_0$ is the magnitude of the force. The value of $F_0$ is chosen for each simulation such that the beam deflections remain small and, in this case, the results are independent of its specific value. The autocorrelation of equilibrium fluctuations in beam displacement is then given by,

$$\langle z_1(0)z_1(t) \rangle = k_B T \frac{Z_1(t)}{F_0}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

The lower case $z_1$ indicates stochastic displacement, and upper case $Z_1$ indicates the deterministic ring-down measured at the center of the beam, $x = L/2$. The noise spectrum of fluctuations in beam displacement is given by,

$$G(\omega) = 4 \int_0^\infty \langle z_1(0)z_1(t) \rangle \cos(\omega t) dt.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)
The noise spectrum is used to determine the resonant frequency in fluid $\omega_f$ and the quality factor $Q$ for the numerical results of the doubly-clamped beam. The numerical results for the autocorrelation of equilibrium fluctuations in beam displacement are shown in Fig. 7(a), and the noise spectrum is shown in Fig. 7(b). The resonant frequency $\omega_f$ is the frequency maximizing the noise spectrum $G(\omega)$ and the quality is given by

$$Q \approx \frac{m_f(\omega_f) \omega_f}{\gamma_f(\omega_f)} = \frac{k}{4k_B T} \omega_f^2 G(\omega_f). \quad (24)$$

The right hand side of Eq. (24) is found using $m_f(\omega_f) = \sqrt{k/\omega_f}$ and using the peak value of the noise spectrum $G(\omega_f)$ to determine the damping. The error in using the bulk mode spring constant, as opposed to the dynamic spring constant for the fundamental mode, is small and on the order of several percent.

In summary, the numerical procedure is the following:

Figure 7: The autocorrelations and noise spectra of equilibrium fluctuations in beam displacement. (a) The autocorrelation, normalized using $k/(k_B T)$. (b) The noise spectra, normalized by the peak value, $G(\omega_f)$.

1. Compute $Z(t)$ from a deterministic simulation of the ring down of the beam due to the removal of a step force.
2. Compute the autocorrelation of equilibrium fluctuations in displacement using Eq. (22).

3. Compute the noise spectrum using Eq. (23).

4. Calculate diagnostics: $\omega_f$ is the frequency that maximizes the noise spectrum, and $Q$ is found from Eq. (24).

4.2 Three-Dimensional Computations

We have computed the dynamics of micron scale beams in fluid [28]. We solve the nonlinear, transient, three-dimensional fluid-structure interaction problem using carefully validated numerical simulations.

The three-dimensional computations of the fluid-solid structures use a pressure based algorithm that couples the displacement of the solid structure with the velocity of the fluid structure [55]. This strong pressure-based coupling method consists of solving the incompressible Navier Stokes equations where the fluid structure deformation is induced by a pressure force. The finite volume method was used for evaluating the governing fluid equations at discrete places on the structure that is used to solve the structural dynamics equations. There is not a direct coupling between the solid structure and fluid so these are linked through the grid velocity. The no-slip boundary condition is used so the velocity of the fluid is equal to the velocity of the solid at their interface. This assumption has been shown to be valid at this scale [22].

4.3 Validation of Theory with Numerics

One way to calculate the dynamics of a microscale doubly-clamped beam in vacuum is using Euler-Bernoulli beam theory. The resonant frequency $\omega_0$ and spring constant $k$ are given by Eqs. (18) - (19). The resonant frequency in vacuum from numerics $\omega'_0$ is calculated from the power spectrum. The power
spectrum is the magnitude of the Fourier transform of the beam displacement due to the application of a step-force at \( x = L/2 \) given by

\[
F(t) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } t \leq 0 \\
F_0 & \text{for } t > 0 
\end{cases}
\]  

(25)

where \( t \) is time, and \( F_0 \) is the magnitude of the force. The value of \( F_0 \) is chosen such that the beam deflections remain small. We use an upper case \( Z_1 \) to indicate the deterministic beam displacement measured at the center of the beam \( x = L/2 \). The resonant frequency in vacuum \( \omega_0' \) is the frequency where the peak occurs in the power spectrum. Numerical results for the deterministic response of a doubly-clamped beam are shown in Fig. 8(a), and the power spectrum is shown in Fig. 8(b). In summary, the numerical procedure is the following:

1. Compute \( Z_1(t) \): the deterministic response of the beam in vacuum due to an applied step force.

2. Compute the power spectrum, \( |\hat{Z}(\omega)|^2 \).

3. Calculate \( \omega_0' \), the frequency that maximizes the power spectrum.

The spring constant \( k' \) of the beam is computed from the deterministic ring-down of the beam in fluid due to a step force applied at \( x = L/2 \), see Fig. 9. The spring constant could also be calculated using numerical damping. Both approaches are valid, however here the numerical spring constant is determined by performing three-dimensional simulations in fluid. The numerical value of the spring constant is then

\[
k' = \frac{F_0}{Z_t},
\]

(26)

where \( Z_t \) is the steady state displacement due to the step force. The spring constant is computed this way for all of the cases studied in this thesis.
Figure 8: The deterministic response and power spectrum of the doubly-clamped beam in vacuum. (a) The deterministic response of the beam due to an applied step force. (b) The power spectrum, a dashed line indicates the frequency that maximizes the power spectrum to yield $\omega_0'$.  

The results show how Euler-Bernoulli theory over predicts $\omega_0$ and $k$ as the length of the beam is reduced, see for example cases (7) and (8). The shorter beams have lower $\omega_0'$ and softer $k'$ than an Euler-Bernoulli beam. Values of $\omega_0$ and $k$ are given in Table 4. 

In summary, the numerical procedure to calculate the spring constant is:

1. Compute $Z(t)$ from a deterministic ring down of the beam in fluid due to the application of a step force.

2. From the simulation determine the steady state displacement $Z_f$.

3. Calculate $k'$ using Eq. (26).

4.4 Validation of the Numerics

We quantified the dynamics of a microscale doubly-clamped beam in fluid using finite element numerical simulations. It is important that the computations
Figure 9: The deterministic ring-down of the beam in fluid due to the application of a step force. \( Z_f \) is the steady state displacement of the beam. \( Z_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum displacement of the beam.

are accurate. We performed a grid resolution study, explored the numerical domain size, ensured linear response, and conducted a time-step study. The validation calculations were performed on a three-dimensional problem.

### 4.4.1 Grid Study

We performed a grid resolution study of the beam in vacuum with a different number of elements along the beam length, width, and height, see Fig. 10. The variation of elements did not significantly affect the dynamics of the beam in vacuum. However, the numerical domain for beams in fluid can be quite large and the computations are costly. It is preferred to have computationally cost-effective simulations. In order to accomplish this, the grid resolution was decreased adaptively far from the beam surface. In addition, the grid resolution was further customized for the shorter beams, cases (7) and (8). In this case, an additional support was added to reduce any wall effects due to the large average velocity near the wall as shown in Fig. 6. The grid resolutions used are shown
Table 2: Comparison of the resonant frequency in vacuum $\omega_0$ and the spring constant $k$ using Euler-Bernoulli beam theory ($\omega_0$, $k$) and finite element numerical simulations ($\omega'_0$, $k'$). Analytical results are found using Eqs. (19) and (18). Also shown are the ratios $\omega'_0/\omega_0$ and $k'/k$.

in Figs. 11 - 12.

The fluid simulations in this thesis have $10^5 \sim 10^6$ elements. The run time varied from 2 ~ 5 days, depending on the domain size and time step. An average sized fluid simulation has $\sim 5 \times 10^5$ elements and takes approximately three days on a 3.2 GHz Xeon processor with 4GB of DDR memory. When the beam is in fluid, the grid resolution near the beam surface was increased significantly to resolve the fluid dynamics. The grid resolutions used for all of geometries studied are shown in Table 3.

### 4.4.2 Size of the Numerical Domain

The size of the numerical domain is critical for fluid simulations of microscale doubly-clamped beams. An unnecessarily large domain increases the computational cost. On the other hand, a small numerical domain can introduce undesirable wall effects. Wall effects for microscale devices can damp the beam dynamics, yielding a lower frequency in fluid and a lower quality factor [13, 15, 16]. In order to avoid unwanted wall effects in the numerical simulation, the fluid
domain was made large enough to neglect any wall effects. In order to determine this, we computed the magnitude of the velocities near the wall. To ensure the proper size of the fluid domain, the velocities of the fluid should smoothly approach zero at the wall, see Fig 13.

The fluid domain around the microscale doubly-clamped beam has different regions, see Fig 14. Near the surface of the beam, a viscous boundary layer develops (the Stokes layer). Beyond this, the fluid dynamics is dominated by potential flow. To ensure the numerical domain is large enough, we studied a number of domain sizes. A schematic of the domains are shown in Fig 14. Table 4 shows the variation of $\delta_s$ for each geometry studied. The numerical results indicate that the distance between the beam and the wall should be $\gtrsim 15\delta_s$. 

Figure 10: A three-dimensional numerical domain of a doubly-champed beam with 10 elements in the $y$-direction, 30 elements in the $x$-direction and 2 elements in the $z$-direction.
Table 3: Grid resolution of the eight doubly-clamped beams used in the simulations. $N_x$ is the number of cells in the $x$-direction. $N_y$ is the number of cells in the $y$-direction. $N_z$ is the number of elements in the $z$-direction. The three-dimensional fluid simulations have a total number of elements in the beam and a total number of elements in the fluid. The addition of the beam and fluid elements yield the total number of elements of the simulation given in the final column.

### 4.4.3 Negligible Convective Inertia

In order to ensure the linear response of the system, the Reynolds numbers $R_u$ was evaluated to ensure $R_u \ll 1$. The Reynolds number is based on the ring-down simulation,

$$R_u = \frac{U_{\text{max}} w}{2\nu},$$

(27)

where $U_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum velocity of the beam. The values of $R_0$, $R_f$ and $R_u$ are determined from the numerical simulations. However, it is important to ensure that $R_u \ll 1$ so that the nonlinear convective inertial term can be neglected. This term can become large if the the maximum displacement of the beam is significant $Z_{\text{max}} \gtrsim h/10$. The frequency based Reynolds numbers are not negligible if $R_0 \gtrsim 1$ and $R_f \approx 1$. Numerical values of these parameters are given in Table 5.
The magnitude of the Stokes boundary layer $\delta_s$ and the size of the numerical domain with respect to $\delta_s$. Number of Stokes layers variations with respect to the geometry cases are studied: $L_x/\delta_s$ is the number of Stokes layers in the $x$-direction, $L_y/\delta_s$ is the number of Stokes layers in the $y$-direction and $L_z/\delta_s$ is the number of Stokes layers in the $z$-direction.

### 4.4.4 Time-Step Study

We performed a time resolution study of the beam in vacuum for different numbers of time steps per oscillation. The time step for the doubly-clamped beam in vacuum is compared using,

\[ f_0 = \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi}, \]  
\[ P_v = \frac{1}{f}, \]  
\[ \Delta t = \frac{P_v}{N_v}, \]

where the frequency in vacuum is $f_0$, the period of oscillation in vacuum is $P_v$, the time-step is $\Delta t$, and $N_v$ is the number of time steps per period in vacuum. The simulations were run with an increasing number time steps until the variation of the resonant frequency was less than 2%. Approximately 20 steps per oscillation in vacuum was optimal based on computational cost versus accuracy.

One challenge in the numerical simulations is the determination of the appropriate size of the time step for the beam dynamics in fluid. For fluid simulations,
Table 5: The frequency parameters and Reynolds numbers determined from the numerical simulations. The frequency based Reynolds number in vacuum $R_0$, the frequency based Reynolds number in fluid $R_f$ and the velocity based Reynolds number in fluid $R_u$. $R_u$ is evaluated at the maximum deterministic velocity of the beam $U_{\text{max}}$. $F_0$ is the magnitude of the step force. The values of $R_0$, $R_f$ and $R_u$ are determined using the finite numerical simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>$R_0$</th>
<th>$R_f$</th>
<th>$R_u$</th>
<th>$F_0$ [nN]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>$2.71 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>1.195</td>
<td>$6.82 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>2.911</td>
<td>$1.14 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>$1.45 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>2.114</td>
<td>$3.05 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>4.761</td>
<td>$5.58 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>231.55</td>
<td>164.29</td>
<td>$2.4 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>1146.08</td>
<td>886.81</td>
<td>$5.42 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>5250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

it is important to have a relationship between the number of time steps in vacuum and the number of time steps in fluid based on the resonant frequency in vacuum $\omega_0$. When the resonant frequency in fluid $\omega_f$ is unknown it is useful to have a time step relationship based on a frequency in vacuum to estimate the time step in fluid. Our results indicate that a fluid simulation could take $19 \sim 98$ time steps per oscillation $P_f/\Delta t$ to accurately resolve the transient motion. The study shows that using 10 to 20 time steps per vacuum oscillation $P_v/\Delta t$ with a well resolved grid resolution and domain size leads to accurate results. Numerical values for the periods of oscillation in fluid and vacuum are shown in Table 6. The table illustrates the time steps, the smallest spatial lengths in the domain, and the total number of elements.

The variation of the quality factor $Q$ with decreasing the time step is also investigated. It is important to determine the time step resolution required to accurately compute the deterministic response of a doubly-clamped beam. We performed a time step study of the doubly-clamped beam (case 5) in fluid
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Total Elements</th>
<th>$P_v/\Delta t$</th>
<th>$P_f/\Delta t$</th>
<th>$\Delta x_{min}/h$</th>
<th>$\Delta t$ [ns]</th>
<th>$\Delta x_{min}$ [nm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>918,000</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>43.09</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>468,000</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>19.21</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>130,200</td>
<td>16.26</td>
<td>57.02</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>972,000</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>21.49</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>285,696</td>
<td>65.47</td>
<td>98.86</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>275,280</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>21.39</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>198,400</td>
<td>32.09</td>
<td>45.30</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>359,040</td>
<td>40.54</td>
<td>45.49</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: The number of time steps per oscillation used in the numerical simulations. $P_v/\Delta t$ is the number of steps per oscillation in vacuum, $P_f/\Delta t$ is the number of steps per oscillation in fluid, $\Delta t$ is the time step in vacuum, and $\Delta x_{min}$ is the smallest side of a finite element in the three-dimensional model.

with different numbers of steps per oscillation $P_f/\Delta t$, see Fig. 16. The error in the quality factor as function of the time resolution is shown in Fig. 17. The expected time accuracy is first order. The fluid simulation with 35 time steps takes approximately 175 time steps to obtain the steady state solution. The fluid simulation takes approximately 3 days on a 3.2 GHz Xeon processor with 4GB of DDR memory. It is important to find a relationship between the quality factor and the time step. We found that increasing the time step made the quality factor more accurate. However, the computational cost was higher. The study shows that $20 \sim 40$ steps per oscillation is sufficient to resolve quality factor to within 5%.
Figure 11: Grid resolution for cases (1-6). The schematic shows a single quadrant of the fluid and the beam domain. The doubly-clamped beam is located at the center of the volume. The volumes around the beam are composed of fluid. The external surfaces represent the walls bounding the fluid domain. The dashed lines are symmetry boundaries. (a) The $x - z$ plane grid resolution, symmetrically about $x$ and $z$. (b) The $y - z$ plane grid resolution, symmetrically about $y$ and $z$.
Figure 12: Grid resolution for cases (7-8). The schematic includes the fluid and beam. The schematic shows a single quadrant of the fluid and the beam domain. The doubly-clamped beam is located at the center of the volume fixed by two supports. The volumes around the beam are composed of fluid. The external surfaces represent the walls bounding the fluid domain. The dashed lines are symmetry boundaries. (a) The $x-z$ plane grid resolution, symmetrically about $x$ and $z$. (b) The $y-z$ plane grid resolution, symmetrically about $y$ and $z$. 
Figure 13: Normalized magnitude of the velocity between the beam and the wall in the z-direction. The velocity magnitude $|U|$ has been normalized by the maximum velocity $|U_{max}|$. The distance between the beam and the wall in the z-direction is normalized by the Stokes length $\delta_s$.

Figure 14: A schematic of the fluid flow regions around a doubly-clamped beam in the z - y plane. The figure is not drawn to scale. The outer box represents a no-slip wall. The beam surface is $15\delta_s$ from the wall.
Figure 15: A schematic of the domain around a doubly-clamped beam of length $L$, width $w$, and height $h$ with a uniform cross section. Shown are the wall-beam distance separations $L_x$, $L_y$, $L_z$ in the $x$, $y$ and $z$-directions respectively. (a) Numerical domain in the $z$-$x$ plane. For cases 7 and 8, $L_x$ represents the length of supports. (b) Numerical domain in the $z$-$y$ plane.
Figure 16: Quality factor as a function of the number of time steps. The geometry used is case (5) in Table 7. The solid line is a power fit to the data.

Figure 17: The error $E_t$ as a function of time resolution. The geometry is case (5) in Table 7. The solid line is a power fit to the data and yields $E_t \sim \Delta t^{1.86}$. 
5 Tailoring the Geometry of Doubly-Clamped Beam

5.1 Beam Geometry Study

As the baseline geometry we consider a doubly-clamped beam with length \( L' = 15 \mu \text{m} \), width \( w' = 0.4 \mu \text{m} \), and height \( h' = 0.1 \mu \text{m} \). This geometry is similar to what has been recently used in experiments demonstrating thermoelastic actuation in vacuum and air [7]. Here, we are interested in the beam dynamics in a viscous fluid and use water. This geometry is referred to as case (1) in Table 7 and we consider seven additional geometries which are chosen as systematic variations of the baseline geometry \((L', w', h')\). Also shown in Table 7 are the aspect ratios for the different geometries to give an idea of the range of geometries used and also to give some indication of the deviation from the ideal case of a long and thin beam used in analytical predictions [52].

Table 8 illustrates the deviations in geometry when compared with the baseline geometry of case 1. Also included are the beam properties that can be determined independent of the fluid dynamics which include the bulk spring constant \( k \), the frequency parameter in vacuum \( R_0 \), and the mass loading parameter \( T_0 \). We have used finite element numerical simulations of the beams in vacuum to determine the numerical values of \( k \) and \( \omega_0 \) for all of the geometries considered. Given this information one can use the analytical expressions to predict \( Q \) and \( \omega_f \) which is illustrated in Fig. 5. From Table 8 it is clear that over four orders of magnitude of spring constant, over three orders of magnitude of frequency parameter, and over a single order of magnitude of the mass loading parameter are considered by the chosen variations in geometry.

We first quantify the stochastic dynamics of the baseline geometry. The numerical results for the autocorrelation of equilibrium fluctuations in beam displacement are shown in Fig. 18(a), and the noise spectrum is shown in Fig. 18(b). In each figure the baseline geometry is labeled \( w' \). Extensive spatial and time
Table 7: The eight geometries of doubly-clamped beams used in the numerical simulations. Case (1) is the baseline geometry and the remaining cases are variations of this geometry. The beam aspect ratios are \( L/w \), \( L/h \), and \( w/h \). The horizontal lines separate the different studies performed: the baseline geometry, variation in width, variation in height, and variation in length. The beams are composed of silicon with Young’s modulus \( E = 210 \text{ GPa} \), density \( \rho_b = 3100 \text{ kg/m}^3 \) and the fluid is water with \( \rho_f = 997 \text{ kg/m}^3 \), \( \eta = 8.56 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kg/ms} \). All simulations are performed at room temperature with \( T = 300K \).

Resolution numerical tests have been performed to ensure the accuracy of our calculations. The autocorrelation curves are normalized using \( k/k_B T \) where the value of \( k \) for each case is given in Table 8. The noise spectra have been normalized using the peak value \( G(\omega_f) \). These figures illustrate that the dynamics of this micron scale beam in water are strongly damped. The value of the quality and resonant frequency in fluid using our numerical results are given in Table 9 and are \( Q = 0.80 \) and \( \omega_f/\omega_0 = 0.22 \). Also shown are the predictions from analytics using Eqs. (11) and (17) which yield \( Q^\dagger = 0.68 \) and \( \omega_f/\omega_0^\dagger = 0.22 \). The analytical predictions are quite accurate for the frequency drop while under predicting the quality factor for this geometry.

Next we consider the variation in the stochastic dynamics of the beam as a function of the beam width. In particular, we double and triple the beam width \( w \) while holding \( L \) and \( h \) constant. For increasing width the frequency parameter increases as \( R_0 \sim w^2 \) while the mass loading parameter increases as \( T_0 \sim w \).
Table 8: The geometry variations with respect to the baseline geometry given by case (1) with \((L', w', h')\). Cases (2) and (3) explore increasing width, cases (4) and (5) explore increasing thickness, and cases (6)-(8) explore decreasing length. Also shown are the spring constant \(k\), the frequency based Reynolds number in vacuum \(R_0\), and the mass loading parameter \(T_0\). The values of \(k\) and \(R_0\) are determined using finite element simulations.

This has the effect of increasing the fluid inertia while simultaneously increasing the mass loading. These two counteracting effects suggest the increase in \(Q\) and \(\omega_f\) will only be moderate. The autocorrelations and noise spectra from numerical simulations are shown in Fig. 18. The autocorrelation results exhibit both positively and negatively correlated results as expected with the dynamics becoming more underdamped as the width is increased. The noise spectra clearly illustrate that the peak value shifts to higher frequency and that the peak itself becomes sharper as the width increases. For case 1, the noise spectra has significant contributions at low frequency whereas for case 3 the noise spectra has become more symmetric with a Lorentzian shape.

Values for the quality and resonant frequency in fluid from our numerical results are given in Table 9. When compared to the quality for the baseline geometry \(Q'\), the increase in quality is \(Q/Q' = 1.29\) for doubling the width, and \(Q/Q' = 1.71\) for tripling the width. The quality increases with increasing width however the magnitude of the quality is small indicating that the beam dynamics remain strongly damped. The increase in the value of \(\omega_f/\omega_0\) is slightly
Table 9: The stochastic dynamics of the beams in fluid. Shown is the frequency based Reynolds number in fluid $R$, the reduction in the resonant frequency $\omega_f/\omega_0$, and the quality factor $Q$. Also shown is the improvement of the quality with respect to that of case (1) given by $Q' = 0.8$. $Q'$ and $\omega_f/\omega_0'$ are the results predicted from analytical theory using Eqs. (11) and (17).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$\omega_f/\omega_0$</th>
<th>$Q$</th>
<th>$Q'/Q$</th>
<th>$\omega_f/\omega_0'$</th>
<th>$Q'$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>164.46</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>9.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>885.19</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>20.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

less than what is found for $Q$. A comparison of our numerical values of $\omega_f$ and $Q$ with the analytical predictions of Eqs. (11) and (17) are shown in Fig. 19. The circles are the results from our numerical simulations and the dashed line is the analytical prediction where $\xi = w/w'$ and $w'$ is the width of the baseline geometry. It is clear that that the analytical predictions remain quite accurate over this range. This includes case 3 where $L/w \approx w/h \approx 12$ and $L/h \gg 1$. Figure 19 indicates that magnitude of the increase in quality with increasing width is quite moderate. Furthermore, the increase in $\omega_f$ is quite small and becomes nearly flat at $\omega_f/\omega_0 \approx 0.24$ for $\xi \gtrsim 2$.

Next we consider the variation in beam dynamics as the height is increased. We consider the cases where $h$ is doubled and tripled while the $L$ and $w$ are held constant. As the height is increased the frequency parameter increases as $R_0 \sim h$ whereas the mass loading parameter decreases as $T_0 \sim h^{-1}$. These two effects both contribute to increasing $Q$ and $\omega_f$. The normalized autocorrelations and noise spectra from our numerical simulations are shown in Fig. 20. The results clearly indicate an increasing value of both $\omega_f$ and $Q$ and numerical values are
Figure 18: The autocorrelations and noise spectra of equilibrium fluctuations in beam displacement as a function of beam width from numerical results: case 1 ($w'$), case 2 ($2w'$), case 3 ($3w'$). (a) The autocorrelations, the results have been normalized using $k/(k_BT)$ for each case. (b) The noise spectra, the results have been normalized by the peak value for each case, $G(\omega_f)$.

given in Table 9. The relative increase in quality is $Q/Q' = 1.61$ when the height is doubled, and $Q/Q' = 2.52$ when the height is tripled. The increase in $\omega_f/\omega_0$ follows a similar trend.

A comparison of our numerical results with the predictions of theory is shown in Fig. 19 using $\xi = h/h'$. The square symbols are the numerical results and the solid line is the analytical prediction. It is clear that the increases in $Q$ and $\omega_f$ are much larger for variations in height when compared to what was found for increases in beam width. The analytical predictions remain quite accurate and insightful over the range of aspect ratios explored by varying the beam height. We highlight that this includes case 5 where $w/h \approx 1$.

The last case we consider is decreasing the beam length while holding the width and height constant. In this case the frequency parameter increases rapidly as $R_0 \sim L^{-2}$ whereas $T_0$ remains constant. The autocorrelations and
noise spectra are shown in Fig. 21 which illustrate a significant increase in resonant frequency and quality. From Fig. 21(a) the results for the most extreme geometry explored, $L'/37.5$, clearly show the influence of higher harmonics. The numerical values of $Q$ and $\omega_f$ from our numerical results are given Table 9. For case 8 where $L/L' = 37.5$ the increase in quality is $Q/Q' = 7.4$ and the reduction on the resonant frequency when compared to its value in vacuum is $\omega_f/\omega_0 = 0.77$ indicating significant changes are possible by changing the beam length.

The analytical predictions given in Table 9 show significant deviations from our numerical results. This is also illustrated in Fig. 21 where the triangles are the numerical results and the solid lines are the analytical predictions. For case 6 ($L/w = 12.5$) the analytical predictions are quite accurate. However, for case 7
(L/w = 2.5), and case 8 (L/w = 1) the analytical predictions over predict Q and under predict ω_f/ω_0. The approximation of using the fluid flow from an infinite two-dimensional oscillating cylinder is no longer well justified. The numerical results suggest the presence of additional modes of fluid dissipation that are not captured in the two-dimensional theory.
Figure 21: The autocorrelations and noise spectra as a function of beam length from numerical results: case 1 ($L'$), case 6 ($L'/3$), case 7 ($L'/5$), case 8 ($L'/37.5$). (a) The normalized autocorrelations. (b) The normalized noise spectra.

Figure 22: The variation of the quality $Q$, panel (a), and of the resonant frequency in fluid $\omega_f/\omega_0$, panel (b), by decreasing the length $L$ of the beam relative to the value of case (1) given by $L'$. The triangles are the results from numerical simulation and the solid line is the analytical prediction.
Figure 23: The transverse fluid velocity $u_z$ for cases 1-8 along the line beginning at $(0.0,0.0,0.01\mu m)$ and ending at $(L,0.0,0.01\mu m)$ from the deterministic numerical simulations where the beam velocity is at its maximum value. The baseline geometry is shown as the dashed line, cases 2-7 are the solid lines, and case 8 is the dash-dot line.
6 Flow Field Analysis

6.1 Axial Flow Field

The flow field around the beam is three-dimensional. The characteristic two-dimensional flow field around the beam of case 1 and case 7 is shown respectively in Figs 24 and 25. These figures show the behavior of the flow field in the $x - y$ plane and $x - z$ plane. Figures 26 and 23 illustrate the magnitude of the fluid velocity in the transverse $u_z$ and axial $u_x$ directions, respectively. The velocities are plotted along a line beginning at $(0, 0, 0, 0.01\mu m)$ and ending at $(L, 0, 0, 0.01\mu m)$ for all cases. In our notation the fluid velocity in the $(x, y, z)$ directions is $(u_x, u_y, u_z)$, see Fig. 6 for the definition of the coordinate directions $(x, y, z)$. The velocities are shown at the time when the velocity of the beam is at its maximum value which occurs when the center of the beam crosses $z = 0$ the first time during its ring down. The maximum value of $u_z$ at this time is labeled $u_0$ and is used to normalize both the transverse and axial velocities. The axial direction is normalized by the beam length so that all cases can be represented on the same figure.

Figure 23 shows the transverse fluid velocity $u_z$ for cases 1-8. The baseline geometry (dashed line) and cases 2-7 (solid lines) collapse onto a single curve with a shape similar to that of the fundamental mode of a doubly-clamped beam. Case 8 differs significantly with a much sharper peak indicating that its dynamics are quite different which is expected since this geometry is substantially different than the others.

Figure 26(a) illustrates the normalized axial velocities $u_x$ as the beam width and height are varied. In the approximation of a two-dimensional flow the axial velocity is identically zero and any deviations from this in the numerical results indicate fluid dynamics not considered in the analytical predictions. The bimodal shape of the curves is expected from the symmetry of the fundamental
mode. For $x > L/2$ the axial fluid velocity is positive and for $x < L/2$ it is negative. The baseline geometry is shown as the dashed line and has a negligible axial fluid velocity. At its maximum value it is only $\sim 2.5\%$ of the maximum transverse velocity $u_0$. A similar trend is found for cases 2-5. As the width or height is increased the relative magnitude of the axial velocities increase. It is expected that if larger values of the width or height we computed the axial velocities would become significant and at this point the analytical predictions would show large deviations.

Figure 26(b) shows the relative value of the axial velocities as the length of the beam is decreased. The baseline geometry is included for reference as the dashed line. It is clear that the axial velocities are now quite significant and range from 10\% to 40\% of $u_0$. The axial velocities do not vanish at $x = 0, L$ because the beams are held by rigid supports, see Fig. 6, and the lateral side walls of the numerical domain are distant. The axial velocities result in fluid dissipation not accounted for in the two-dimensional theory and contribute significantly to the lower values of $Q$ found in the numerical simulations. Furthermore, $\omega_f$ from the numerical simulations are larger than the analytical predictions. The added mass in the simulations are smaller than the predicted values and this reduction is a direct result of the three dimensionality of the fluid flow. The maximum value of the relative axial velocity does not follow a monotonic trend with $L$ because as the length becomes small the precise nature of the beam dynamics vary in a complicated manner. Overall, our results suggest that the relative magnitude of the axial velocity can be used to indicate the applicability of the two-dimensional theory.

In many microscale technologies the ability to sense small forces is important and therefore a small spring constant is desirable. In light of this, the improved performance, as measured by increased values of $Q$ and $\omega_f$ with increasing $w$,
increasing $h$ or decreasing $L$ all come at the price of reduced force sensitivity. Using Eq. (19) to estimate $k$ yields its dependence upon geometry and the magnitude of the improved performance follows the same trend as increasing $k$. Overall, these tradeoffs would need to be balanced in a particular application.
Figure 24: Flow field velocity vectors around a doubly-clamped beam for case 1 at the instant of time where the beam is at its maximum velocity. (a) Flow field over the beam in the the $y-z$ plane. (b) Flow field around the beam in the $x-z$ plane. The dashed vertical line represents symmetry the boundary at $x = L/2$. 
Figure 25: Flow field velocity vectors around the doubly-clamped beam for case 7 at the instant of time where the beam is at its maximum velocity. (a) Flow field over the beam in the the $y - z$ plane. (b) Flow field around the beam in the $x - z$ plane. The dashed vertical line represents the symmetry boundary at $x = L/2$. 
Figure 26: The normalized axial velocity from numerical simulation. (a) The axial velocity found varying the width and height of the baseline geometry. (b) The axial velocity found when decreasing the length of the baseline geometry. The results for the baseline geometry are given by the dashed line. The axial velocities are computed along a line in the $x$-direction with origin $(0,0,0.01\mu m)$ and end-point $(L,0,0.01\mu m)$, see Fig. 6 for definitions of coordinate directions. The velocity is normalized by $u_0$ for each case where $u_0$ is the maximum transverse velocity which occurs at $x = L/2$. The abscissa is normalized by the length $L$ for each case.
7 Conclusions

The stochastic dynamics of micron and nanoscale elastic beams can be directly quantified using deterministic numerical computations for the precise geometries and conditions of experiment. To verify that the simulation parameters did not artificially affect the beam results, a series of validation studies were performed including a grid resolution study, a domain size study, a linear response study, and a time-step study. We have shown that the geometry of doubly-clamped beams can be tailored to overcome the strong fluid damping that occurs for small scale systems in a viscous fluid. Our numerical exploration has been used to build physical insights into the stochastic dynamics and to place realistic bounds upon the applicability of the two-dimensional theory. Overall, we find that the two dimensional theory is quite accurate far beyond what may have been expected based upon the underlying assumptions. When deviations do occur a significant factor are fluid velocities in the axial direction resulting in increased dissipation and a lower added mass. It is anticipated that these results will be useful in guiding the development of future experiments by providing the basis for predictions that cover a wide range of geometries. Furthermore, our results provide insight into the development of accurate theoretical models valid for the finite geometries used in experiment.
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