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Abstract

This dissertation makes contributions to the methodology of quantitative description of the tilting systems of perovskite structures and theoretical analysis of high-pressure phase transitions of representative perovskites.

Chapter 1 and 2 introduce the perovskite structures, tilting classification and descriptions. The structures in each of the 15 tilt systems have been decomposed to the amplitudes of symmetry-adapted modes in order to provide a clear and unambiguous definition of the tilt angles. A general expression in terms of tilt angles for the ratio of the volumes of the two polyhedra within the perovskite structure is derived.

Chapter 3 uses the first-principles plane-wave pseudopotential calculations to investigate the high-pressure $R3c$ to $Pm\bar{3}m$ phase transition and elasticity of LaAlO$_3$ perovskite. This second order transition is determined to occur at ~14 GPa by the pressure variation of the squared frequency of the soft $R$-point mode in the $Pm\bar{3}m$ structure. Elastic moduli are inverted from the calculated stress-strain data by the singular value decomposition method. The Landau parameters for this phase transition are calibrated from the calculation results.

Chapter 4 uses the same method to investigate the high-pressure phase transitions and elasticity of YAlO$_3$ perovskite. The $Pnma$, $Imma$, $I4/mcm$, $R3c$, $Pm\bar{3}m$ perovskite structures and the NH$_4$CdCl$_3$-, Gd$_2$S$_3$-, U$_2$S$_3$-, CaIrO$_3$-type structures are considered. A continuous $Pnma$ to $Imma$ transition occurs at ~89 GPa, determined from the soft $Z$-point mode of the $Imma$ structure. Then, a discontinuous $Imma$ to $I4/mcm$ transition occurs at ~100 GPa, suggested by the relative enthalpies and phonon dispersions. The elasticities of the $Pnma$, $Imma$ and $I4/mcm$ structures show mechanical stabilities compatible with the phase transitions. The NH$_4$CdCl$_3$- and CaIrO$_3$-type structures are dynamically stable although not energetically favorable. The relative $A/B$ site polyhedral volume ratios are found to qualitatively reproduce the relative enthalpies of the perovskite structures.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Perovskite

Perovskite is believed to be the dominant mineral of the lower mantle (Ringwood, 1975). (Mg,Fe)SiO$_3$, (Mg,Fe,Al)(Al,Si)O$_3$ and CaSiO$_3$ perovskites are formed between 580 and 750 km depth (Helffrich and Wood, 2001). Thus, the thermodynamic properties and phase transitions of perovskites can help us investigate the composition and dynamics of the lower mantle and even the core-mantle boundary. And to extract information regarding the composition of mantle from seismological observations, elastic properties of candidate minerals at high pressures are required. Properties of perovskites are of interest also because many perovskites with other element compositions have applications in industry. For example, YAlO$_3$ perovskite can be used as a solid-state laser host (Weber et al., 1969), LaAlO$_3$ perovskite is a substrate material for high-temperature superconductor films (Lee et al., 1990; Konaka et al., 1991) and BaZrO$_3$ is a high-temperature capacitor dielectric (Koenig and Jaffe, 1964).
\[ Ia/mcm \ a^0 \ b^0 \ c^- \]

\[ \text{Imma} \ a^0 \ b^- \ b^- \]

\[ \text{Pnma} \ a^+ \ b^- \ b^- \]
1.2 Tilting systems of perovskite

The structure of perovskite $ABO_3$ can be described as a framework of corner-linked $BO_6$ octahedra where $B$ cations are at the center of the octahedra and $A$ cations are at the center of the space between the octahedra. A small portion of perovskites have the ideal cubic structure where $BO_6$ octahedra are regular and all the $B-O-B$ bond angles are $180^\circ$ as plotted in Figure 1.1. Most perovskites are distorted from the cubic structure. Three types of distortions are possible (Megaw, 1973): distortions of the $BO_6$ octahedra, displacement of $B$ cation in the octahedra and the tilting of the $BO_6$ octahedra. Assuming that the octahedra keep the regular shape and connection with one another through the corners during tilting, 23 different simple tilting systems are identified in terms of the rotations around $a$-, $b$- and $c$-axes which parallel the three cubic lattice vectors and cross the central $B$ cation (Glazer, 1972). Here is a brief introduction. If an octahedron rotates around one axis, the rotation of all the other octahedra in the layer perpendicular to that axis is constrained by the connectivity. But the two adjacent octahedra
along that axis can rotate in the same or the opposite sense. Thus notations $a^\#b^\#c^\#$ are used to denote different tilting systems where $\#$ can be 0, + and -. 0 means no rotation around the corresponding axis; + means the octahedra along the corresponding axis rotate in the same sense and by the same angle; - means that any two adjacent octahedra along the corresponding axis rotate in the opposite sense and by the same angle. Repeated letters among $a^\#b^\#c^\#$ mean the rotations around the corresponding axes have the same magnitude. Five examples are given in Figure 1.1.

![Figure 1.2](image)

Figure 1.2 A schematic diagram indicating the group-subgroup relationships among the 15 space groups. The solid and dashed lines join a group with its subgroup. A dashed line indicates that the corresponding phase transition is required by Landau theory to be first order. Quoted from Howard and Stokes (2002, 1998).

### 1.3 Space groups of perovskite

Glazer identified the space group for each tilting system by close examination and found 15 different space groups for a total of 23 tilting systems. However, using a program POTATO, Woodward (1997a) found that six of the tilting systems can not construct a framework of regular...
octahedra and that different distortions of the octahedra made to form a framework can lead to different space groups. Although Howard and Stokes (1998) later found a framework of regular octahedra for one of the six tilting systems pointed out by Woodward, the limitation of methodology of examining the rigid tilting systems appears. With the group-theoretical analysis program ISOTROPY (Hatch and Stokes, 1985), Howard and Stokes (1998) directly found the 15 space groups as the isotropy subgroups of Pm-3m using its matrix representation built on the six component tilt systems $a^+ b^0 b^0$, $b^0 a^+ b^0$, $b^0 b^0 a^+$, $a^- b^0 b^0$, $b^0 a^+ b^0$, and $b^0 b^0 a^-$. Apart from correcting one space group among Glazer’s 15, they indentified the group-subgroup relationships among the 15 space groups and the order of the phase transitions between different space groups (Figure 1.2). In the literature survey of Woodward (1997b), 12 of the 15 space groups suggested by Howard and Stokes have been reported experimentally. The only one structure which doesn’t belong to Howard and Stokes’ 15 space groups seems poorly determined.

1.4 Phase transitions of perovskite

It has long been believed that the large $AO_{12}$ cuboctahedra have greater compressibility and thermal expansivity than the small $BO_6$ octahedra, so when a perovskite is compressed the size of the $AO_{12}$ cuboctahedra is reduced through tilting of the rigid $BO_6$ octahedra (Hazen and Finger, 1979). When the $AO_{12}$ cuboctahedra reach a minimum size, a transition to a more distorted or lower-symmetry phase occurs. However, Zhao et al. (2004) and Angel et al. (2005) found experimentally the bond valence matching in perovskites and consequently proved that the $AO_{12}$ cuboctahedra are more compressible in the $A^{2+}B^{4+}O_3$ (2:4) perovskites, but less compressible in the $A^{3+}B^{3+}O_3$ (3:3) perovskites, than the $BO_6$ octahedra. It is thereby explained why experiments on 3:3 perovskites show that the $BO_6$ octahedra are less, rather than more, tilted with increasing pressure (Ross et al., 2004a, b). A general rule controlling the phase transitions in perovskites is hence identified: with increasing pressure 2:4 perovskites change to a more-distorted or lower-symmetry phase while 3:3 perovskites change to a less distorted or higher-symmetry phase (Zhao et al., 2004; Angel et al., 2005). Since Strens (1967) demonstrated that the higher-symmetry phase in such tilt transitions is also the high-temperature phase because of the greater
degeneracy of normal vibration modes, the Clapeyron slopes of tilt transitions of 2:4 perovskites are positive while those of 3:3 perovskites are negative.

The potential group-subgroup phase transitions for $ABO_3$ perovskites are joined by dashed or solid lines in Figure 1.2, according to whether they are of first or second order required by Landau theory (Landau and Lifshitz, 1980). This theory also requires that other phase transitions without group-subgroup relationship are all of first order. According to the general rule, as pressure is increased 2:4 perovskites tend to go downward or horizontally in the diagram while 3:3 perovskites show the opposite tendency.

So far, most knowledge about the phase transitions of perovskite comes from experiments at relative low pressures and temperatures. First-principles calculations can help expand our understanding of perovskites by showing their structural evolution, phase transitions, thermodynamic and elastic properties at relatively high pressures and temperatures. Crystal chemistry and first-principles calculation can benefit from and improve each other.
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Chapter 2: Octahedral tilts, symmetry-adapted displaceive modes and polyhedral volume ratios in perovskite structures

2.1 Abstract

The structures of tilted perovskites in each of the 15 tilt systems have been decomposed in to the amplitudes of symmetry-adapted modes in order to provide a clear and unambiguous definition of the tilt angles. A full expression in terms of the mode amplitudes for the ratio of the volumes of the two polyhedra within the perovskite structure for each of the 15 tilt systems is derived, along with more general expressions in terms of either mode amplitudes or tilt angles that can be used to estimate this ratio when the distortions of the octahedra are small.

2.2 Introduction

The $ABX_3$ perovskite structure is adopted by minerals of geological importance and many materials with industrial utility. For example, ($Mg$,Fe)SiO$_3$ perovskite is the predominant phase in the Earth’s lower mantle (Ringwood, 1962; Reid and Ringwood, 1975; Liu, 1976; Mao et al., 1977), BaTiO$_3$ and PbTiO$_3$ are important ferroelectrics (e.g. Cohen, 1992), and most high-temperature superconductors are derivatives of the perovskite structure (e.g. Uher, 1990) with an example of MgC$_x$Ni$_3$ being an intermetallic perovskite superconductor (He et al., 2001).

Understanding the relationship between structure, structural variation and thermodynamic properties in perovskites is therefore of importance and utility in many fields.

The $ABX_3$ perovskite structure comprises a three-dimensional framework of corner-linked $BX_6$ octahedra with $A$ cations occupying the cavities within the framework. In the ideal cubic perovskite structure with space group $Pm\bar{3}m$ the $A$ cations are 12-coordinated, forming $AX_{12}$ coordination cuboctahedra. When the $A$ cations are not big enough for the cavities within the framework, the relatively rigid $BX_6$ octahedra tilt to reduce the size of the cavities occupied by the $A$ cations (Megaw, 1966). Subsequent analysis (e.g. Glazer, 1972; Howard and Stokes, 1998, 2002) has shown that there are 15 symmetrically-distinct tilt systems in perovskites that can be
parameterized in terms of the tilts of the octahedra around the three mutually perpendicular tetrad axes of the cubic structure. The tilts of consecutive octahedra along each of the three axes can either be of the same sign and magnitude, or of opposite sign but the same magnitude. This leads to the description of the thermodynamics of phase transitions involving changes in the tilting patterns in terms of two three-dimensional order parameters representing the two types of tilts along each of these three axes (e.g. Howard and Stokes, 2004). Successful analysis of the thermodynamics of perovskite phase transitions involving tilting (Carpenter et al., 2005; Carpenter, 2007; Carpenter et al., 2010) has demonstrated that the tilt angles around the pseudo-cubic axes are indeed thermodynamic order parameters.

The ratio of the volume of the $AX_{12}$ coordination cuboctahedron to that of the $BX_6$ octahedron, $V_A/V_B$, is equal to 5 in the cubic aristotype structure, and decreases with increasing tilting of the octahedra in the hettotype structures (e.g. Avdeev et al., 2007). The relative compressibilities and thermal expansivities of the two polyhedra therefore control whether a given perovskite structure becomes more or less tilted with changes in pressure or temperature (Andrault and Poirier, 1991; Zhao et al., 2004; Angel et al., 2005). In particular, because perovskite structures uniformly become less tilted (on average) with increasing temperature, the compressional behavior of the polyhedra determines the phase diagram for tilt transitions in perovskites (Angel et al., 2005).

When the $BX_6$ octahedra are less compressible than the $AX_{12}$ sites the tilts increase with pressure within a single phase, and when tilt transitions occur, they do so to structures with greater tilts and lower symmetry, giving the phase transition boundary a positive slope $dP/dT$. Conversely, when the $BX_6$ octahedra are softer than the $AX_{12}$ sites, tilting decreases with increasing pressure, and tilt transitions occur to less tilted structures with higher symmetry through a phase transition boundary with $dP/dT < 0$ (Angel et al., 2005). Thus the polyhedral volume ratio of perovskites, $V_A/V_B$, and its change with pressure and temperature, is also an important thermodynamic parameter which must be linked to the values of tilt angles as the primary order parameters for tilt transitions.

Calculation of the tilt angles and the polyhedral volume ratio from experimentally-determined perovskite structures is therefore of critical importance for understanding and characterizing the thermodynamics of perovskites. However, except for structures in the three simplest tilt systems
and the trivial case of the cubic aristotype, the octahedra are permitted by symmetry to become distorted in such a way that affects the tilt angles and the polyhedral volume ratio. Thomas (1996, 1998) proposed a method to quantitatively describe all perovskite structures by a few length and angle parameters. Three of the angle parameters were defined as the angles between $BX_6$ octahedral stalks which connect opposite octahedral vertices, and the corresponding closest pseudo-cubic axes. However, these angles do not correspond to the three tilt angles around the pseudo-cubic axes, especially in tilt systems with three tilts, and they include the distortions of the octahedra as well. Tamazyan & van Smaalen (2007) proposed another geometric parameterization of perovskite structures where the orientation of $BX_6$ octahedra is described by two rotation angles around the $z$ axis and an axis in the $xy$ plane in a direction defined by a third angle. Because the orientation of the octahedra is defined by only two rotation axes and the second one is not necessarily the $x$ or $y$ axis, this description does not aim at the quantification of the tilts around the three pseudo-cubic axes. Furthermore, as illustrated by these two examples, the decomposition of a perovskite structure including tilted and distorted octahedra by geometric analysis does not result in an unambiguous definition of the Glazer (1972) tilts and the problem is more acute in perovskites with lower space group symmetries (Avdeev et al., 2007). As a consequence, Avdeev et al. (2007) provided expressions for the polyhedral volume ratios as direct functions of the fractional coordinates of the anions in each of the perovskite space groups. However, except for the four simplest cases mentioned above, unambiguous expressions for both the Glazer tilts and their relationship to the $V_A/V_B$ ratio remain to be determined both explicitly for each space group, and in a general form.

In this paper I make use of the computer programs *ISOTROPY* (Stokes et al., 2007) and *ISODISTORT* (or the earlier *ISODISPLACE*) (Campbell et al., 2006) to analyze perovskite structures in terms of the irreducible representations of the space group of the parent structure (Perez-Mato et al., 2010). Irreducible representations and symmetry-adapted modes provide a clear and unambiguous way to separate the effects of distortion and tilting of octahedra in perovskites because the modes by definition are orthogonal to one another. I decompose the coordinates in the explicit expressions for $V_A/V_B$ in the 15 tilt systems (Avdeev et al., 2007) into symmetry-adapted mode amplitudes, to express $V_A/V_B$ as a direct function of the amplitudes of the modes that measure the octahedral tilts and distortions. A comparison of these expressions
reveals a general formula that provides a very close approximation to the value of $V_A/V_B$ for all tilt systems both in terms of the mode amplitudes and the values of the Glazer (1972) tilts.

2.3 Symmetry-adapted mode analysis of perovskites

2.3.1 Previous studies

The perovskite structures were first systematically classified by Glazer (1972) according to different tilting patterns of $BX_6$ octahedra around the $a$, $b$ and $c$ axes of the cubic aristotype. Glazer restricted consideration to a simple and common case where the octahedra along the rotation axis rotate by the same angle in the same sense or in opposite senses alternately, which are called ‘in-phase’ and ‘out-of-phase’ tilts, respectively. By inspecting all combinations of in-phase and out-of-phase tilts around the three pseudo-cubic axes by the same or different angles, Glazer found 23 tilt systems and assigned their space groups accordingly. Each tilt system was denoted by a symbol $a^#b^#c^#$, where # takes $0$, $+$ or $-$ if there is no tilt, in-phase or out-of-phase tilt around the relevant axis. While the analysis by Glazer (1972) greatly assisted in the correct structural analysis of many perovskite systems, it left three crucial issues unaddressed. First were the subtle symmetry issues - whether the tilt systems with higher symmetry between the tilts than required by the space group symmetry were really distinct tilt systems, and whether some proposed tilt systems actually involved two tilts around a single axis. Second, except in the case of three simple tilt systems $a^a^a^a^a^a$, $a^0a^0c^+$ and $a^0a^0c^-$, the calculation of the values of the tilts from atomic coordinates is neither well-defined nor unique. Related to that issue, in the majority of tilt systems in which octahedral distortion is permitted by the space group symmetry, the separation of distortions and tilts can be done in many ways resulting in different values for the tilt angles.

All three of these issues were addressed by Howard & Stokes (1998, 2002) who followed the description of tilting patterns of perovskite by Glazer (1972) and analysed the tilts in the context of the Landau theory of phase transitions assisted by the computer program ISOTROPY (Stokes et al., 2007). The octahedral rotations about the $B$ cations are represented by irreps whose basis modes are sets of pseudo-vectors at Wyckoff $a$ sites where $B$ cations are located. Because $BX_6$ octahedra may tilt in opposite senses alternately along the three pseudo-cubic axes, the unit cell
dimensions of tilted perovskites can be at most doubled compared to that of the cubic aristotype. Thus, only irreps at special $k$-points $\Gamma (0, 0, 0)$, $X (0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$, $M (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and $R (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ in the reciprocal space of the cubic aristotype need be considered. Of the possible irreps, Howard & Stokes (1998, 2002) showed that the basis modes of two three-dimensional irreps $M^+_3$ and $R^+_4$ (notation of Miller and Love, 1967) represent the two types of tilt patterns of the octahedra. The in-phase tilt patterns $b^0 b^0 a^+, a^+ b^0 b^0, b^0 a^+ b^0$ correspond to the three basis modes of irrep $M^+_3$, and different combinations of these basis modes generate four tilt systems with only in-phase tilts. Similarly, the three basis modes of irrep $R^+_4$ correspond to the out-of-phase tilt patterns $b^0 b^0 a^-, a^- b^0 b^0, b^0 a^- b^0$, and in various combinations generate the six tilt systems with only out-of-phase tilts. Coupling of $M^+_3$ and $R^+_4$ results in 14 potential tilt systems, four of which belong to the simple case Glazer (1972) considered where in-phase and out-of-phase tilts do not coexist around any individual pseudo-cubic axis. In all, this irrep analysis by Howard & Stokes (1998, 2002) identified 15 tilt systems including the cubic aristotype, all of which were among the 23 tilt systems listed by Glazer. The remaining eight tilt systems listed by Glazer are either a special case of one of the 15 tilt systems or a complex case where in-phase and out-of-phase tilts are allowed by the symmetry to coexist around one pseudo-cubic axis; details are provided by Howard & Stokes (1998, 2002).

Darlington (2002a, b) and Knight (2009) developed an analysis that is essentially equivalent to that of using irreps, by manually decomposing the tilted perovskite structures in terms of condensed normal modes of the cubic aristotype. By comparing the atomic displacements allowed by the space group of tilted perovskites with the mode displacements associated with $X$, $M$ and $R$ points, Knight (2009) identified the modes condensed in the 15 tilted perovskite structures. For each of the structures, he found as many modes as the internal degrees of freedom and gave the equation relating the mode amplitudes and the fractional coordinates as well as cell dimensions. The equation for the perovskite structure with $a^+ b^- b^-$ tilting and space group $Pbnm$ is quoted from Knight (2009) as an example:
The parameters \( d_i \) are the mode amplitudes which is the distance of the independent displacement component of the mode in length units (typically Å or nm). They are dependent upon the \( a, b \) and \( c \) unit cell dimensions of the \( Pbnm \) structure, and the deviations \( u, v \) and \( w \) of the free fractional coordinates of a set of symmetry independent atoms from the ideal coordinates corresponding to the cubic aristotype. Among the seven mode amplitudes, \( d_3 \) and \( d_4 \) correspond to the \( R_4^+ \) and \( M_3^+ \) modes inducing out-of-phase \( b' b' \) and in-phase \( a^+ \) tilts, respectively. Such decompositions explicitly isolate tilting from distortion of the octahedra. The tilt angle around a pseudo-cubic axis can be then calculated by the amplitude of the corresponding octahedral tilt mode:

\[
\phi = \arctan \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{N/V}} \left( \frac{1}{3} d \right) \right) \quad (2.2)
\]

where \( \phi \) is the octahedral tilt angle around a pseudo-cubic axis, \( d \) is the amplitude of the \( M_3^+ \) or \( R_4^+ \) mode associated with the axis, \( V \) is the unit cell volume of the tilted structure, and \( N \) is the multiplicity of the unit cell compared to the cubic aristotype (Knight, 2009).

### 2.3.2 Mode analysis using ISOTROPY and ISODISTORT

ISOTROPY (Stokes et al., 2007) can be used to search for displaceable modes of \( A \) and \( X \) atoms in cubic perovskite and provide a comparison with the results of Cowley (1964). Thus, I searched for irreps at \( k \)-points \( X, M \) and \( R \) of space group \( Pm\bar{3}m \), carried by modes composed of vectors at Wyckoff \( b \) and \( d \) sites occupied by \( A \) and \( X \) atoms, respectively. ISOTROPY found all the irreps...
identified by Knight (2009) in the 15 tilted perovskite structures, for which the basis sets of vectors at Wyckoff $b$ or $d$ sites shown by *ISOTROPY* suggest the same basis modes as tabulated in Cowley (1964) and Knight (2009) except for irrep $X_5^+$. The six basis modes of irrep $X_5^+$ suggested by *ISOTROPY* are linear combinations of those of Cowley’s (Table 2.1). It can be easily deduced that the matrix describing this linear relationship can also relate, by similarity transformation, the irrep matrix carried by *ISOTROPY*’s basis modes and that carried by Cowley’s basis modes, for each symmetry operation in space group $Pm\overline{3}m$. The two sets of matrices are actually equivalent irreps of $X_5^+$ according to the group theory. Thus, the broad search for irreps using *ISOTROPY* verifies all the irreps and their basis modes which have been identified by Knight (2009) in the 15 tilted perovskite structures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Irrep</th>
<th>Wavevector</th>
<th>$A$ cation displacements</th>
<th>$X$ anion displacements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M_2^+$</td>
<td>(0, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$)</td>
<td>$X_{II}(z) = -X_{III}(y)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($\frac{1}{2}$, 0, $\frac{1}{2}$)</td>
<td>$X_{II}(z) = -X_{III}(x)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, 0)</td>
<td>$X_{III}(x) = -X_{IV}(y)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_3^+$</td>
<td>(0, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$)</td>
<td>$X_{II}(y) = -X_{IV}(z)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($\frac{1}{2}$, 0, $\frac{1}{2}$)</td>
<td>$X_{II}(x) = -X_{IV}(z)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, 0)</td>
<td>$X_{IV}(x) = -X_{III}(y)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_3^+$</td>
<td>($\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$)</td>
<td>$X_{II}(z) = X_{IV}(y) = -\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>$X_{III}(x)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$)</td>
<td>$X_{II}(z) = -X_{IV}(y)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_4^+$</td>
<td>($\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$)</td>
<td>$X_{II}(y) = -X_{IV}(z)$</td>
<td>$X_{III}(x) = -X_{IV}(z)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^+_5 )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} )</td>
<td>A(x)</td>
<td>( X_1(y) = X_{II}(z) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X^+_5 )</td>
<td>( 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0 )</td>
<td>A(z)</td>
<td>( X_{II}(z) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cowley)</td>
<td>( 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} )</td>
<td>A(x)</td>
<td>( X_1(x) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0 )</td>
<td>A(y)</td>
<td>( X_1(y) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X^+_5 )</td>
<td>( 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0 )</td>
<td>A(z) = - A(x)</td>
<td>( X_{II}(z) = - X_{II}(x) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ISOTROPY)</td>
<td>( 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} )</td>
<td>A(x) = - A(y)</td>
<td>( X_{II}(x) = - X_{II}(y) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0 )</td>
<td>A(y) = - A(z)</td>
<td>( X_{III}(y) = - X_{III}(z) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Reproduced from Knight (2009). The mode displacements are derived by Cowley (1964). \(^\ddagger\)

The \( A \) cation is at \( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \) in the cubic unit cell. § I, II and III are used by Cowley to denote the three \( X \) anions at \( 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} \), \( 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \) and \( \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0 \) in the cubic unit cell, respectively. The \( x, y \) and \( z \) in parenthesis indicate displacement direction in the cubic lattice. The mode displacements in other unit cells can be deduced from the wavevector of the mode.

Given the parent structure, \textit{ISODISTORT} (Campbell \textit{et al.}, 2006) can decompose a distorted structure with lower symmetry into symmetry-adapted modes of macroscopic strain, atomic displacement and site occupancy. For each displaceive mode condensed in the distorted structure, \textit{ISODISTORT} expresses the displacement directions of affected atoms in terms of the directions in the supercell of the symmetry independent atoms, and hence can be used to relate the mode amplitudes and the fractional coordinates in a form similar to equation (2.1). Note that Knight (2009) incorporates unit cell dimensions \( a, b \) and \( c \) in to the equations, so the resulting mode amplitudes \( d_i \) depend on the cell dimensions. However, \textit{ISODISTORT} describes the superlattice deformation of the distorted structure as macroscopic strain modes, so that the displaceive modes
are referred to the basis of the undeformed superlattice which is an exact transformation of the cubic lattice of the aristotype. Thus, the cell parameter changes of the distorted structure are attributed to the strain modes and the displacive mode amplitudes only depend on fractional coordinates. For displacive mode decomposition of perovskites, I can therefore round the cell dimensions $a$, $b$ and $c$ in equation (2.1) to multiples of that of the cubic aristotype $a_p$ and divide both sides of the equation by $a_p$. After eliminating the coefficients on the right-hand side, I have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
d_1' \\
d_2' \\
d_3' \\
d_4' \\
d_5' \\
d_6' \\
d_7'
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{u}{u_1} \\
\frac{v}{v_1} \\
\frac{u_2}{u_2} \\
\frac{v_2}{v_2} \\
\frac{w_2}{w_2}
\end{pmatrix} \\
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_i' = d_i/a_p$ are clearly now the mode amplitudes in terms of only the changes of the fractional coordinates of the atoms within the unit cell of the cubic aristotype, and do not incorporate the unit cell deformation, so this form of mode decomposition equation follows the separation between strain and displacive modes in ISODISTORT.

In fact, the atomic displacement directions in the supercell shown by ISODISTORT for each displacive mode just constitute the corresponding column of the square matrix in equation (2.3), except that ISODISTORT defines the directions such that the magnitude of the largest component is equal to 1 or -1. For example, for the $M_3^+$ mode of $X$ anions condensed in the $Pbnnm$ perovskite structure, ISODISTORT shows that the symmetry independent $X1$ anion at $(0, 0, 1/4)$ does not move while the $X2$ anion moves from the ideal position $(1/4, 1/4, 0)$ along the $[\bar{1}10]$ direction in the supercell. But I cannot simply put these direction components in to the 4th column in equation (2.3) associated with the $M_3^+$ mode because the numbers in the column should be the coordinate
changes induced by the unit mode amplitude $d'_4 = 1$ or $d_4 = a_p$. The supercell lattice vectors of the $Pbnm$ structure are [110], [1T10] and [002] in terms of the cubic lattice vectors, so the direction vector [1T10] of the X2 anion in the supercell is actually vector [200] in the cubic parent cell. Let’s hypothetically assume that the coordinates of the X2 anion change by [1T10] in the supercell, then the mode amplitude would be $d_4 = F a_p$, $F = 2$, recalling that Knight (2009) defines the mode amplitude as the distance of the independent displacement component. So, the direction vector [1T10] divided by the factor $F = 2$ are the coordinate changes induced by the unit mode amplitude and the resulting $-\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ should be put in to the 5th and 6th positions of the 4th column associated with $u_2 = x_{X2} - \frac{1}{4}$ and $v_2 = y_{X2} - \frac{1}{4}$, respectively.

Because of the $F$ factor, and the different terminology used by ISODISTORT, the mode amplitudes output from ISODISTORT must be converted to get the mode amplitudes $d'_i$, which otherwise have to be calculated by solving equation (2.3). ISODISTORT outputs the standard supercell-normalized amplitude $A_s$ rather than $d$ defined by Knight (2009). Their relationship is $A_s = (1/normfactor) \cdot d/(F a_p)$, where the normfactor depends on the structure and $F a_p$ is the mode amplitude produced by the direction vectors relevant atoms move along. With $d' = d/a_p$, I have

$$d' = A_s \cdot normfactor \cdot F.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

ISODISTORT calculates $A_s$ and normfactor for each displacive mode condensed in the input structure, so in practice I can obtain $d'_i$ of each mode by this simple expression rather than solving equation (2.3).

Based on equation (2.3), I add the ideal values of the independent fractional coordinates corresponding to the cubic aristotype on both sides of the equation, so that the mode amplitudes are directly related to the fractional coordinates. The results for all the 15 tilted perovskite structures are listed in Appendix A. The space group settings and Wyckoff positions adopted for the 15 perovskite structures follow Table 5 of Woodward (1997) and Table 1 of Avdeev et al. (2007), except that I use $P\bar{T}$ rather than $F\bar{T}$ because ISODISTORT does not accept input
structures in space group settings that are not included in the *International Tables for Crystallography*. In Appendix A I omit the prime symbol for \( d \) and use three subscripts. Other than the Miller and Love (1967) irrep symbol, the first subscript indicates whether the mode involves displacements of \( A \) cations at cubic Wyckoff \( b \) sites or \( X \) anions at \( d \) sites. The digits in the third subscript indicate which basis modes of the irrep make up the linear combination acting as one symmetry-adapted mode. I should note that the basis modes constituting the symmetry-adapted mode can change if a different subgroup of \( Pm\bar{3}m \) is chosen for the tilted perovskite structure containing the mode, which is conjugate to that used in this study. In this case the symmetry operation in \( Pm\bar{3}m \) which relates the two conjugate subgroups transforms the domain state used in this study to another. So, the order parameter for each irrep is multiplied by the matrix representing the operation in the irrep and may become a different combination of basis modes. As an independent component of a varying order parameter, the symmetry-adapted mode may also become a different combination of basis modes of the associated irrep, and hence may be denoted by different digits in the third subscript.

After comparing our results of displacive mode decomposition of perovskite with those of Knight (2009), I found general agreement except for three points to note. The first is that Knight uses linear combinations of \( R5^+ \) basis modes \( A(x) = A(y) \) and \( A(x) = -A(y) \) in the \( I2/m \) and \( P2_1/m \) (\( I12_1/n \) and \( P112_1/m \) in Knight (2009)) structures, rather than the \( R5^- \) basis modes themselves such as \( A(x), A(y), A(z) \) in the \( P\bar{1} \) (\( F\bar{1} \) in Knight (2009)) structure. In these three structures, whether the \( R5^+ \) basis modes or their linear combinations are used affects the relevant part of the mode decomposition equation. The second point is similar; that in the \( P2_1/m \) structure the linear combinations of \( X5^+ \) basis modes \( \bar{X}_I(x) = X_I(y) \) and \( \bar{X}_I(x) = -X_I(y) \) ISODISTORT uses make the mode decomposition equation a little different from Knight’s which involves the \( X5^+ \) basis modes \( X_I(x) \) and \( X_I(y) \) themselves. The third point is that although Knight did not write the \( R3^+ \) mode in the \( I2/a \) (\( I2_1/b11 \) in Knight (2009)) perovskite structure as a linear combination of basis modes \( X_I(z) = X_{II}(y) = -\frac{1}{2}X_{III}(x) \) and \( X_I(z) = -X_{II}(y) \) of irrep \( R3^+ \) (Table 2.1), the mode he wrote as \( X_{II}(y) = -X_{III}(x) \) is actually a linear combination of the two basis modes as denoted by our
symbol \( d_{X,R^+_1,12} \) (Appendix A section g). But if the space group \( I2/a \) undergoes a conjugate subgroup transformation (as mentioned previously) through the three-fold rotation around the cubic [111] direction \( C_{31}^+ \), the mode \( X_{II}(y) = -X_{III}(x) \) would transform to \( X_{I}(z) = -X_{II}(y) \), the second basis mode of irrep \( R^+_3 \), and hence the symbol would be \( d_{X,R^+_1,2} \) instead of \( d_{X,R^+_1,12} \). This is an example of the mode symbol changing with the domain states described by different conjugate subgroups of \( Pm\bar{3}m \).

### 2.4 Polyhedral volume ratio \( V_A/V_B \)

#### 2.4.1 \( V_A/V_B \) as a function of displacive mode amplitudes

Avdeev et al. (2007) derived the formulae for the \( AX_{12} \) and \( BX_6 \) polyhedral volume ratio \( V_A/V_B \) in terms of the fractional coordinates of the \( X \) anions for the 15 tilted perovskite structures and the \( Pm\bar{3}m \) aristotype structure. Note that the unit cell parameters do not appear in the formulae because although they affect the values of \( V_A \) and \( V_B \), changes in the unit cell parameters change both polyhedral volumes in the same proportion and the ratio \( V_A/V_B \) therefore remains unaffected by the unit cell parameters. Further, because the \( AX_{12} \) cuboctahedra and \( BX_6 \) octahedra are bounded by \( X \) anions, the \( V_A/V_B \) formulae only contain the fractional coordinates of the \( X \) anions. If I substitute the lines concerning the \( X \) anions in the mode decomposition equation in to the \( V_A/V_B \) formula of Avdeev et al. (2007), I can obtain the \( V_A/V_B \) formula in terms of the amplitudes of the displacive modes of the \( X \) anions. The resulting \( V_A/V_B \) formulae for the 15 perovskite structures are shown in Table 2.2. In the \( Cmcm, I4/mmm, P2_1/m, P4_2/nmc, Im\bar{3} \) and \( Immm \) structures, \( A \) or \( B \) cation occupies more than one symmetry independent sites and hence has more than one polyhedral volumes as denoted in Table 1 of Avdeev et al. (2007). In these cases, the expression for the ratio of the average polyhedral volumes is used for substitution. As expected, only the modes involving the \( X \) anions are present in the formulae. All of the formulae have a common general form in which if all of the mode amplitudes in the denominator are zero, \( V_A/V_B \) becomes 5, the value in the cubic aristotype structure without any distortion. \( V_A/V_B \) can become
smaller or larger than 5 depending on the mode amplitudes. I should note that the $V_A/V_B$ formulae given in Table 2.2 are based on the mode decomposition equations given in Appendix A. If I substitute a mode amplitude $d'$ with $-d'$ in the mode decomposition equation, the resulting $V_A/V_B$ formula would also have the $d'$ replaced by $-d'$.

Table 2.2 $V_A/V_B$ as a function of mode amplitudes for 15 tilted perovskite structures.

The expression of $V_A/V_B$ following the equal sign is in terms of the amplitudes of all the symmetry-adapted modes of $X$ anions condensed in each tilted perovskite structure and that following the approximately equal sign is in terms of only the tilt mode amplitudes. The mode amplitude is a fraction of $a_p$, the unit cell dimension of the cubic aristotype. The prime symbol in $d'$ is omitted for tidiness. The three subscripts of $d$ are, in sequence: the relevant atom type, the irrep and the group of digits indicating the linear combination of the corresponding basis modes of the irrep.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Space Group</th>
<th>$V_A/V_B$ expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>$a^0a^0a^0$ No. 221 $Pm\bar{3}m$</td>
<td>$V_A/V_B = 5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>$a' a' a'$ No. 167 $R\bar{3}c$</td>
<td>$V_A/V_B = \frac{6}{1 + 4 \cdot 3d_{X,R_{1},ij}^2} - 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>$a^0 a^0 c^+$ No. 127 $P4/mbm$</td>
<td>$V_A/V_B = \frac{6}{1 + 4 d_{X,M_{ij},1}^2} - 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>$a^0 a^0 c^-$ No. 140 $I4/mcm$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[ \frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 4d_{X,R_{i}^1,1}^2} - 1 \]

\[ e \quad a^0b^1c^1 \quad \text{No. 74 Imma} \]

\[ \frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 8d_{X,R_{i}^1,12}^2 - 8d_{X,R_{i}^1,12}^2} - 1 \]
\[ \approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \cdot 2d_{X,R_{i}^1,12}^2} - 1 \]

\[ f \quad a^0b^-c^- \quad \text{No. 12 I2/m (non-standard setting of C2/m)} \]

\[ \frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 4d_{X,R_{i}^1,1}^2 + 4d_{X,R_{i}^1,2}^2 - 4d_{X,R_{i}^1,1}^2 - 4d_{X,R_{i}^2,2}^2} - 1 \]
\[ \approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \left( d_{X,R_{i}^1,1}^2 + d_{X,R_{i}^2,2}^2 \right)} - 1 \]

\[ g \quad a^1b^1c^1 \quad \text{No. 15 I2/a (non-standard setting of C2/c)} \]

\[ \frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 - 4d_{X,R_{i}^1,12}^2 + 8d_{X,R_{i}^1,13}^2 + 4d_{X,R_{i}^2,12}^2 - 8d_{X,R_{i}^1,13}^2} - 1 \]
\[ \approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \left( 2d_{X,R_{i}^1,13}^2 + d_{X,R_{i}^2,12}^2 \right)} - 1 \]

\[ h \quad a^1b^-c^- \quad \text{No. 62 Pnma} \]

\[ \frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 - 4d_{X,M_{i}^2,3}^2 + 4d_{X,M_{i}^1,3}^2 + 8d_{X,R_{i}^1,12}^2 - 8d_{X,R_{i}^1,12}^2 + 16 \left( d_{X,M_{i}^2,3}^2 + d_{X,M_{i}^1,3}^2 \right) \left( d_{X,R_{i}^1,12}^2 + d_{X,R_{i}^1,12}^2 \right) d_{X,X_{i}^1}^2} - 1 \]
\[ \approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \left( d_{X,M_{i}^1,3}^2 + 2d_{X,R_{i}^2,12}^2 \right)} - 1 \]
\[ V_A = \frac{6}{1 + 8d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 - 4d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 + 16d_{x,M_{1,1}}^2 - 16d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 \left( d_{x,M_{1,23}}^2 - d_{x,M_{1,23}}^2 \right) - 1} \]
\[ \approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \left( 2d_{x,M_{1,23}}^2 + d_{x,R_{1,1}}^2 \right) - 1} \]

\[ V_A = \frac{6}{1 + 4d_{x,M_{1,1}}^2 - 4d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 + 4d_{x,R_{1,1}}^2 - 4d_{x,R_{1,3}}^2 - 8 \left( d_{x,M_{1,1}}^2 - d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 \right) \left( d_{x,R_{1,3}}^2 - d_{x,R_{1,1}}^2 \right) d_{x,X_{1,34}}^2} \]
\[ \approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \left( d_{x,M_{1,1}}^2 + d_{x,R_{1,3}}^2 \right) - 1} \]

\[ V_A = \frac{6}{1 + 4d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 - 4d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 + 4d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 - 4d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 + 4d_{x,M_{1,1}}^2 + 4d_{x,R_{1,1}}^2 - 4d_{x,R_{1,1}}^2 - 4d_{x,R_{1,2}}^2} \]
\[ + 8 \left( d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 + d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 + d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 \right) \left( d_{x,R_{1,1}}^2 + d_{x,R_{1,1}}^2 \right) \]
\[ + \left( d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 - d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 - d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 + d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 \right) \left( d_{x,R_{1,2}}^2 - d_{x,R_{1,2}}^2 \right) \]
\[ \approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \left( d_{x,M_{1,3}}^2 + d_{x,R_{1,1}}^2 + d_{x,R_{1,2}}^2 \right) - 1} \]
\[
\frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 12d_{2X,M_1,123}^2 - 12d_{2X,M_1',123}^2 + 16 \left( 3d_{2X,M_1,123}^2 + d_{2X,M_1',123}^2 \right)} - 1
\]
\[
\approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \cdot 3d_{2X,M_1,123}^2} - 1
\]

m \ a^0b^+b^+ \ No. 139 \ I4/mmm

\[
\frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 8d_{2X,M_1,23}^2 - 4d_{2X,M_1',23}^2 - 8d_{2X,M_1,23}^2 - 16d_{2X,M_1',23} \left( d_{2X,M_1,23}^2 - d_{2X,M_1',23}^2 \right)} - 1
\]
\[
\approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \cdot 2d_{2X,M_1,23}^2} - 1
\]

n \ a^+b^+c^+ \ No. 71 \ Immm

\[
\frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 4d_{2X,M_1,1}^2 + 4d_{2X,M_1',2}^2 + 4d_{2X,M_1',3}^2 - 4d_{2X,M_1,1}^2 - 4d_{2X,M_1',2}^2 - 4d_{2X,M_1,3}^2}
\]
\[
+ 16d_{2X,M_1,3} \left( d_{2X,M_1,2}d_{2X,M_1',1} + d_{2X,M_1',2}d_{2X,M_1,3} \right) + 16 \left( d_{2X,M_1,1}d_{2X,M_1',2} + d_{2X,M_1',1}d_{2X,M_1,2} \right) \right) - 1
\]
\[
\approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \left( d_{2X,M_1,1}^2 + d_{2X,M_1',2}^2 + d_{2X,M_1',3}^2 \right)} - 1
\]

o \ a'b'c' \ No. 2 \ P\bar{T}

\[
\frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 12d_{2X,R1,1}^2 - 3d_{2X,R1',1}^2 - 4d_{2X,R1',2}^2 + 4d_{2X,R1',3}^2 + 4d_{2X,R1,2}^2 + 4d_{2X,R1,3}^2 - 4d_{2X,R1',1}^2 - 4d_{2X,R1',2}^2 - 4d_{2X,R1',3}^2} - 1
\]
\[
\approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \left( d_{2X,R1,1}^2 + d_{2X,R1',2}^2 + d_{2X,R1',3}^2 \right)} - 1
\]

2.4.2 Effect of octahedral tilt modes on $V_A/V_B$
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Of all of the displacive modes of X anions, the $M_3^+$ and $R_4^+$ modes can induce in-phase and out-of-phase octahedral tilts respectively and hence are called octahedral tilt modes. The other modes only contribute to the distortion of the octahedra and hence are called octahedral distortion modes. I should emphasise that, even in the absence of octahedral distortion modes, it is possible for the octahedra to be distorted as a consequence of the deviation of the metric from cubic symmetry. These types of distortions are therefore included in the $\Gamma$-point strain modes and not in the octahedral distortion modes.

If I keep only the octahedral tilt modes in the $V_A/V_B$ formula and set the octahedral distortion modes to zero, I can obtain the $V_A/V_B$ formula as a function of the amplitudes of the octahedral tilt modes alone. The results for the 15 tilted perovskite structures are shown in Table 2.2 and are indicated by the use of “approximately equal” signs. The resulting formulae are much simpler and have a general form:

$$\frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 4 \sum_i n_i d_i'^2} - 1$$

(2.5)

where the sum is over all of the condensed octahedral tilts (at most three modes in all tilted perovskite structures), $d_i'$ is the amplitude of the $i$th octahedral tilt mode which is a linear combination of the basis modes of irrep $M_3^+$ or $R_4^+$, and $n_i$ is the number of basis modes involved in the $i$th mode. Note that the simplified form given in equation (2.5) shows that when only the octahedral tilt modes condense, $V_A/V_B$ is never greater than 5 and it decreases with increasing mode amplitudes, in accord with the experimental observation that the polyhedral volume ratio $V_A/V_B$ can be reduced by octahedral tilting (e.g. Thomas and Beitollahi, 1994; Thomas, 1996, 1998; Angel et al., 2005).

The octahedral tilt modes condensed in each tilted perovskite structure coincide with the tilt system. For example, in the structure with $a^+b^-b^-$ tilting and space group $Pnma$, the $M_3^+$ and $R_4^+$ modes are condensed with order parameters $(0, 0, d_{X,M_3^+}, 3)$ and $(d_{X,R_4^+,12}, -d_{X,R_4^-,12}, 0)$, which correspond to the in-phase tilt $a^+$ and the two out-of-phase tilts $b^-b^-$, respectively. If I denote the
amplitudes of the basis modes, of either irrep $M^\pm_3$ or $R^\pm_4$, associated with $a$, $b$ and $c$ pseudo-cubic axes as $d_a$, $d_b$ and $d_c$, then I have $d_a = 3X, 3M, d_b = 4X, 12R, d_c = 4X, 12R$ and equation (2.5) for $a^+b^c$ (Table 2.2h) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 4(d_a^2 + d_b^2 + d_c^2)} - 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

It is straightforward to test this form for the remainder of the 15 tilt systems.

Recall equation (2.2) showing the relationship between the octahedral tilt angle around a pseudo-cubic axis and the corresponding octahedral tilt mode. Because I attribute the unit cell deformation of the tilted structure to the strain modes, as in ISODISTORT, the unit cell volume can be rounded to the multiple of that of the cubic aristotype, $V = Na_p^3$. Then, equation (2.2) becomes:

$$\phi = \arctan 2d'$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

where $d' = d/a_p$, is just one of the $d_a$, $d_b$ and $d_c$. If I substitute equation (2.7) in to (2.6) three times, I have

$$\frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + \tan^2 \phi_a + \tan^2 \phi_b + \tan^2 \phi_c} - 1$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

where $\phi_a$, $\phi_b$ and $\phi_c$ are the octahedral tilt angles around $a$, $b$ and $c$ pseudo-cubic axes, respectively. Thus, after neglecting the unit cell deformation of the tilted structure (which does not affect $V_A/V_B$) and all the octahedral distortion modes, the polyhedral volume ratio $V_A/V_B$ becomes a simple function of the octahedral tilt mode amplitudes or the octahedral tilt angles associated with the three pseudo-cubic axes.

For tilt systems involving a tilt about a single axis equation (2.8) reduces to $V_A/V_B = 6/(1 + \tan^2 \phi) - 1$ which is identical to the more common form of $V_A/V_B = 6\cos^2 \phi - 1$. The latter can be derived by simple geometry and is a special case of $V_A/V_B = 6\cos^2 \theta_m \cos \theta_c - 1$ proposed by Thomas.
(1996) for orthorhombic and tetragonal perovskites, where because the octahedra only rotate around the z axis, the angle between the z axis and the corresponding octahedral stalk \( \theta_z \) is zero and the other two axis-stalk angles, and hence their average \( \theta_m \), is equal to the tilt angle \( \phi \). For the \( a^1a^-a^- \) and \( a^+a^-a^- \) tilt systems where the three tilt angles and the three mode amplitudes are equal (i.e. \( \phi_a = \phi_b = \phi_c = \phi \) and \( d_a = d_b = d_c = d' \)) equation (2.8) reduces to \( V_d/V_B = 6/(1 + 3\tan^2 \phi) - 1 = 6/(1 + 12d^2) - 1 \). The rotation angle \( \omega \) of the octahedra around the \([111]\) axis can be derived as \( \tan \omega = 2\sqrt{3}d' \) from the displacements of the octahedral vertices, so by substitution I obtain \( V_d/V_B = 6\cos^2 \omega - 1 \) which was proposed by Thomas & Beitollahi (1994) for rhombohedral perovskites.

2.4.3 Geometric proof

Although equation (2.6) emerges by inspection of the \( V_d/V_B \) formulae for all the 15 tilt systems, it can actually be proved quite simply by geometry. Consider the \( BX_6 \) octahedron centered at the origin of the unit cell of the cubic aristotype. I use \( X_a, X_b, X_c \) to denote the three \( X \) anions with the fractional coordinates \( \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0 \right), \left( 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) \) and \( \left( 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} \right) \), respectively. The basis mode, of either irrep \( M^+_3 \) or \( R^+_4 \), associated with the \( a \) axis imposes on \( X_b \) and \( X_c \) displacements \( (0, 0, d_a) \) and \( (0, -d_a, 0) \), respectively. Similarly, the basis mode associated with \( b \) axis imposes on \( X_c \) and \( X_a \) displacements \( (d_b, 0, 0) \) and \( (0, 0, -d_b) \), respectively and that associated with \( c \) axis imposes on \( X_a \) and \( X_b \) displacements \( (0, d_c, 0) \) and \( (-d_c, 0, 0) \), respectively. If the octahedral distortion modes are not considered, \( X_a, X_b, X_c \) are moved by the combination of all three modes to \( \left( \frac{1}{2}, d_c, -d_b \right) \), \( (-d_c, \frac{1}{2}, d_a) \) and \( (d_b, -d_c, \frac{1}{2}) \), respectively. The volume of the tetrahedron bounded by \( X_a, X_b, X_c \) and the origin \( O \) can be calculated as

\[
V_{OX_aX_bX_c} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{vmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & -d_c & d_b \\
           d_c & \frac{1}{2} & -d_a \\
-d_b & d_a & \frac{1}{2}
\end{vmatrix} = \frac{1+4\left(d_a^2 + d_b^2 + d_c^2\right)}{48}
\] (2.9)
where all lengths are fractions of \(a_p\) and the volume is a fraction of \(a_p^3\). The remaining three \(X\) anions of the \(BX_6\) octahedron centered at the origin are just related by inversion through the origin to \(X_a, X_b\) and \(X_c\). The volumes of these other seven tetrahedra making up the octahedron can be calculated by a determinant as equation (2.9) and the results are all equal to \(V_{OX_aX_bX_c}\). So, the octahedral volume is eight times \(V_{OX_aX_bX_c}\), and is the same for all of the other octahedra in the super cell of the tilted perovskite. The volume of the \(AX_{12}\) cuboctahedra \(V_A\) can be obtained by subtracting the octahedral volume \(V_B\) from the total volume \(a_p^3\) associated with each cubic lattice point and the polyhedral volume ratio \(V_A/V_B\) can be calculated by

\[
\frac{V_A}{V_B} = 1 - 8 \cdot \frac{V_{OX_aX_bX_c}}{8 \cdot V_{OX_aX_bX_c}} = \frac{6}{1 + 4\left(d_a^2 + d_b^2 + d_c^2\right)} - 1
\]

which is just equation (2.6). Although this result is obtained in reference to the cubic lattice, it applies to all tilted perovskites because, as noted above, the deformation of the unit cell has no effect on the volume ratio \(V_A/V_B\).

2.5 Worked Example with ISODISTORT

As an example of how to extract the information required to calculate the mode amplitudes and tilt angles from ISODISTORT, I use the room-pressure structure of pure MgSiO\(_3\) \textit{Pnma} perovskite published by Dobson & Jacobsen (2004). The original structure was published in space group \textit{Pbnm}, so the first step is to transform the published atom coordinates into \textit{Pnma}. Comparison of the resulting coordinates with those given in Appendix A section h for \textit{Pnma} shows that in addition an origin shift has to be applied to place the \(B\) cation site (Si) at the origin, and that the oxygen atoms have then to be moved to equivalent positions by the space group operators so that they correspond exactly to those positions listed in Appendix A section h. The resulting coordinate list is given in Table 2.3. The cubic perovskite structure never becomes stable under any conditions for MgSiO\(_3\), so a fictive structure has to be constructed to act as a parent reference structure for the ISODISTORT program. Note that, although the individual values of \(As\) and \textit{normfactor} produced by ISODISTORT depend on the cell parameter of the cubic parent structure, their product used in equation (2.4) does not. The only requirement is that
the volume strain between the parent structure and the distorted structure is sufficiently small to allow ISODISTORT to identify the equivalent atoms, and this can be achieved by setting the unit cell volume of the cubic parent structure equal to the sub-cell volume of the distorted structure (Table 2.3).

Table 2.3 Cif files for the example calculation in section 2.5.a.

**Distorted structure:**

```plaintext
data_MgSiO3_Pnma_P0_DobsonJacobsen
_space_group_name_H-M_alt 'P n m a'
_diffrn_ambient_pressure 0

_cell_length_a 4.9298(3)
_cell_length_b 6.8990(3)
_cell_length_c 4.7780(2)
_cell_angle_alpha 90.0000
_cell_angle_beta 90.0000
_cell_angle_gamma 90.0000

loop_
  _atom_site_label
  _atom_site_fract_x
  _atom_site_fract_y
  _atom_site_fract_z

Mg  0.55588 0.25000 0.01378
Si  0.00000 0.00000 0.00000
O1  -0.03355 0.25000 -0.10189
O2  0.79867 0.05258 0.30374
```

**Parent structure:**

```plaintext
data_MgSiO3_Pm_3m_P0
_space_group_name_H-M_alt 'P m -3 m'
_diffrn_ambient_pressure 0

_cell_length_a 3.438
_cell_length_b 3.438
_cell_length_c 3.438
_cell_angle_alpha 90.0000
_cell_angle_beta 90.0000
_cell_angle_gamma 90.0000

loop_
```
The items with name ‘_space_group_symop_operation_xyz’ in the cif file are needed by ISODISTORT. They are omitted here to save space.

Table 2.4 Output from ISODISTORT: mode details for the perovskite structure defined in Table 2.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode (^a)</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>normfactor</th>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>dx</th>
<th>dy</th>
<th>dz</th>
<th>(F) factor</th>
<th>(d')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(R_4^+) (a,-a,0)</td>
<td>1.42368</td>
<td>0.07272</td>
<td>(\text{O}_2)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{O}_1)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_5^+) (a,a,0)</td>
<td>0.02248</td>
<td>0.07272</td>
<td>(\text{O}_2)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{O}_1)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_5^+) (a,0,0,0,0,0)</td>
<td>0.32624</td>
<td>0.10284</td>
<td>(\text{O}_2)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.03355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{O}_1)</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M_2^+) (0,0,a)</td>
<td>0.04930</td>
<td>0.05142</td>
<td>(\text{O}_2)</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{O}_1)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M_3^+) (0,0,a)</td>
<td>0.99585</td>
<td>0.05142</td>
<td>(\text{O}_2)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.10241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{O}_1)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Only those modes involving oxygen displacements are listed in this table. The order parameter for each mode is in the parenthesis.

After loading the structures to ISODISTORT, and performing the mode decomposition (Method 4 for the search) with the appropriate basis (in this case [10\(\bar{T}\]],[020],[101]), all of the information required for the calculation of mode amplitudes, tilt angles and the polyhedral volume ratios is provided on the ‘modes details’ page. The values of As and normfactor for the modes involving
only the oxygen atoms can be copied directly (Table 2.4). The $F$ factors have to be calculated by transforming the displacive mode direction vectors back in to the parent sub-cell. For example, for the O2 atom under the $R_4^+$ mode, the mode direction vector $[dx\ dy\ dz]$ is given as $[0\frac{1}{2}\ 0]$ in the supercell, which is equivalent to 0.5 times the basis vector [020] in the parent cubic cell, and is thus [010] . As this is a simple lattice vector, the $F$ factor is 1. As it should be, the same result is obtained for $R_4^+$ if the O1 atom is considered; $[dx\ dy\ dz] = [00\bar{1}]$ so in the parent cubic cell this is equal to -1.[101] and thus [101], another simple lattice vector. The $F$ factor for the $M_3^+$ mode is equal to 2, because for O2 $[dx\ dy\ dz]$ is given as [101] in the distorted structure, and is thus equal to [101] + [101] in the parent cubic structure or [200] which is twice the cubic lattice vector. All of the displacive mode definitions and $F$ factors for the example are listed in Table 2.4.

By inserting the mode amplitudes in to the equations listed in Table 2.2h, the polyhedral volume ratio for this MgSiO$_3$ perovskite structure is calculated as 4.29, but 4.32 when only the tilt modes are considered. The tilt angles can also now be calculated using equation (2.7) as $\phi_a = \arctan(2\ d_{X,M3^+}) = 11.6^\circ$ and $\phi_b = \phi_c = \arctan(2\ d_{X,R4^+}) = 11.7^\circ$.

2.6 Experimental data analysis

Review of the expressions given in Table 2.2 for the polyhedral volume ratio $V_A/V_B$ reveals three distinct classes in terms of the relationship to the mode amplitudes. In this section I review selected experimental data for one example from each of these three classes in order to demonstrate how the interplay between the octahedral tilt modes and the octahedral distortion modes controls the variation of the polyhedral volume ratio in each case.

2.6.1 Perovskites without octahedral distortion

There are three tilt systems, apart from the trivial un-tilted case of $Pm\bar{3}m$ symmetry, in which no octahedral distortion modes are allowed: $\bar{a}\ a\ a\ (R\bar{3}c)$, $a\ ^0\ a\ c\ ^+\ (P4/mbm)$ and $a\ a\ 0\ c\ ^-\ (I4/mcm)$. The $R\bar{3}c$ perovskite structure is produced by three out-of-phase tilts of equal magnitude around the
three pseudo-cubic axes. Correspondingly, the out-of-phase tilt $R^+_4$ mode condensed in the $R\bar{3}c$ structure is a linear combination of the three $R^+_4$ basis modes with equal magnitude coefficients. The $R^+_4$ mode amplitude is thus the only internal degree of freedom. The expression for $V_A/V_B$ in terms of the mode amplitude is therefore a simple function of the tilt mode amplitude alone, as it is for the tilt systems $a_0^0a_0^0c^+$ and $a_0^0a_0^0c^-$ (Table 2.2b-d). For all three of these tilt systems there is a unique relationship between increasing amplitude of the tilt mode and decreasing $V_A/V_B$ ratio.

As an example of a purely-tilted perovskite, consider LaCrO$_3$ perovskite above its orthorhombic to rhombohedral phase transition at approximately 260 °C (Hashimoto et al., 2000). The rhombohedral structure with space group $R\bar{3}c$ was determined by neutron powder diffraction up to 740 °C (Oikawa et al., 2000). I calculated the polyhedral volume ratio $V_A/V_B$ from the only free coordinate $x_0$ in the hexagonal unit cell at each temperature using the formula from Avdeev et al. (2007), and the mode amplitude $d_{x,R^+_4,123}$ from ISODISTORT following equation (2.4).

Figure 2.1 shows that the LaCrO$_3$ experimental data fall on the curve representing the theoretical relationship between the two given in Table 2.2b. As the temperature increases, the octahedral tilt mode amplitude $d_{x,R^+_4,123}$ decreases and the polyhedral volume ratio $V_A/V_B$ increases towards 5, both showing that, as normal for $R\bar{3}c$ perovskite structures, LaCrO$_3$ becomes less tilted at higher temperatures and may eventually transform to the aristotype with $Pm\bar{3}m$ symmetry (e.g. Hofer and Kock, 1993).
Figure 2.1 Variation of $V_A/V_B$ calculated from the atomic coordinates (Avdeev et al., 2007) with mode amplitude $d_{X,R_{d}^{+},123}$ of the $R\bar{3}c$ phase of LaCrO$_3$ perovskite. The curve is the $V_A/V_B$ ($d_{X,R_{d}^{+},123}$) expression for the $R\bar{3}c$ structure given in Table 2.2.

2.6.2 Perovskites with separated tilts and distortions

The Imma perovskite structure is produced by $a^0b^0b^\ast$ tilting which is composed of two out-of-phase tilts around two pseudo-cubic axes by an equal angle and no tilt around the third axis. Correspondingly, the out-of-phase tilt $R_{d}^{+}$ mode condensed in the Imma structure is a linear combination of two of the three $R_{d}^{+}$ basis modes with equal magnitude coefficients. There is also an octahedral distortion $R_{5}^{+}$ mode which is a linear combination of two of the three $R_{5}^{+}$ basis modes. The $V_A/V_B$ ($d_{X,R_{d}^{+},123}$, $d_{X,R_{d}^{+},123}$) expression for the Imma structure (Table 2.2e) is plotted as a surface in Figure 2.2, which shows that $V_A/V_B$ decreases with the octahedral tilt mode amplitude $d_{X,R_{d}^{+},123}$ while it increases with the octahedral distortion mode amplitude $d_{X,R_{d}^{+},123}$. The curve in the $d_{X,R_{d}^{+},123} = 0$ plane just displays the decreasing function $V_A/V_B$ ($d_{X,R_{d}^{+},123}$) omitting the octahedral distortion mode amplitude $d_{X,R_{d}^{+},123}$. This same form of complete separation of the
influence of the tilt modes and distortion modes on $V_A/V_B$ is also displayed by two other tilt systems, $a^0b^c$ ($I2/m$) and $a^b^b^c$ ($I2/a$) (Table 2.2fg). In all three cases the octahedral distortions always contribute to an increase in $V_A/V_B$ as shown in Figure 2.2.

Figure 2.2  Variation of $V_A/V_B$ with mode amplitudes $d_{X,R_1^{1.12}}$ and $d_{X,R_1^{1.12}}$ of Imma perovskites.

The surface is the $V_A/V_B$ ($d_{X,R_1^{1.12}}, d_{X,R_1^{1.12}}$) expression for the Imma structure given in Table 2.2e. The series of dots are BaPbO$_3$ at 4.2 ~ 553 K (red, Fu et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2007), BaCe$_{0.8}$Zr$_{0.2}$O$_3$ at 300, 345 K (orange, Pagnier et al., 2000), SrSnO$_3$ at 650 ~ 790 K (cyan, Goodwin et al., 2007), BaTbO$_3$ at 40 ~ 260 K (yellow, Fu et al., 2004), Sr$_{1-x}$Ce$_x$MnO$_3$ $x = 0.35, 0.40$ (purple, Kennedy et al., 2008), (Na$_{0.5}$Nd$_{0.5}$)$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$TiO$_3$ $x = 0.3, 0.4$ (green, Ranjan et al., 2006). The black dots are Sr$_{0.6}$Ba$_{0.4}$SnO$_3$ (Mountstevens et al., 2003), CeAlO$_3$ at 373 K (Fu and IJdo, 2006), BaCeO$_3$ at 573 K (Knight, 1994), PrAlO$_3$ at 185 K (Carpenter et al., 2005), Ca$_{0.4}$La$_{0.4}$TiO$_3$ (Zhang et al., 2007), BaPrO$_3$ at 573 K (Saines et al., 2009), Pr$_{0.76}$La$_{0.24}$AlO$_3$ at 170 K (Basyuk et al., 2009), BaCe$_{0.8}$Mg$_{0.2}$O$_{2.9}$ at 773 K (Malavasi et al., 2008), BaPr$_{0.9}$Y$_{0.1}$O$_{3-δ}$ at 573 K (Knee et al., 2009), 0.3La(Mg$_{0.5}$Ti$_{0.5}$)O$_3$-0.7SrTiO$_3$ (Avdeev et al., 2002) and SrMoO$_3$ at 5 K (Macquart et al., 2010).
There are limited experimental data for Imma perovskites because they normally only exist as an intermediate phase with a limited temperature range of stability (e.g. Howard et al., 2000), although BaPbO3 (Fu et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2007) appears to be an exception. Experimental structural data of several Imma perovskites are added in to the coordinate frame in Figure 2.2. As for the experimental data in Figure 2.1, the $V_d/V_B$ in Figure 2.2 were calculated from the $X$ anion coordinates following the formula from Avdeev et al. (2007) and the mode amplitudes $d_{X,R^i_{12}}$ and $d_{X,R^i_{12}}$ are calculated from ISODISTORT. In Figure 2.2, the experimental points lie on the theoretical surface from Table 2.2e and hence validate the $V_d/V_B$ expression for the Imma structure. Note that the series of experimental points are very close to the $d_{X,R^i_{12}} = 0$ plane, which shows that in real Imma perovskite structures the octahedral distortion mode amplitude $d_{X,R^i_{12}}$ is very small compared to the octahedral tilt mode amplitude $d_{X,R^i_{12}}$. So, it is a good approximation to neglect $d_{X,R^i_{12}}$ in calculating $V_d/V_B$ of an Imma structure. For the compositions for which experimental data are available over a range of temperature the octahedral tilt mode amplitude $d_{X,R^i_{12}}$ decreases with increasing temperature. However, while the octahedral distortion mode amplitude $d_{X,R^i_{12}}$ decreases with increasing temperature in some cases such as BaCe$_{0.8}$Zr$_{0.2}$O$_3$ and BaTbO$_3$ (Pagnier et al., 2000; Fu et al., 2004), in others such as in SrSnO$_3$ (Goodwin et al., 2007) it increases (Figure 2.2). Nonetheless, the change in the tilt mode amplitude is the greater in all cases so that $V_d/V_B$ increases with increasing temperature as required for the general evolution of the structure towards a higher-symmetry, less tilted, polymorph.

2.6.3 Perovskites with combined tilts and distortions

Seven of the remaining 8 tilt systems have expressions for the volume ratio $V_d/V_B$ that contain three types of terms in the denominator (Table 2.2h-n). In addition to the separate terms in the squares of the individual amplitudes of the tilt and distortion modes found, for example, for Imma, the expressions for these tilt systems include third-order products of the amplitudes of both types of modes. As a consequence, the volume ratio of these perovskites can be either larger
or smaller than the $V_A/V_B$ ratio due to tilting alone. The last case, of tilt system $a'b'c' (P\bar{T})$, does not contain these triplets, but terms with different signs that can also lead to the distortional modes increasing or decreasing $V_A/V_B$ (Table 2.2).
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Figure 2.3 Variation of $V_A/V_B$ of $Pnma$ perovskite with individual mode amplitudes $d_{X,M'_{12}}, d_{X,R'_{12}}, d_{X,Rr_{12}}$ or $d_{X,X'_{1i}}$. 
Figure 2.4  Variation of $V_A/V_B$ with octahedral tilt mode amplitudes $d_{X,M_d^+,3}$ and $d_{X,R_d^+,12}$ of the $Pnma$ phase of LaCrO$_3$ perovskite. The surface is the $V_A/V_B (d_{X,M_d^+,3}, d_{X,R_d^+,12})$ expression for the $Pnma$ structure given in Table 2.2h.

The $Pnma$ perovskite structure is the most commonly-found tilt system in perovskites. It is produced by $a^+b^-b^-$ tilting which is composed of one in-phase tilt around a pseudo-cubic axis and two out-of-phase tilts around the other two pseudo-cubic axes by an equal angle. Correspondingly, condensed in the $Pnma$ structure is an in-phase tilt $M_3^+$ basis mode and an out-of-phase tilt $R_5^+$ mode which is a linear combination of two of the three $R_5^+$ basis modes with equal magnitude coefficients. In addition there are three octahedral distortion modes: an $M_2^+$ basis mode, a linear combination of two $R_5^+$ basis modes and an $X_5^+$ basis mode (Table 2.1). The $Pnma$ structure thus has a five-variable function for $V_A/V_B$. The volume ratio as a function of each individual mode amplitude can be obtained simply by making the other four variables zero. The resulting five single-variable functions plotted in Figure 2.3 show that $V_A/V_B$ decreases with the octahedral tilt mode amplitudes $d_{X,M_d^+,3}$ and $d_{X,R_d^+,12}$ and increases with the octahedral distortion mode amplitudes $d_{X,M_d^+,3}$ and $d_{X,R_d^+,12}$. Note that the mode amplitudes $d_{X,R_d^+,12}$ and
change $V_A/V_B$ faster than $d_{X,M_i^+}^3$ and $d_{X,M_i^+}^3$ because they both control two basis modes simultaneously. This is obvious when the $V_A/V_B$ is plotted as a function of the two octahedral tilt mode amplitudes $d_{X,M_i^+}^3$ and $d_{X,R_i^+}^{12}$ in the absence of distortion (Figure 2.4), in which the curves in the $d_{X,R_i^+}^{12} = 0$ and $d_{X,M_i^+}^3 = 0$ planes are the same as the curves for $d_{X,M_i^+}^3$ and $d_{X,R_i^+}^{12}$ in Figure 2.3, respectively.
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Figure 2.5  Variation of the mode amplitudes $d_{X,M_i^+}^3$, $d_{X,M_i^+}^3$, $d_{X,R_i^+}^{12}$, $d_{X,R_i^+}^{12}$ and $d_{X,R_i^+}^{1}$ with temperature in the $Pnma$ phase of LaCrO$_3$ perovskite.

The $X_5^+$ distortional mode is a special case in this respect. It only appears in the four tilt systems that involve both in-phase and out-of-phase tilts because it is associated with the $X$ point in the Brillouin zone. As a consequence its amplitude only appears in product terms with other modes in the expression for the volume ratio $V_A/V_B$ and only in tilt systems $a^+b^+c^-$ ($Pnma$), $a^+a^+c$ ($P42/nmc$), $a^0b^+c^-$ ($Cmcm$) and $a^+b^c^-$ ($P2_1/m$) (Table 2.2h-k). Therefore this mode alone does not change the volume ratio $V_A/V_B$ away from 5. Conversely, when the amplitude of the $X_5^+$ mode in
Pnma perovskite is zero, the third-order terms in the expression for $V_A/V_B$ are zero, and the expression reduces to the form discussed in section 2.6.2, so that the polyhedral volume ratio is increased by the other distortional modes from that given by the tilts alone (Table 2.2h).

In real Pnma perovskites all of the symmetry-allowed modes have non-zero amplitudes, and the effect of the distortional modes on the value of the volume ratio $V_A/V_B$ depends on a subtle balance between the terms in the squares of the distortion mode amplitudes $d_{X,M_{12}^3}$ and $d_{X,R_{12}^1}$ alone and the third-order product terms. As an example of the more common case in Pnma perovskites, I use LaCrO$_3$ perovskite once more but at temperatures below the orthorhombic to rhombohedral phase transition temperature at 260 °C (Hashimoto et al., 2000). The five mode amplitudes $d_{X,M_{12}^3}$, $d_{X,R_{12}^1}$, $d_{X,R_{12}^1}$, $d_{X,R_{12}^1}$, and $d_{X,R_{12}^1}$ were calculated with ISODISTORT for the structures down to 22 °C determined by neutron powder diffraction (Oikawa et al., 2000). The results plotted in Figure 2.5 show that the two octahedral tilt mode amplitudes $d_{X,M_{12}^3}$ and $d_{X,R_{12}^1}$ are significantly larger than the three octahedral distortion mode amplitudes $d_{X,M_{12}^3}$, $d_{X,R_{12}^1}$ and $d_{X,R_{12}^1}$. The $d_{X,M_{12}^3}$, $d_{X,R_{12}^1}$ and the $V_A/V_B$ calculated from the $X$ anion coordinates of Pnma LaCrO$_3$ structures over the experimental temperature range are shown in the coordinate frame in Figure 2.4. An enlargement and re-alignment of this surface in Figure 2.6, shows that the experimental volume ratios are very close (typically within 0.002, but as much as 0.03 for the example of extremely-distorted MgSiO$_3$ perovskite) but smaller than the ratios calculated from the contributions of the tilt modes alone. The fact that the actual volume ratios fall below the surface calculated for tilts alone is the typical case for the perovskites in this class, and indicates that the contribution of the terms in the triplets in the denominator is positive and larger in magnitude than the sum of the terms in the squares of $d_{X,M_{12}^3}$ and $d_{X,R_{12}^1}$. The opposite case occurs when there is a significant $M_{2}^{+}$ distortion, as typically occurs in compounds with Jahn-Teller distorted octahedra such as LaMnO$_3$ (e.g. Rodriguez-Carvajal et al., 1998). In these cases the term in square of $d_{X,M_{12}^3}$ out-weighs the triplet terms and the true $V_A/V_B$ becomes slightly larger (of the order of 0.01) than the value calculated from the amplitudes of the tilt modes alone.
Therefore, even in the presence of significant amplitudes of the distortional modes the octahedral tilt mode amplitudes play a dominant role in changing $V_A/V_B$ because the distortional modes contribute at most a change of the order of 0.03 to the volume ratio, or typically less than 5% of the difference of $V_A/V_B$ from 5. This is in agreement with the observation that the majority of 761 experimental $Pnma$ structures have $V_A/V_B$ between 4.4 and 4.8 determined mostly by the tilting (Avdeev et al., 2007). Returning to the example of $Pnma$ LaCrO$_3$ perovskite, I also note that it also displays the general trend that as temperature increases both the distortional and tilt modes show a decrease in amplitude and the deviation of the true $V_A/V_B$ from that calculated for the tilts alone becomes smaller (Figure 2.6). So, as in real $Imma$ perovskites, for many practical purposes the contributions to the volume ratio from the distortional modes can be neglected.
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Figure 2.6  The variation of $V_A/V_B$ with octahedral tilt mode amplitudes $d_{X,M_{13}^+}$ and $d_{X,R_{12}^+}$ of $Pnma$ phase of LaCrO$_3$ perovskite replotted from Figure 2.4 over a smaller range of mode amplitudes and oriented to view edge-on the surface of $V_A/V_B$ ($d_{X,M_{13}^+}$, $d_{X,R_{12}^+}$) of $Pnma$ perovskites given in Table 2.2h.

2.7 Conclusions
I have used the computer programs *ISOTROPY* and *ISODISTORT* to decompose perovskite structures in terms of symmetry-adapted displacive modes following the methodology of Knight (2009). With a new definition $d' = d/a_p$, the mode amplitudes only reflect the internal degrees of freedom of the structure, and not the influence of the deformation of the cell parameters of the supercell away from those of the cubic parent structure. The polyhedral volume ratio $V_A/V_B$ that defines whether a perovskite structure becomes more or less distorted with changes in pressure or temperature, has been defined in terms of the mode amplitudes involving the $X$ anions for each of 15 tilt systems. These expressions have been reduced to a simple universal form (equation (2.6)) applicable to all tilt systems by neglecting the octahedral distortion modes and $V_A/V_B$ as a function of the tilt angles about the three pseudo-cubic axes (equation (2.8)) has also been obtained. The dominance of octahedral tilt modes over the distortional modes found in real perovskites justifies the use of the simple uniform expression for most cases.
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Chapter 3: Phase transition and elasticity of LaAlO$_3$ perovskite at high pressures: a first-principles and Landau study

3.1 Abstract

First-principles plane-wave pseudopotential calculations were used to investigate the $R\overline{3}c – Pm\overline{3}m$ phase transition and elasticity of LaAlO$_3$ perovskite at high pressures. The variation of the AlO$_6$ octahedral tilt angle, relative volumes and enthalpies all confirmed the second-order character of the phase transition. A transition pressure of about 14 GPa was obtained by the pressure variation of the frequency of the soft R-point mode in the $Pm\overline{3}m$ structure. Elastic moduli were inverted from the calculated stress-strain data by the singular value decomposition method. The structures, enthalpies and phonon frequencies from first-principles calculations were used to calibrate the Landau parameters of the phase transition for the first time. The results were tested using the elastic moduli.

3.2 Introduction

LaAlO$_3$ perovskite has the rhombohedral $R\overline{3}c$ structure at ambient conditions (e.g. Zhao et al., 2004). The distorted perovskite structure comes from the cubic $Pm\overline{3}m$ aristotype by rotations of the AlO$_6$ octahedra along the [111] cubic axis, or equivalently, by equal out-of-phase octahedral tiltings along the three cubic axes and so has the $\bar{a} – \bar{a} – \bar{a}$ tilt system (Glazer, 1972). The ambient $R\overline{3}c$ structure has been found to transform to the $Pm\overline{3}m$ structure at 14 – 15 GPa by Raman spectroscopy, synchrotron X-ray diffraction and first-principles calculation (Bouvier and Kreisel, 2002; Guennou et al., 2011; Tohei et al., 2005). The $R\overline{3}c – Pm\overline{3}m$ phase transition also occurs at 813 – 817 K as found by differential scanning calorimetry measurements (Bueble et al., 1998; Hayward et al., 2005). The elasticity of LaAlO$_3$ perovskite has previously been investigated by Brillouin scattering measurements (Carpenter et al., 2010b) and first-principles calculation (Luo and Wang, 2008).
Although the $R\bar{3}c - Pm\bar{3}m$ phase transition was allowed to be of second order by group theory (Howard and Stokes, 1998), and experiments show it to be at least close to second order (Guennou et al., 2011), the previous first-principles calculation showed a volume drop accompanied with the phase transition at high pressures (Luo and Wang, 2008), which is a sign of the first-order phase transition. This needs to be reexamined from the first-principles perspective. Carpenter et al. (2010b) analyzed the high-temperature $R\bar{3}c - Pm\bar{3}m$ using Landau theory in combination with various experimental data. A similar analysis can be done for the phase transition at high pressures based on the first-principles calculations.

3.3 Calculation

3.3.1 Method

All of the calculations were performed using the plane-wave pseudopotential (PW-PP) method based on the density functional theory (DFT) (Hohenberg and Kohn, 1964) implemented in the Quantum ESPRESSO suite (Giannozzi et al., 2009). I used the local density approximation (LDA) with the exchange-correlation functional of Ceperley and Alder (1980) as parameterized by Perdew and Zunger (1981).

The norm-conserving pseudopotentials were generated for La, Al and O atoms using the Troullier-Martins method (Troullier and Martins, 1991) implemented in the ATOMIC code included in the Quantum ESPRESSO suite (Giannozzi et al., 2009). The valence electron configurations for La, Al and O are $4f^65s^25p^65d^16s^26p^0$, $3s^23p^43d^1$ and $2s^22p^43d^0$ respectively, with corresponding cutoff radii 1.7, 1.4, 1.5, 2.0, 1.4, 1.5 Bohr for La, 1.6, 1.6, 1.6 Bohr for Al, and 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 Bohr for O, following their valence electron sequences. A pseudized all-electron potential was used as the local potential of La and the 3$d$ potentials were used as the local potentials of Al and O (Kleinman and Bylander, 1982). Bound and unbound states were used for the angular momenta $s, p, d, f$ of La, and $s, p$ of Al and O to improve the transferability of the pseudopotentials.
A 4×4×4 special k-point grid (Monkhorst and Pack, 1976) with half-step shifts in three directions was used in the Brillouin zone sampling for both $R\bar{3}c$ and $Pm\bar{3}m$ phases. The kinetic energy cutoff for plane waves was chosen to be 100 Ry, where the total energy convergence with respect to the cutoff energy is ~0.5 mRy per atom per 10 Ry and the pressure convergence is ~0.15 GPa per 10 Ry.

Variable cell shape molecular dynamics (Wentzcovitch, 1991) was used in the structural relaxations of the $R\bar{3}c$ and $Pm\bar{3}m$ phases between −6 and 20 GPa at 2 GPa intervals. The phonons of the optimized structures were calculated using the density functional perturbation theory (Baroni et al., 2001). The dynamical matrices were calculated on a 4×4×4 q-point grid for both $R\bar{3}c$ and $Pm\bar{3}m$ phases.

### 3.3.2 Structural evolution

The optimized structures of $R\bar{3}c$ and $Pm\bar{3}m$ phases at 0 GPa are compared with previous experimental and theoretical results (Table 3.1). For the $R\bar{3}c$ phase, our hexagonal unit cell parameters $a$ and $c$ are ~1.6% smaller than the experimental results, while the ratio $c/a$ is in better agreement with experiments than other theoretical results are. Our $x(O)$ is in the middle of the two experimental values. For the $Pm\bar{3}m$ phase, the unit cell parameter $a$ was measured to be 3.8106(1) at 821 K (Howard et al., 2000) and 3.7099(2) at 15.35(10) GPa (Guennou et al., 2011), thus the value at ambient condition should be in between. Ours and other theoretical results are all within this region while ours is smaller than others by less than ~1.7%.

The structural evolution of $R\bar{3}c$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskite with pressure can be examined by the bond lengths, bond angle and tilt angles. The lengths of the three symmetry independent La-O bonds get closer with increasing pressure, showing that the distortion from the cubic perovskite structure gets smaller (Figure 3.1). The symmetry independent Al-O bond gets shorter with increasing pressure (Figure 3.1) and the symmetry independent O-Al-O bond angle approaches 90° (Figure 3.2), showing that the AlO$_6$ octahedra have a more regular shape while getting smaller with increasing pressure. The pseudo-cubic cell angle $\alpha_{pc}$ approaches 90° with increasing
pressure showing that the pseudo-cubic cell of the rhombohedral perovskite approaches the ideal cubic one (Figure 3.3).

The tilt system of $R\bar{3}c$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskite is $a^-a^-a^-$ where the AlO$_6$ octahedra rotate around three pseudo-cubic axes by an equal angle (Glazer, 1972; Howard and Stokes, 1998). The equal tilt angle $\varphi$ around the [100], [010] and [001] pseudo-cubic axes results in an overall tilt angle $\phi$ around the [111] body diagonal. The tilt angle $\varphi$ was calculated using

$$\varphi = \arctan 2d',$$  

(3.1)

where $d'$ is the amplitude of the symmetry-adapted $R^+_1$ mode (Chapter 2), which equals $x(O) - 1/2$ in this case. The overall tilt angle $\phi$ around [111] cubic was calculated using

$$\phi = \arctan 2\sqrt{3}d',$$

(3.2)

which was derived from Equation (3.1) as described in Chapter 2 and is equivalent to the equation of Howard et al. (2000). Both tilt angles decrease towards 0° with increasing pressure showing a trend towards the cubic perovskite structure (Figure 3.4). The two tilt angle curves together with those of the La-O bond lengths and the O-Al-O bond angle suggest that LaAlO$_3$ perovskite undergoes a $R\bar{3}c$ to $Pm\bar{3}m$ phase transition between 14 and 16 GPa.

Table 3.1  Unit cell parameters and atomic position of $R\bar{3}c$ and $Pm\bar{3}m$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskites at 0 GPa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$R\bar{3}c$</th>
<th>$Pm\bar{3}m$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$a$ (Å)</td>
<td>$c$ (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>5.279</td>
<td>12.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD</td>
<td>5.36603(15)</td>
<td>13.1105(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutron</td>
<td>5.3647(1)</td>
<td>13.1114(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD</td>
<td>5.3619(1)</td>
<td>13.1045(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>5.331$^i$</td>
<td>12.955$^i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA</td>
<td>5.370</td>
<td>13.139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hexagonal setting is used, where atomic fractional coordinates are La (0 0 1/4), Al (0 0 0) and O (x 0 1/4). 


Figure 3.1 Bond lengths of $\overline{R}^3c$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskite as a function of pressure.
Figure 3.2  O-Al-O bond angle of $R\bar{3}c$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskite as a function of pressure.

Figure 3.3  Pseudo-cubic lattice angle $\alpha_{pc}$ of $R\bar{3}c$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskite as a function of pressure.
Figure 3.4  Tilt angles of the AlO$_6$ octahedra of $R\bar{3}c$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskite as a function of pressure.

Figure 3.5  Total energy of $R\bar{3}c$ and $Pm\bar{3}m$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskites as a function of volume per formula unit.
Figure 3.6  Volume per formula unit of $R\overline{3}c$ and $Pm\overline{3}m$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskites as a function of pressure.

3.3.3 Equation of state

The $E$-$V$ curves of $R\overline{3}c$ and $Pm\overline{3}m$ phases were obtained by interpolating the total energy of the optimized structures between $-6$ and 20 GPa at 2 GPa intervals onto dense volume grid (Figure 3.5). The $E$-$V$ curve of the $R\overline{3}c$ phase is below that of $Pm\overline{3}m$ at bigger volumes in agreement with that the $R\overline{3}c$ phase is stable at low pressures. The two curves become coincident at smaller volumes in agreement with that the $R\overline{3}c$ phase transits to $Pm\overline{3}m$ at high pressures. From the $E$-$V$ curves, pressure was calculated by finite difference $-dE/dV$ at each volume grid point and the $V$-$P$ curves were obtained for $R\overline{3}c$ and $Pm\overline{3}m$ phases (Figure 3.6). As expected from the $E$-$V$ curves, the two $V$-$P$ curves become coincident at high pressures. Enthalpy was calculated by $H = E + PV$ at each volume grid point and $H$-$P$ curves were obtained for $R\overline{3}c$ and $Pm\overline{3}m$ phases. To see the enthalpy difference between the two phases as a function of pressure, the two $H$-$P$ curves were interpolated onto one dense pressure grid and the $\Delta H$-$P$ curve was obtained by subtracting the enthalpy of the $R\overline{3}c$ phase from that of the $Pm\overline{3}m$ phase at each pressure grid point (Figure
The $\Delta H-P$ curve gradually approaches zero which is a characteristic of a second-order transition.

Notice that the $\Delta H-P$ curve is still $\sim 0.06$ mRy from zero above $\sim 14$ GPa. The reason for $\Delta H$ not being exactly zero is that during the DFT calculations the k-point grids used for $R\overline{3}c$ and $Pm\overline{3}m$ phases have different density with respect to the number of atoms or formula unit. For the $R\overline{3}c$ phase, the reciprocal cell of the rhombohedral unit cell with two formula units was sampled by $4\times 4\times 4$, while for the $Pm\overline{3}m$ phase, its reciprocal cell was also sampled by $4\times 4\times 4$ but the $Pm\overline{3}m$ unit cell only contains one formula unit. It is, however, reassuring that $\sim 0.06$ mRy per formula unit is even smaller than the total energy variation caused by changing 1 Ry in the kinetic energy cutoff. Luo and Wang (2008) obtained $E-V$, $V-P$ and $\Delta H-P$ curves of $R\overline{3}c$ and $Pm\overline{3}m$ phases from DFT full-potential linearized augmented plane-wave calculation. Their $\Delta H-P$ curve crosses zero at 15.4 GPa and the $V-P$ curves of the two phases do not get closer towards the transition pressure, hence their results suggest a first-order phase transition rather than a second-order one. One possible reason for this might lie in their partially manual procedure of the structural optimization for the $R\overline{3}c$ phase.

Table 3.2 Bulk modulus and its pressure derivative from fit of the equation of state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$R\overline{3}c$</th>
<th></th>
<th>$Pm\overline{3}m$</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_0$</td>
<td>$K'_0$</td>
<td>$K_0$</td>
<td>$K'_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work $E-V$</td>
<td>205.43</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>221.01</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work $P-V$</td>
<td>208.66</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>223.24</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD$^a$</td>
<td>196(2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>215(4)</td>
<td>3.6(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD$^b$</td>
<td>190(5)</td>
<td>7.2(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD$^c$</td>
<td>177(4)</td>
<td>8.9(1.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA$^d$</td>
<td>192.9364</td>
<td>4.1506</td>
<td>194.0217</td>
<td>4.1209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA$^e$</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA$^f$</td>
<td>199.24</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.7  Enthalpy difference per formula unit between $R3c$ and $Pm\bar{3}m$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskites as a function of pressure.

3.3.4 Phonons

The phonon dispersion of the $R3c$ phase was calculated along a path in the Brillouin zone connecting high symmetry k-points $\Gamma$ (0,0,0), $L$ (0,0.5,0), $F$ (0,0.5,0.5) and $Z$ (0.5,0.5,0.5) expressed as fractions of three reciprocal lattice vectors. For the $Pm\bar{3}m$ phase, k-points $\Gamma$ (0,0,0), $X$ (0,0.5,0), $M$ (0.5,0.5,0) and $R$ (0.5,0.5,0.5) were used. The phonon dispersions of the $Pm\bar{3}m$ and $R3c$ phases at 0 GPa agree with those of Vali (2008) in spite that a different k-point path was used for the $Pm\bar{3}m$ phase here (Figure 3.8, Figure 3.10).

At 0 GPa, the $Pm\bar{3}m$ phase has imaginary phonon frequencies at the zone-boundary $R$ point (Figure 3.8), which is from the triply degenerate $F_{2u}$ mode involving the rotation of the AlO$_6$ octahedra around the [111] axis (Cochran and Zia, 1968). The frequency of this soft mode becomes positive when pressure increases as shown by the phonon dispersion at 16 GPa (Figure 3.9), indicating that the $Pm\bar{3}m$ phase becomes stable at high pressures. The squared frequency of
the $F_{2u}$ mode $\omega r^2$ was plotted against pressure and a phase transition pressure of 13.93 GPa was obtained by linear fitting (Figure 3.12), which is close to the 13 GPa of Tohei et al. (2005) by a similar method.

The $R\bar{3}c$ phase stable at low pressures is the result of the freezing of the soft $F_{2u}$ mode and this triplet in the $Pm\bar{3}m$ phase splits into an $A_{1g}$ singlet and an $E_g$ doublet in the $R\bar{3}c$ phase (Figure 3.10, Figure 3.11) (Thomas and Müller, 1968). These two Raman active modes are rotations of the AlO$_6$ octahedra around the hexagonal $c$ axis and a perpendicular axis, respectively (e.g. Iliev and Abrashev, 2001). Comparison of the phonon frequencies at $\Gamma$ point at 0 GPa and 6 GPa of the $R\bar{3}c$ phase reveals the softening of the $A_{1g}$ mode with increasing pressure (Figure 3.10, Figure 3.11). Its frequency squared shows a linear downward trend against pressure before the phase transition at ~14 GPa, except that it curves up approaching 14 GPa and does not go to zero at 14 GPa (Figure 3.12). Our squared frequencies of the $A_{1g}$ mode are greater than the Raman spectroscopy data of Bouvier and Kreisel (2002) but smaller than the DFT calculations of Tohei et al. (2005).

Figure 3.8 Phonon dispersion of $Pm\bar{3}m$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskite at 0 GPa.
Figure 3.9 Phonon dispersion of $Pm\bar{3}m$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskite at 16 GPa.

Figure 3.10 Phonon dispersion of $R\bar{3}c$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskite at 0 GPa.
Figure 3.11 Phonon dispersion of $R3\bar{c}$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskite at 6 GPa.

Figure 3.12 Squared frequencies of soft modes of $R3\bar{c}$ and $Pm\bar{3}m$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskites as a function of pressure. The line is linear fitting result.
Figure 3.13 Elastic moduli of the $R\bar{3}c$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskite as a function of pressure.

Figure 3.14 Elastic moduli of $R\bar{3}c$ and $Pm\bar{3}m$ LaAlO$_3$ perovskites referred to the cubic reference system. Filled symbols connected with solid lines are for $R\bar{3}c$ structure, open symbols connected with dash lines are for $Pm\bar{3}m$ structure.
3.3.5 Elasticity

The elastic moduli of the $R\bar{3}c$ and $Pm\bar{3}m$ phases were calculated using the linear stress-strain relationship $\sigma_i = c_{ij} \varepsilon_j$ ($i, j = 1 – 6$) (Figure 3.13, Figure 3.14). In order to solve the stiffness coefficients $c_{ij}$ of the $R\bar{3}c$ phase, 42 different strains were applied, which are $\pm \varepsilon_1, \pm \varepsilon_2, \pm \varepsilon_3, \pm (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2), \pm (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3), \pm (\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3), \pm \varepsilon_4, \pm \varepsilon_5, \pm \varepsilon_6, \pm (\varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_5), \pm (\varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_6), \pm (\varepsilon_5 - \varepsilon_6), \pm (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4), \pm (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_5), \pm (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_6), \pm (\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4), \pm (\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_5), \pm (\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_6), \pm (\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4), \pm (\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_5)$ and $\pm (\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_6)$, where $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = 0.01$, $\varepsilon_4 = \varepsilon_5 = \varepsilon_6 = 0.02$. The unit cell of the optimized structure at each pressure was strained and the internal atomic positions were relaxed. The resulting 6 stress components $\sigma_i$ together with the 6 strain components $\varepsilon_j$ generate 6 linear equations $\sigma_i = \sum_{j=1}^{6} c_{ij} \varepsilon_j$, $i = 1 – 6$, with respect to the 36 $c_{ij}$.

The 42 different strains applied, therefore, generate $42 \times 6 = 252$ equations. Because the stiffness matrix is symmetric, another 15 equations $c_{ij} - c_{ji} = 0$, $i = 1 – 5$, $j = i+1 – 6$, were raised to impose this symmetric dependency between upper and lower off-diagonal $c_{ij}$. More dependencies are imposed by the $R\bar{3}c$ symmetry of the system on the fourth rank stiffness tensor and result in 6 independent stiffness coefficients $c_{11}, c_{33}, c_{12}, c_{13}, c_{14}$ and $c_{44}$. To impose these dependencies, only those between the upper triangular $c_{ij}$ are needed because the symmetric dependency can constrain the lower diagonal $c_{ij}$. Thus, 15 equations with respect to the upper diagonal $c_{ij}$ were raised, e.g. $c_{11} - c_{22} = 0$, $c_{15} = 0$. As expected, the number of variables, i.e. 36 $c_{ij}$, minus the number of constraints, i.e. $15 + 15 = 30$ equations, equals the number of degrees of freedom, i.e. 6 independent $c_{ij}$.

To solve the stiffness coefficients of the $Pm\bar{3}m$ phase, 6 different strains were applied, which are $\pm \varepsilon_1, \pm (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)$ and $\pm \varepsilon_4$. Therefore, the linear stress-strain relationship provides $6 \times 6 = 36$ equations. The diagonal symmetry of the stiffness matrix provides the same 15 equations as in the $R\bar{3}c$ case. The $Pm\bar{3}m$ symmetry of the system reduces the independent stiffness coefficients to $c_{11}, c_{12}$ and $c_{44}$ and provides 18 equations with respect to the upper triangular $c_{ij}$. Similar to the $R\bar{3}c$ case, the number of independent $c_{ij}$ 3 equals the number of total $c_{ij}$ 36 minus the number of constraints, i.e. $15 + 18 = 33$. For $R\bar{3}c$ and $Pm\bar{3}m$ phases, I have respectively $252 + 30 = 282$ and $36 + 33 = 69$ equations with respect to 36 $c_{ij}$. The singular value decomposition (SVD)
method (e.g. Parker, 1994) was used to solve the two sets of linear equations. A weight factor was applied to the coefficients of the constraint equations in order to control how strictly the constraints are satisfied.

Table 3.3 Elastic, bulk and shear moduli\(^a\) of \(R\bar{3}c\) and \(Pm\bar{3}m\) LaAlO\(_3\) perovskites at 0 GPa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(R\bar{3}c)</th>
<th></th>
<th>(Pm\bar{3}m)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>Brillouin(^b)</td>
<td>LDA(^c)</td>
<td>GGA(^c)</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>LDA(^d)</td>
<td>GGA(^e)</td>
<td>LDA(^c)</td>
<td>GGA(^e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c_{11})</td>
<td>382.15</td>
<td>337.2</td>
<td>390.70</td>
<td>381.56</td>
<td>382.40</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>328.20</td>
<td>324.47</td>
<td>313.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c_{33})</td>
<td>416.24</td>
<td>411.3</td>
<td>411.3</td>
<td>411.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c_{12})</td>
<td>133.31</td>
<td>151.5</td>
<td>146.03</td>
<td>100.30</td>
<td>143.60</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>134.76</td>
<td>120.65</td>
<td>134.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c_{13})</td>
<td>112.38</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>69.79</td>
<td>72.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c_{14})</td>
<td>34.30</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>34.56</td>
<td>29.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c_{44})</td>
<td>115.97</td>
<td>120.6</td>
<td>119.84</td>
<td>115.33</td>
<td>175.75</td>
<td>178.167</td>
<td>169.16</td>
<td>175.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K)</td>
<td>210.72</td>
<td>195.5</td>
<td>196.03</td>
<td>185.63</td>
<td>223.20</td>
<td>227(^f)</td>
<td>199.24(^f)</td>
<td>187.94</td>
<td>199.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G)</td>
<td>121.25</td>
<td>105.3</td>
<td>133.10</td>
<td>134.92</td>
<td>150.53</td>
<td>139.43(^f)</td>
<td>137.37</td>
<td>133.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) All bulk and shear moduli are Voigt-Reuss-Hill values based on elastic moduli. \(^b\) Carpenter \textit{et al.} (2010b). \(^c\) Luo and Wang (2008). \(^d\) Lee and Demkov (2007). \(^e\) PW-PP result of Boudali \textit{et al.} (2009). \(^f\) Calculated according to elastic moduli by present authors.

3.4 Landau analysis

3.4.1 Theory

Structural phase transitions can be analyzed using Landau theory, in which the excess free energy can be expressed as a function of pressure, order parameter and strain (e.g. Carpenter \textit{et al.}, 2000). The order parameter for phase transitions between different perovskite structures is composed of octahedral rotations around the three pseudo-cubic axes associated with \(M\) and \(R\) points (e.g. Howard and Stokes, 1998; Chapter 2). The \(Pm\bar{3}m\) to \(R\bar{3}c\) phase transition only involves \(R\)-point octahedral rotations around the three pseudo-cubic axes \(\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2, q_3)\), and the strain takes the symmetry-adapted form \(\mathbf{e} = (e_a, e_o, e_t, e_4, e_5, e_6)\), where \(e_4, e_5, e_6\) are the shear
strain components in Voigt notation and $e_a$, $e_o$, $e_t$ are combinations of the linear strain components $e_1$, $e_2$, $e_3$ (Carpenter et al., 2001; Carpenter, 2007) as

\[ e_a = e_1 + e_2 + e_3, \]  
\[ e_o = e_1 - e_2, \]  
\[ e_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (2e_3 - e_1 - e_2). \]  

The expression of the excess free energy is taken from Carpenter et al. (2010a) except that pressure has been substituted for temperature:

\[
G(P, q, e) = \frac{1}{2} a (P - P_c) (q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2) \\
+ \frac{1}{4} b (q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2)^2 + \frac{1}{4} b' (q_1^4 + q_2^4 + q_3^4) \\
+ \lambda_1 e_1 (q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2) + \lambda_2 \left[ \sqrt{3} e_3 (q_2^2 - q_1^2) + e_1 (2q_1^2 - q_2^2 - q_3^2) \right] \\
+ \lambda_3 (e_4 q_1 q_3 + e_5 q_1 q_2 + e_6 q_2 q_3) \\
+ \frac{1}{4} (c_{11}^0 - c_{12}^0) (e_1^2 + e_2^2) + \frac{1}{6} (c_{11}^0 + 2c_{12}^0) e_3^2 + \frac{1}{2} c_{44}^0 (e_4^2 + e_5^2 + e_6^2),
\]

where $P_c$ is critical pressure, $a$, $b$, $b'$ are normal Landau coefficients, $\lambda_1$, $\lambda_2$, $\lambda_3$ are order parameter/strain coupling coefficients, $c_{11}^0$, $c_{12}^0$, $c_{44}^0$ are bare elastic moduli which are the elastic moduli of the cubic structure.

The equilibrium condition $\partial G / \partial e_i = 0$ yields the relationship between spontaneous strain and order parameter $e(q)$ which, after $q_1 = q_2 = q_3$ is applied for the $R\bar{3}c$ structure, becomes $e(q_1)$ as

\[ e_a = -\frac{\lambda_1}{3} \left( \frac{q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2}{c_{11}^0 + 2c_{12}^0} \right) = -\frac{3 \lambda_1 q_1^2}{3 (c_{11}^0 + 2c_{12}^0)}, \]  
\[ e_o = -\frac{\sqrt{3} \lambda_2}{2} \left( \frac{q_2^2 - q_1^2}{c_{11}^0 - c_{12}^0} \right) = 0, \]  

\[ e_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (2e_3 - e_1 - e_2). \]
The above six equations are the same as those of Carpenter et al. (2010a) although pressure has been substituted for temperature in the excess free energy expression. Substituting Equations (3.7) – (3.12) into (3.6) and applying \( q_1 = q_2 = q_3 \) give

\[
G(P, q_1, e(q_1)) = \frac{3}{2} a (P - P_s) q_1^2 + \frac{3}{4} \left( 3b + b' - \frac{6\lambda_1^2}{1 + 2c_{12}} - \frac{2\lambda_3^2}{c_{44}^0} \right) q_1^4. \tag{3.13}
\]

Taking \( q_1^2 \) as a single variable and applying the equilibrium condition \( \partial G/\partial (q_1^2) = 0 \) to Equation (3.13) yields the relationship between order parameter and pressure as

\[
(q_1(P))^2 = -\frac{a (P - P_s)}{3b + b' - \frac{6\lambda_1^2}{1 + 2c_{12}} - \frac{2\lambda_3^2}{c_{44}^0}}. \tag{3.14}
\]

Rearranging the above \( q_1(P) \) to the \( P(q_1) \) form and substituting it to Equation (3.13) give the relationship between excess free energy and order parameter as

\[
G(P(q_1), q_1, e(q_1)) = -\frac{3}{4} \left( 3b + b' - \frac{6\lambda_1^2}{1 + 2c_{12}} - \frac{2\lambda_3^2}{c_{44}^0} \right) q_1^4. \tag{3.15}
\]
The squared frequencies of $A_{1g}$ singlet and $E_g$ doublet modes $\omega_{A_1}^2$ and $\omega_{E_2}^2$ are proportional to the eigenvalues of the susceptibility matrix $\partial G/\partial q_i \partial q_j$ ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$) (Slonczewski and Thomas, 1970). To calculate $\partial G/\partial q_i \partial q_j$, the $G(P, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{e})$ expression (Equation (3.6)) was subject to the partial differentiation and then the relationships $P(q_1), q_1 = q_2 = q_3,$ and $e(q_1)$ (Equations (3.7) – (3.12)) were applied. The results were the same as those of Carpenter et al. (2010a) although pressure rather than temperature is involved here, thus the $\omega_{E_2}^2/\omega_{A_1}^2$ expression as the ratio of the eigenvalues was cited from Carpenter et al. (2010a) as

$$\frac{\omega_{E_2}^2}{\omega_{A_1}^2} = \frac{2b' + \frac{3\lambda_0^2}{c_{44}^0}}{2(3b + b')}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.16)

The elastic moduli of the lower symmetry structure can be related to the bare elastic moduli (Slonczewski and Thomas, 1970) by

$$c_{ij} = c_{ij}^0 - \sum_{kl} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial e_i \partial q_k} R_{kl} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial q_j \partial e_j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.17)

where $c_{ij}$ and $c_{ij}^0$ are referred to the cubic reference system, $R_{kl}$ are the components of the inverse matrix of the susceptibility matrix. To calculate $\partial G/\partial e_i \partial q_k$ or $\partial G/\partial q_j \partial e_j$, symmetry-adapted strain expressions (Equations (3.3) – (3.5)) were first substituted into the $G(P, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{e})$ expression (Equation (3.6)) and after partial differentiation $q_1 = q_2 = q_3$ was applied. Again, the $c_{ij}$ results are the same as those of Carpenter et al. (2010a), in spite that rather than their intermediate formula, the final formula are given here as

$$c_{11} = c_{11}^0 - \left( \frac{6\lambda_1^2}{3b + b'} + \frac{32\lambda_2^2}{2b' + \frac{3\lambda_0^2}{c_{44}^0}} \right), c_{12} = c_{12}^0 - \left( \frac{6\lambda_1^2}{3b + b'} - \frac{16\lambda_2^2}{2b' + \frac{3\lambda_0^2}{c_{44}^0}} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.18)

$$c_{44} = c_{44}^0 - \left( \frac{2\lambda_3^2}{3b + b'} + \frac{2\lambda_4^2}{2b' + \frac{3\lambda_0^2}{c_{44}^0}} \right), c_{46} = - \left( \frac{2\lambda_3^2}{3b + b'} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\lambda_3^2}{2b' + \frac{3\lambda_0^2}{c_{44}^0}} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.19)
3.4.2 Calibration of Landau coefficients

The order parameter/strain coupling coefficients $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_3$ can be determined by fitting the $e(q_1)$ equations involving spontaneous strain and order parameter to the calculated data. The spontaneous symmetry-adapted strains $e_a$ and $e_4$ can be evaluated (Carpenter et al., 2005) by

\[ e_a = a_{pc} - a_0 \]
\[ e_4 = a_{pc} \cos \alpha_{pc} \]

where $a_{pc}$ and $a_{pc}$ are the pseudo-cubic cell parameters of the rhombohedral structure, $a_0$ is the cell parameter of the cubic structure. In the high-temperature experimental study of LaAlO$_3$ by Hayward et al. (2005), $a_0$ at low temperatures in the rhombohedral stability field were extrapolated from the high-temperature data measured in the cubic stability field. In this study, I have optimized the cubic structures at low pressures and the cubic cell parameters so obtained were used as $a_0$. Similarly, the elastic moduli calculated for the cubic structures at low pressures (Figure 3.14) were used as bare elastic moduli $c_{11}^0$, $c_{12}^0$, $c_{44}^0$ and it is not needed to assume the bare elastic moduli to be constant or linearly dependent on pressure. The value of the order parameter for pressure-induced phase transition has previously been defined arbitrarily (Carpenter et al., 2000). In this study, I use the rotation angle in degrees of the AlO$_6$ octahedra around either of the three pseudo-cubic axes, i.e. angle $\phi$ in Figure 3.4, as the value of $q_1$ to give the order parameter a structural meaning.

The phase transition pressure 13.93 GPa has been obtained by linear fitting of the squared frequency of cubic $F_{2u}$ mode. To simplify the fitting procedure below, a critical pressure $P_c = 14$ GPa was assumed and data calculated at $-6 - 14$ GPa were considered in the fits. The $e_a(q_1)$ and
\( e_a(q_1) \) equations (3.7), (3.10) were used to fit the calculated \( e_a, e_4, q_1 \) and \( c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{44} \) to determine \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_3 \) respectively (Figure 3.15, Figure 3.16). As pressure drops from 14 GPa, \( e_a, e_4 \) and \( q_1 \) all depart from zero. If \( c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{44} \) are constant with respect to pressure, \( e_a \) and \( e_4 \) should be proportional to \( q_1^2 \) according to the \( e_a(q_1) \) and \( e_4(q_1) \) equations (3.7), (3.10). However, \( c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{44} \) actually decrease as pressure drops (Figure 3.14), and therefore cause \( e_a \) to curve up and \( e_4 \) to curve down with increasing \( q_1^2 \) as suggested by the fitted lines in Figure 3.15 and Figure 3.16 respectively. While the calculated \( e_a \) follow the fitted line well, \( e_4 \) show an opposite curvature with respect to the fit. The reason is not clear. Note that \( e_a, e_4 \) and \( q_1 \) should all be zero at 14 GPa, but none of them are, although close to, zero in our calculations. Thus I disregarded four data points at 8 – 14 GPa during the fitting. Also, the \( \omega_E^{2}/\omega_A^{2} \) results at these four pressures are unreasonably high (see below). The fitting results are \( \lambda_1 = -2.817 \times 10^{-2} \) GPa, \( \lambda_3 = 3.574 \times 10^{-2} \) GPa.

The \( G(q_1) \) equation (3.15) can be used to determine the coefficient combination \( 3b + b' \) given that the values of \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_3 \) are known. Static calculation means \( T = 0 \) K, thus the excess free energy equals the enthalpy difference between the two phases in Figure 3.17 with an opposite sign. Due to different k-point samplings for the two structures as discussed earlier, the enthalpy difference is not zero at 14 GPa where it should be, therefore the enthalpy differences at all pressures were shifted downward uniformly by its amount at 14 GPa so that it becomes zero at 14 GPa. The unit of \( G \) in relevant equations is GPa, i.e. the excess free energy per unit volume, thus the enthalpy difference was divided by the volume per formula unit of the cubic phase at 14 GPa. The \( G \) increases with \( q_1^2 \) approximately quadratically, except that \( c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{44} \) are not constant (Figure 3.17). The four data points at 8 – 14 GPa were disregarded during the fitting for the same reason as \( e_a(q_1) \) and \( e_4(q_1) \) fits and \( 3b + b' = 4.396 \times 10^{-4} \) GPa was obtained.

The coefficient \( a \) was determined from the fit of \( q_1(P) \) equation (3.14), given the values of \( \lambda_1, \lambda_3 \) and \( 3b + b' \) (Figure 3.18). The dependency of \( q_1^2 \) on pressure is close to linear. The \( a \) is dimensionless and its value is \( a = 2.574 \times 10^{-4} \). The \( \omega_E^{2}/\omega_A^{2} \) equation (3.16) has coefficients \( b \) and \( b' \) and was combined with the \( 3b + b' \) value to obtain the individual values of \( b \) and \( b' \) (Figure 3.19). Because \( \omega_E^{2} \) begins to increase above 6 GPa while \( \omega_A^{2} \) is still decreasing below 14 GPa
(Figure 3.12), their ratio $\omega_E^2/\omega_A^2$ begins to increase dramatically above 6 GPa, which is inconsistent with the Landau expectation (Figure 3.19). It is hard to explain this inconsistency since there were no other experimental or theoretical data of $\omega_E$ in this pressure range for comparison. The four data points at 8 – 14 GPa, thereby, were not considered in the fitting and $b' = 1.572 \times 10^{-5}$ GPa was first obtained. Then $b = 1.413 \times 10^{-4}$ GPa was obtained according to the $3b + b'$ value. All the Landau coefficients fitted are summarized in Table 3.4.

$$e_\alpha = -\frac{3}{3} \frac{\lambda_1 q_1^2}{c_{11}^0 + 2c_{12}^0}$$

$$\lambda_1 = -2.817 \times 10^{-2} \text{ GPa}$$

Figure 3.15 Fit of the $e_\alpha(q_1)$ (Equation (3.7)) equation to the calculated data. Circles are calculated data, of which filled ones were used in the fitting, open ones were not. The line is the fitting result.
Figure 3.16 Fit of $e_4(q_1)$ (Equation (3.10)) to the calculated data. See the caption of Figure 3.15 for the meaning of notations.

Figure 3.17 Fit of $G(q_1)$ (Equation (3.15)) to the calculated data. See the caption of Figure 3.15 for the meaning of notations.
Figure 3.18 Fit of $q_1(P)$ (Equation (3.14)) to the calculated data. See the caption of Figure 3.15 for the meaning of notations.

Figure 3.19 Fit of $\omega_{E^2}/\omega_A^2$ (Equation (3.16)) to the calculated data. See the caption of Figure 3.15 for the meaning of notations.
Table 3.4  Values of the Landau coefficients determined by fitting derived equations to calculated spontaneous strain, order parameter, excess free energy and bare elastic moduli at a range of pressures. Values of $P_c$ and $\lambda_2$ are assumed.

\[ a = 2.574 \times 10^{-4} \]
\[ b = 1.413 \times 10^{-4} \text{ GPa} \]
\[ b' = 1.572 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GPa} \]
\[ \lambda_1 = -2.817 \times 10^{-2} \text{ GPa} \]
\[ \lambda_2 = -6.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GPa} \]
\[ \lambda_3 = 3.574 \times 10^{-2} \text{ GPa} \]
\[ P_c = 14 \text{ GPa} \]

3.4.3 Testing

The validity of the fitted Landau coefficients can be tested by comparing the Landau expected elastic moduli given by Equations (3.18) – (3.20) and the calculated elastic moduli. These equations give the Landau expectations for $c_{ij}^0 - c_{ij}$, i.e. the expressions in the parenthesis in terms of Landau coefficients and $c_{44}^0$. The fitted Landau coefficients and the calculated $c_{44}^0$ provide the Landau expected $c_{ij}^0 - c_{ij}$, and comparing them with the calculated values can test the whole fitting procedure. The only Landau coefficient which has not been determined is $\lambda_2$. Although $\lambda_2$ appears in the $e_o(q)$ and $e_t(q)$ equations ((3.8), (3.9)), the two strains vanish spontaneously and make the fits unavailable. An estimated value $\lambda_2 = -6.3 \times 10^{-3}$ GPa was used.

Figure 3.20 shows that as pressure approaches 14 GPa, the calculated $c_{ij}^0 - c_{ij}$ values tend to approach zero, while the Landau expected values depart from zero slightly due to the increasing $c_{44}^0$ in Equations (3.18) – (3.20). Because the phase transition occurs at a relatively low pressure, calculations far below the transition pressure were not performed, but they are needed to see if the calculated values follow the Landau expected departing trend far below the transition pressure. Of the six independent $c_{ij}$, $c_{11}$, $c_{12}$, $c_{44}$ have larger absolute values of $c_{ij}^0 - c_{ij}$ than
expected from the Landau theory, while $c_{46}$, $c_{36}$, $c_{24}$ have smaller absolute values of $c_{ij}^0 - c_{ij}$ than expected. The differences between the calculated and Landau expected $c_{ij}^0 - c_{ij}$ are around 10 GPa for all the $c_{ij}$, except that it can be as large as 20 GPa for $c_{11}$, which, by itself, is much larger than the other $c_{ij}$.

![Figure 3.20 Comparison of the calculated (solid) and Landau expected (dash) $c_{ij}^0 - c_{ij}$.](image)

**3.5 Conclusion**

The pressure-induced $R\bar{3}c$ to $Pm\bar{3}m$ phase transition of LaAlO$_3$ perovskite was reproduced theoretically using PW-PP method and LDA in the DFT framework. Linear fitting of $\omega_F^2$ with pressure gave transition pressure of ~14 GPa, which is close to experimental results. Softening of the $A_{1g}$ mode of the $R\bar{3}c$ phase was also found. Elastic moduli of the two structures were calculated using stress-strain relationship and SVD inversion. The Landau expression of excess free energy for this phase transition was used to derive subsequent equations, which were fitted to the calculated data to obtain the Landau coefficients. The cell parameter and elastic moduli of the cubic structure were provided by the calculations and no approximations were needed as in
experimental studies. The fitted Landau coefficients were tested by comparing the calculated and Landau expected elastic moduli and the result is reasonably good.
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4.1 Abstract

First-principles plane-wave pseudopotential calculations were used to investigate the high-pressure phase transitions and elasticity of YAlO$_3$ perovskite. The $Pnma$, $Imma$, $I4/mcm$, $R3c$, $Pm\bar{3}m$ perovskite structures and the NH$_4$CdCl$_3$-, Gd$_2$S$_3$-, U$_2$S$_3$-, CaIrO$_3$-type structures are considered. The pressure variation of the soft $Z$-point mode of the $Imma$ structure clearly shows a second-order $Pnma$ to $Imma$ phase transition occurring at ~89 GPa, which is followed by a first-order $Imma$ to $I4/mcm$ transition occurring at ~100 GPa, as suggested by the relative enthalpies and phonon dispersions. The pressure ranges of mechanical stability of the perovskite structures from the elastic moduli enclose those of dynamical stability from the phonon dispersions, and so are compatible with the phase transitions. The Gd$_2$S$_3$- and U$_2$S$_3$-type structures evolve to the dynamically stable NH$_4$CdCl$_3$- and CaIrO$_3$-types respectively during the structural relaxations, with the coordination numbers of the Al sites reduced from 7 to 6, suggesting that the latter two structures with smaller octahedral Al sites are preferred by YAlO$_3$, although they are less energetically favorable than the perovskite structures. The energetic mechanism of the high-pressure phase transitions of YAlO$_3$ might be drawn from the qualitatively similarity between the relative $A/B$ site polyhedral volume ratios and the relative enthalpies of different structures.

4.2 Introduction

YAlO$_3$ perovskite has orthorhombic $Pnma$ structure at room conditions the same as MgSiO$_3$ perovskite in the lower mantle (e.g. Diehl and Brandt, 1975). The structure is distorted from the cubic $Pm\bar{3}m$ aristotype by $a^+b^-b^-$ tilting, which means in-phase tilting along one cubic axis and out-of-phase tiltings along the other two cubic axes with equal amplitude (Glazer, 1972; Chapter 2). X-ray diffraction measurements up to 8.5 GPa showed that the structure becomes less distorted with increasing pressure (Ross et al., 2004). First-principles calculations up to more than 100 GPa predicted a $Pnma$ – $I4/mcm$ phase transition at 80 GPa (Wu et al., 2006). The
elastic moduli of the YAlO$_3$ perovskite were obtained also by first-principles calculations (Huang et al., 2011).

So far, only the theoretically predicted results are available as for the high-pressure phase transition and the elasticity of the YAlO$_3$ perovskite. Thus, further independent calculations are needed to test these results. In the previous study (Wu et al., 2006) of the phase transition, the common perovskite structures Imma and $R3c$ were not considered. The former is an intermediate phase in the high-temperature Pnma – Imma – I4/mcm – Pm$\overline{3}$m transition series of SrZrO$_3$, SrRuO$_3$, SrRhO$_3$, SrSnO$_3$ and SrTco$_3$ perovskites (Howard et al., 2000; Kennedy et al., 2002; Kennedy et al., 2004; Mountstevens et al., 2005; Thorogood et al., 2011), while the latter is the structure of LaAlO$_3$, PrAlO$_3$ and many other perovskites (e.g. Zhao et al., 2004b; Zhao et al., 2009). Moreover, apart from the Pnma perovskite structure, NH$_4$CdCl$_3$-, Gd$_2$S$_3$-, U$_2$S$_3$- and CaIrO$_3$-type (post-perovskite, PPV) structures are often also considered as potential high pressure phases for sesquioxides such as Ga$_2$O$_3$, In$_2$O$_3$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ (Tsuchiya et al., 2007; Yusa et al., 2008; Umemoto and Wentzcovitch, 2008). They can also be considered as candidates for the high-pressure phases of YAlO$_3$. Elasticity and phonons provide information regarding the mechanical and dynamical stabilities respectively, thus calculating them under high pressures helps to determine the stable high-pressure phases. Phonons may also provide insight into the dynamical reasons for the phase transitions.

4.3 Methods

4.3.1 Computational details

First-principles static calculations were performed using the plane-wave pseudopotential (PW-PP) method based on the density functional theory (DFT) (Hohenberg and Kohn, 1964) implemented in the Quantum ESPRESSO suite (Giannozzi et al., 2009). I used the local density approximation (LDA) with the exchange-correlation functional of Ceperley and Alder (1980) as parameterized by Perdew and Zunger (1981).
The norm-conserving pseudopotentials were generated for Y, Al and O atoms using the Troullier-Martins method (Troullier and Martins, 1991) implemented in the ATOMIC code included in the Quantum ESPRESSO suite (Giannozzi et al., 2009). The valence electron configurations used for Y, Al and O are $4s^24p^64d^15s^25p^0$, $3s^23p^13d^0$ and $2s^22p^43d^0$ respectively, and the cutoff radii for each orbital in Bohr unit are 1.2, 1.4, 1.4, 1.2, 1.4 for Y, 1.6, 1.6, 1.6 for Al, and 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 for O, in the same order of their valence electrons. The $d$ potentials were used as the local potentials of Y, Al and O (Kleinman and Bylander, 1982). Bound and unbound states were used for the angular momenta $s, p$ of Y, Al and O to improve the transferability of the pseudopotentials.

For the $Imma, I4/mcm, R3c$ and PPV structures, primitive unit cells with 10 atoms were used in the calculations. The Brillouin zones of the $Pnma$, $NH_4CdCl_3$-, $Gd_2S_3$- and $U_2S_3$-type structures, which have 20 atoms per primitive cell, were sampled on $4 \times 4 \times 2, 4 \times 4 \times 2, 4 \times 4 \times 2$ and $2 \times 8 \times 2$ special k-point grids (Monkhorst and Pack, 1976) respectively with half-step shifts in three directions. A $4 \times 4 \times 4$ grid with shifts in three directions was used for the $Imma, I4/mcm, R3c$, $Pm\bar{3}m$ and PPV structures, which have 10 atoms per primitive cell except that $Pm\bar{3}m$ has 5. The kinetic energy cutoff for plane waves was chosen to be 100 Ry.

Variable cell shape molecular dynamics (Wentzcovitch, 1991) was used in the structural relaxations of YAlO$_3$ polymorphs between $-20$ and 300 GPa at 20 GPa intervals. The phonons of the optimized structures were calculated using density functional perturbation theory (Baroni et al., 2001). The dynamical matrices of the $Pnma$ and $NH_4CdCl_3$-type structures were calculated on a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ q-point grid without shifts, while a $4 \times 4 \times 4$ grid without shifts was used for the $Imma, I4/mcm, R3c$, $Pm\bar{3}m$ and PPV structures which have higher symmetries.

### 4.3.2 Elasticity

The elastic moduli of the five perovskite structures $Pnma, Imma, I4/mcm, R3c$ and $Pm\bar{3}m$ were calculated using the linear stress-strain relationship
\[ \sigma_i = \sum_{j=1}^{6} c_{ij} \varepsilon_j, (i = 1, \ldots, 6). \] (4.1)

If I apply a strain with components \( \varepsilon_j \) \((j = 1, \ldots, 6)\) to the unit cell of the optimized structure at one pressure and relax the internal atomic positions, the stress \( \sigma_i \) \((i = 1, \ldots, 6)\) under the strain can be so obtained from the first-principles calculations. The \( \varepsilon_j \) and \( \sigma_i \) pairs generate 6 equations of the form of (4.1) with respect to the 36 stiffness coefficients \( c_{ij} \). Applying a different strain can bring another 6 such equations and so on. The \( Pnma, Imma, I4/mcm, R\bar{3}c \) and \( Pm\bar{3}m \) structures have 9, 9, 6, 6 and 3 independent \( c_{ij} \) respectively, thus in principle, equal numbers of linearly independent equations are sufficient for solving the \( c_{ij} \). However, if more equations are used, they usually give inconsistent solutions of \( c_{ij} \). This may be caused by small violations of the linearity of the stress-strain relationship under some strains and the errors of the first-principles calculations. Thereby, I applied a large number of different strains and averaged the solutions of the equations generated.

A total of 42 different strains were applied, \( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_5 - \varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_6 \) and their negatives, where \( \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = 0.01, \varepsilon_4 = \varepsilon_5 = \varepsilon_6 = 0.02 \). Thus, \( 42 \times 6 = 252 \) equations of the form of (4.1) were generated with respect to the 36 \( c_{ij} \). Additional equations were raised to impose the dependencies between the \( c_{ij} \). For example, the \( I4/mcm \) structure has 6 independent \( c_{ij} \) \( c_{11}, c_{33}, c_{12}, c_{13}, c_{44} \) and \( c_{66} \), and thus there should be \( 36 - 6 = 30 \) dependency equations. Among them, 15 equations such as \( c_{12} - c_{21} = 0 \) describe the diagonal symmetry of the stiffness matrix. Twelve equations such as \( c_{14} = 0 \) indicate the zero values of some \( c_{ij} \). Three equations such as \( c_{11} - c_{22} = 0 \) impose the relationships between some \( c_{ij} \). The latter two groups of equations arise from the constraints of the crystal class \( 4/mmm \) of the \( I4/mcm \) structure, and only the upper diagonal \( c_{ij} \) are involved because the constraints on the lower diagonal \( c_{ij} \) can be implied through the first group of equations specifying the diagonal symmetry. Similarly, the \( Pnma, Imma, R\bar{3}c \) and \( Pm\bar{3}m \) perovskite structures have 27, 30, 30 and 33 such dependency equations respectively.

The whole equation system for each structure is the 252 equations of the form of (4.1) plus the dependency equations. Because half of the 42 strains are the negatives of the other half and most
of them are linear combinations of two of $\varepsilon_1$, $\varepsilon_2$, $\varepsilon_3$, $\varepsilon_4$, $\varepsilon_5$ and $\varepsilon_6$, the corresponding equations of the form of (4.1) are linearly dependent. In order to solve such equation systems, the singular value decomposition method (e.g. Parker, 1994) was used. Also, weight factors were applied to the dependency equations to enhance the extent the solution complies with the dependency constraints. The weight factors for $Pnma$, $Imma$, $I4/mcm$, $R3c$ and $Pm3m$ structures are 100, 100, 100,100 and 10 respectively, so that the constraints are obeyed to the fourth digit after the decimal point.

4.4 Results and discussion

4.4.1 Phase transition

The phase transitions between YAlO$_3$ polymorphs at high pressures and 0 K can be determined by their relative enthalpies $\Delta H$, which were obtained from the first-principles calculations as follows. For each polymorph, the $E-V$ of the optimized structures between −20 and 300 GPa at 20 GPa intervals were interpolated onto a dense volume grid. At each grid point, pressure was calculated by finite difference $P = -dE/dV$, and then enthalpy by $H = E + PV$. The $H$-$P$ of all of the polymorphs were interpolated onto a uniform pressure grid so that $\Delta H$-$P$ could be obtained by subtraction.

The relative enthalpies compared to the $Pnma$ structure were used to determine the stabilities of YAlO$_3$ polymorphs with respect to pressure (Figure 4.1). The $\Delta H$ to the $I4/mcm$, $Imma$ and $R3c$ structures all fall to zero at ~100 GPa, and above this pressure, they become smaller than, almost equal to and larger than zero respectively. The reduction of $\Delta H$ to the $Imma$ to zero suggests that the $Pnma$ and $Imma$ structures evolve to become identical at high pressures. Because the Wentzcovitch algorithm preserves the space group of the structure during its relaxation (Wentzcovitch et al., 1993), the $Pnma$ structure can evolve to one of its supergroups $Imma$, but not the reverse. This has been verified by the bond length variation with pressure of the $Pnma$ structure (Figure 4.2). Above 100 GPa, two symmetry independent Y-O1 bonds in the $Pnma$ structure become equivalent as in the $Imma$ structure. Similar behavior is seen in the Y-O2 and Al-O2 bonds. This evolution can also be seen more directly from the tilt angles of the AlO$_6$
octahedra (Figure 4.3). The *Pnma* structure has the \( a^+b^-b^- \) tilt system, meaning in-phase tilting around one pseudo-cubic axis and equal out-of-phase tiltings around the other two axes (Glazer, 1972). The corresponding tilt angles \( \phi_a \) and \( \phi_{b^-} \) were calculated following Chapter 2 (Figure 4.3). The \( \phi_a \) decreases to zero above 100 GPa and hence results in the \( a^0b^-b^- \) tilt system belonging to the *Imma* structure (Glazer, 1972). This transition is allowed to be continuous according to the group theory (Howard and Stokes, 1998).

Figure 4.1 Relative enthalpies of YAlO\(_3\) polymorphs compared to *Pnma* structure as a function of pressure. The inset figure has full \( \Delta H \) range.
Figure 4.2 Bond lengths of \textit{Pnma} structure as a function of pressure.

Figure 4.3 Octahedral tilt angles of \textit{Pnma} structure as a function of pressure.

Above 100 GPa, the \textit{I4/mcm} structure has the lowest $\Delta H$ and hence is the stable phase (Figure 4.1). Other than the decreasing bond lengths (Figure 4.4), the only tilt angle $\varphi_c$ of the $a^0 a^0 c^-$ tilt
system of the $I4/mcm$ structure decreases with increasing pressure (Figure 4.5). If $\phi_c$ continues to approach zero, the $I4/mcm$ structure will transit to the cubic $Pm\bar{3}m$ structure, as predicted by the general rules for perovskite structural evolution at high pressures (Angel et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2004a). The $\Delta H$ of the $Pm\bar{3}m$ structure drops at a greater rate than that of the $I4/mcm$ structure (inset in Figure 4.1), which might also be a sign of an $I4/mcm - Pm\bar{3}m$ transition at some higher pressure. Extrapolation of the $\phi_c$ suggests that the $I4/mcm$ to $Pm\bar{3}m$ transition would occur at $\sim 1.2$ TPa.

![Figure 4.4 Bond lengths of $I4/mcm$ structure as a function of pressure.](image)
The $\Delta H$ values of NH$_4$CdCl$_3$-, Gd$_2$S$_3$-, U$_2$S$_3$-type and PPV structures fall onto just two curves; the NH$_4$CdCl$_3$- and Gd$_2$S$_3$-type have identical $\Delta H$, as do the U$_2$S$_3$-type and PPV (inset in Figure 4.1). Inspection of their optimized structures at all pressures revealed that the Gd$_2$S$_3$-type structures change to the NH$_4$CdCl$_3$-type after relaxations, and the U$_2$S$_3$-type structures change to PPV (Figure 4.6). Both the Gd$_2$S$_3$- and NH$_4$CdCl$_3$-type structures have *Pnma* space group. The main difference is that in the former the coordination number of the Al site is 7 while it is 6 in the latter. The U$_2$S$_3$-type structure has *Pnma* space group but the PPV structure has higher symmetry of *Cmcm*, a supergroup of *Pnma*. The structural difference also lies in the coordination number of the Al site, i.e. 7 in the former while 6 in the latter. When the coordination number changes from 7 to 6, the edge-sharing enneahedra break up into smaller octahedra, which suggests that structures with relatively small octahedral Al sites are energetically favorable for YAlO$_3$. The more stable perovskite structures also belong to this category.
Figure 4.6  Structures of NH$_4$CdCl$_3$-, Gd$_2$S$_3$-, U$_2$S$_3$-type and PPV YAlO$_3$ in Pnma, Pnma, Pnma and Amma (non-standard of Cmcm) settings respectively, viewed along $b$ axis. The left two illustrate the initial Gd$_2$S$_3$- and U$_2$S$_3$-type structures before relaxations and the right two are their final configurations at 0 GPa respectively.

The Pnma – I4/mcm phase transition of YAlO$_3$ perovskite found in this study agrees with the other prediction by first-principles calculations using the generalized gradient approximation.
(GGA) (Wu et al., 2006), except that the transition pressure for \textit{Pnma} to \textit{I4/mcm} perovskite of 100 GPa determined here is higher than their 80 GPa. This difference is even larger than it seems if considering that LDA often underestimates the transition pressure while GGA may slightly overestimate it (Oganov and Brodholt, 2000). The only reason I could think of is the different structural optimization algorithms used in the two studies. While the Wentzcovitch algorithm I used relaxed both the cell and internal parameters simultaneously, Wu et al. (2006) relaxed only one of the two at one time with the other fixed, which might result in non fully optimized structures.

High-temperature experiments of perovskites such as SrZrO$_3$, SrRuO$_3$, SrRhO$_3$, SrSnO$_3$ and SrTcO$_3$ have revealed a \textit{Pnma} – \textit{Imma} – \textit{I4/mcm} – \textit{Pm3m} transition series with increasing temperature (Howard et al., 2000; Kennedy et al., 2002; Kennedy et al., 2004; Mountstevens et al., 2005; Thorogood et al., 2011). The stability temperature range of \textit{Imma} can be as limited as 20°C in SrRhO$_3$. In our calculations at high pressures, the $\Delta H$ of \textit{Imma} and \textit{I4/mcm} structures drop to zero at almost the same pressure (Figure 4.1). If the \textit{Pnma} structure continuously changes to \textit{Imma} shortly before the transition to \textit{I4/mcm}, there may be a very small stability pressure range of \textit{Imma} between those of \textit{Pnma} and \textit{I4/mcm}, which may be expanded at finite temperatures. This question needs further experimental and theoretical investigations.

4.4.2 Elasticity

The cell parameters of the optimized \textit{Pnma} structure at 0 GPa and 0 K are $\sim$1.5% smaller than the experimental values at room temperature and pressure, leading to the unit cell volume being 4.2% smaller (Table 4.1). The bulk modulus and its pressure derivative at 0 GPa, $K_0$ and $K'_0$, were obtained by fitting the third-order $E(V)$ form Birch-Murnaghan equation of state (EOS) to the total energies of the optimized structures. Only the data at $\sim$20 – 100 GPa were used in the fitting because the \textit{Pnma} structures actually evolve to \textit{Imma} above 100 GPa. For consistency, the other four perovskite structures also used the data in that pressure range for EOS fittings. The fitted $K_0$ of the \textit{Pnma} structure is 9.5% greater than the experimental value. The underestimated volume and overestimated bulk modulus are typical for the LDA calculation. Among the other
first-principles studies, our results are in very good agreement with those from Huang et al. (2011) using also LDA.

Our static elastic moduli of the \textit{Pnma} structure at 0 GPa compare reasonably with the only other data from Huang et al. (2011). Four of the nine independent elastic moduli have differences < 11 GPa while another four have differences < 18 GPa. The biggest difference of 40 GPa lies in $c_{22}$. But from the percentage perspective, the 12.1% of $c_{22}$ is the third after the 14.4% of $c_{13}$ and 12.4% of $c_{12}$, both of which have small absolute values. For those structures having no other elastic data to compare, a rough examination is provided by the Voigt-Reuss-Hill (VRH) approximation (Hill, 1952) of the polycrystalline bulk modulus $K_H$ based on the single-crystal elastic moduli. Right elastic moduli should yield $K_H$ which agrees with the $K_0$ from EOS fitting. In this study, the $K_H$ of the five perovskite structures are all within ±5 GPa of their respective $K_0$, which suggests that our results of elastic moduli are reasonable.

Table 4.1 Static structural and elastic properties of YAlO$_3$ perovskite at 0 GPa compared with previous studies$^a$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>5.32953(49)</td>
<td>5.3288</td>
<td>5.359</td>
<td>5.267</td>
<td>5.248</td>
<td>5.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>7.37002(21)</td>
<td>7.5175</td>
<td>7.427</td>
<td>7.290</td>
<td>7.269</td>
<td>7.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>5.17868(29)</td>
<td>5.3087</td>
<td>5.210</td>
<td>5.116</td>
<td>5.107</td>
<td>5.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>203.412(16)</td>
<td>212.663</td>
<td>209.9</td>
<td>207.365</td>
<td>196.436</td>
<td>194.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_0$</td>
<td>192.1(1.8)</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>218.4</td>
<td>207.1</td>
<td>210.2</td>
<td>210.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K'_0$</td>
<td>7.3(4)</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>3.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{11}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.863</td>
<td>4.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{22}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.134</td>
<td>4.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{33}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{12}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{13}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ $K_0$, $K'_0$, and elastic moduli are from Voigt-Reuss-Hill approximation. 
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Previous studies are all on the stable *Pnma* structure and data in *Pbnm* setting have been converted to *Pnma*. b VRH values of bulk and shear moduli are calculated based on elastic moduli.

The *Pnma* – *I4/mcm* phase transition are accompanied by the pressure variation in the mechanical stabilities of the provskite structures. The *c*<sub>ij</sub>, *i, j* = 1, 2, 3, of the *Pnma*, *Imma* and *I4/mcm* structures all increase with increasing pressure, where *c*<sub>ii</sub> are much larger than *c*<sub>ij</sub>, *i* ≠ *j* (Figure 4.7, Figure 4.8). Below 60 GPa, the *c*<sub>44</sub> of the *I4/mcm* structure and the *c*<sub>66</sub> of the *Imma* structure become negative indicating that the structures are elastically unstable in this pressure range (Figure 4.9). Above 60 GPa, the *c*<sub>44</sub> of the *I4/mcm* structure keeps increasing while the *c*<sub>55</sub> of the *Imma* structure keeps decreasing towards negative values, which suggests that the *I4/mcm* rather than *Imma* is the mechanically stable structure at high pressures. At low pressures, the *c*<sub>55</sub> and *c*<sub>66</sub> of the *Pnma* structure first increase then decrease, and they approach those of the *Imma* structure at 100 GPa. All the elastic moduli of the *Pnma* structure coincide with those of the *Imma* structure above 100 GPa, which is in accord with the fact that the *Pnma* structures evolve to *Imma* in that pressure range. From the perspective of mechanical stability, the stable structures at low and high pressures are *Pnma* and *I4/mcm* respectively.
Figure 4.7 Elastic moduli of Pnma, Imma and I4/mcm structures as a function of pressure. Labels without T are for Pnma (solid) and Imma (dashed); Labels with T are for I4/mcm.

Figure 4.8 Elastic moduli of Pnma, Imma and I4/mcm structures as a function of pressure. Labels without T are for Pnma (solid) and Imma (dashed); Labels with T are for I4/mcm.
Figure 4.9  Elastic moduli of \textit{Pnma}, \textit{Imma} and \textit{I4/mcm} structures as a function of pressure. Labels without T are for \textit{Pnma} (solid) and \textit{Imma} (dashed); Labels with T are for \textit{I4/mcm}.

Figure 4.10 Elastic moduli of \textit{R\bar{3}c} structure as a function of pressure. \( c_{66} = (c_{11} - c_{12}) / 2 \).
Figure 4.11 Elastic moduli of $Pm\bar{3}m$ structure as a function of pressure.

4.4.3 Phonons

The pressure variations of the stabilities of the five perovskite structures of YAlO$_3$ can be examined through the calculated phonon dispersion curves (Figure 4.13 – Figure 4.17). Although the $Pnma$ structure is dynamically stable between 0 and 80 GPa, the $A_g$ mode at the $\Gamma$ point softens with increasing pressure (Figure 4.13, Figure 4.18). This mode is the in-phase octahedral tilting around the $a$ pseudo-cubic axis of the $a^+b^-b^-$ tilt system plus the displacements of the Y atoms (Figure 4.12), so the softening of this mode indicates the $a^+b^-b^-$ to $a^0b^-b^-$ tilt system transformation, i.e. the $Pnma$ to $Imma$ phase transition. Although the frequency of this mode increases above 100 GPa, imaginary frequencies appear near the $\Gamma$ point, suggesting that the $Pnma$ structure is dynamically unstable above 100 GPa (Figure 4.13).

The $Imma$ structure has imaginary frequency at the $Z$ point $[\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}]$ between 0 and 80 GPa (Figure 4.14). With increasing pressure, the imaginary part reduces and the frequency becomes positive. This $Z$-point mode has the same vibrational pattern as the $\Gamma$-point $A_g$ mode of the $Pnma$
structure (Figure 4.12). Note that if the \textit{Pnma} symmetry is increased to \textit{Imma}, the reciprocal cell is doubled and the $\Gamma$ point of \textit{Pnma} becomes the $\Gamma$ or $Z$ point of \textit{Imma}. Actually, when the \textit{Pnma} structure evolves to \textit{Imma}, the soft $\Gamma$-point $A_g$ mode of \textit{Pnma} becomes the $Z$-point mode of \textit{Imma} (Figure 4.18). The \textit{Pnma} structure can be seen as the condensation of the in-phase tilting $Z$-point mode of \textit{Imma} (Figure 4.12). The squared frequency of the $Z$-point mode crosses zero at 89 GPa, suggesting that the \textit{Pnma} to \textit{Imma} transition occurs at that pressure (Figure 4.18). At 100 GPa, imaginary frequency reappears near the $\Gamma$ point, which is clearer at 140 GPa (Figure 4.14). This might imply an \textit{Imma} to \textit{I4/mcm} transition occurring shortly after the \textit{Pnma} to \textit{Imma} transition with increasing pressure.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Pnma_Imma.png}
\caption{\textit{Pnma} and \textit{Imma} structures viewed along $b$ axis. Arrows denote vibrational patterns of soft modes at $\Gamma$ point of \textit{Pnma} structure and $Z$ point $[\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}]$ of \textit{Imma} structure. Only vibrations of one AlO\textsubscript{6} octahedron and one Y atom are shown for clarity.}
\end{figure}

The \textit{I4/mcm} structure has imaginary frequencies at the $X$ and $\Gamma$ points at 0 GPa (Figure 4.15). The soft doublet $E_g$ modes at the $\Gamma$ point are the out-of-phase octahedral tiltings around the two $a$ pseudo-cubic axes of the $a^0 a^0 c^-$ tilt system of the \textit{I4/mcm} structure, which might suggest that more than one out-of-phase tilting should condense at low pressures as in the \textit{Pnma} structure.
The imaginary frequency near the Γ point disappears above 100 GPa, which is coincident with the appearing of the imaginary frequency near the Γ point in the Imma structure (Figure 4.15, Figure 4.14). Also the ΔH of the Imma and I4/mcm structures cross at 100 GPa (Figure 4.1), thus the Imma to I4/mcm transition pressure is 100 GPa. The stability pressure range of the Imma structure, i.e. between 89 and 100 GPa, is inside that bounded by the negative $c_{66}$ and $c_{55}$ of the Imma structure (Figure 4.9). The squared frequencies of the soft X-, Γ-point modes of the I4/mcm structure become positive above ~60 GPa (Figure 4.18), coincident with that the $c_{44}$ of the I4/mcm structure becomes positive at ~53 GPa (Figure 4.9).

The Γ-point $E_g$ doublet modes of the $R\bar{3}c$ structure soften with decreasing pressure, with the frequency reduced to zero at 0 GPa (Figure 4.16, Figure 4.18). The $c_{14}$ of the $R\bar{3}c$ structure also approaches zero as pressure decreases to 0 GPa (Figure 4.10), although this does not affect the mechanical stability of the $R\bar{3}c$ structure. The imaginary frequency appears near the Γ point above 100 GPa (Figure 4.16), coincident with that one of the Born stability criteria (Born and Huang, 1954) for rhombohedral structure ($c_{11} - c_{12} c_{44} - 2c_{14}^2 > 0$) is violated above ~110 GPa (Figure 4.10). The $R\bar{3}c$ structure is stable dynamically and mechanically between 0 and 100 GPa even though it is energetically metastable relative to Pnma.

Although the $c_{11}$, $c_{12}$ and $c_{44}$ of the Pm$\bar{3}m$ structure meet the Born stability criteria (Born and Huang, 1954) for cubic structure $c_{11} > 0$, $c_{11} - c_{12} > 0$ and $c_{44} > 0$ (Figure 4.11), the Γ-point $T_{1u}$ triplet, M-point $A_{2g}$ singlet, and R-point $T_{1g}$ triplet modes all soften with decreasing pressure (Figure 4.17, inset in Figure 4.18). The M- and R-point modes are the in-phase and out-of-phase octahedral tiltings respectively, which might suggest that both tiltings should condense at low pressures as in the Pnma structure. Extrapolation of the squared frequency of the R-point mode suggests the I4/mcm to Pm$\bar{3}m$ transition pressure to be ~1.3 TPa, close to the ~1.2 TPa extrapolated from the octahedral tilt angle $\phi_c$ of the I4/mcm structure. The NH$_4$CdCl$_3$-type and PPV structures were found to be dynamically stable up to the highest pressure I did calculations of 300 GPa (Figure 4.19, Figure 4.20).
Figure 4.13 Phonon dispersion of *Pnma* structure.
Figure 4.14 Phonon dispersion of \textit{Imma} structure.
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Figure 4.15 Phonon dispersion of \( I4/mcm \) structure.
Figure 4.16 Phonon dispersion of $\bar{R}3c$ structure.
Figure 4.17 Phonon dispersion of $Pm\bar{3}m$ structure.
Figure 4.18 Squared frequencies of the soft phonon modes in perovskite structures of $\text{YAlO}_3$. The inset has full squared frequency range.

Figure 4.19 Phonon dispersion of $\text{NH}_4\text{CdCl}_3$-type structure.
4.4.4 Polyhedral volume ratio $V_A/V_B$

In the $Pnma$ perovskite structure of $\text{YAlO}_3$, the $\text{AlO}_6$ octahedra are less tilted with increasing pressure (Figure 4.3), so the volume ratio of the $\text{YO}_{12}$ polyhedra and $\text{AlO}_6$ octahedra $V_A/V_B$ increases with pressure (Figure 4.21). A similar trend is also shown in the $I4/mcm$ structure of $\text{YAlO}_3$ (Figure 4.5, Figure 4.21). This indicates that the Al site octahedra are more compressible than the Y sites (Angel et al., 2005), and hence $V_A/V_B$ would increase with pressure all the way through structural evolutions of $\text{YAlO}_3$ at high pressures. The $V_A/V_B$ of the $Pm\bar{3}m$ structure is 5 and the $I4/mcm$ to $Pm\bar{3}m$ transition occurs when the $V_A/V_B$ of the $I4/mcm$ structure increases to 5. In the $\text{NH}_4\text{CdCl}_3$-type and PPV structures of $\text{YAlO}_3$, the $V_A/V_B$ are much smaller than those in the perovskite structures (Figure 4.21), thus these two structures could not be the high pressure phases of $\text{YAlO}_3$. Similarity was found between the $\Delta V_A/V_B$ and $\Delta H$ plots (Figure 4.1, Figure 4.22). Just like the two structures have the same free energy at the phase transition, they also have approximately the same volume ratio of $A$ and $B$ sites at the transition. For the perovskite structures, the $\Delta V_A/V_B$ qualitatively reproduce the $\Delta H$, which needs to be further tested in perovskites other than $\text{YAlO}_3$. 

Figure 4.20 Phonon dispersion of PPV structure.
4.5 Conclusions
The Pnma – Imma – I4/mcm phase transitions of YAlO₃ perovskite at high pressures were determined by relative enthalpies, elasticities and phonons of 9 phases. While the relative enthalpies do not show clearly a stability field for the Imma structure, the pressure variations of the soft Z-point mode of the Imma structure and the phonon dispersions of the Imma and I4/mcm structures provide a clearer Imma stability field between 89 and 100 GPa. For the perovskite structures, the pressure ranges of mechanical stability determined by elastic moduli enclose those of dynamical stability determined by phonon dispersions. Although the NH₄CdCl₃-type and PPV structures are not energetically favorable compared with the perovskite structures, the smaller AlO₆ sites make them dynamically stable and the Gd₂S₃- and U₂S₃-types collapsing to them. The similarity between the ΔV_B/V_B and ΔH plots shows some insight to the energetic mechanism for the phase transitions, which needs further investigation.
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Chapter 5: Conclusions and comments

The octahedral tilt angles derived from the amplitudes of the $M_3^+$ and $R_4^+$ symmetry-adapted modes provide a quantitative description of the tiltings of the $BO_6$ octahedra around each of the three pseudo-cubic axes. Previously, the tilt angles were only unambiguously defined in simple tilt systems such as $a^0 a^0 c^-$ and $a^- a^- a^-$. This method expands the tilt angle definition to more complicated tilt systems such as $a^+ b^- b^-$. Calculated by this method, the in-phase tilt angle in the YAlO$_3$ perovskite clearly shows the continuous change from the $a^+ b^- b^-$ to $a^0 b^- b^-$ tilt system with increasing pressure (Figure 4.3). The advantage of using the online software ISODISTORT is that it gives the same results of the mode amplitudes no matter what setting is used for a structure. So to calculated tilt angles, one does not need to convert, say the cif file generated by refinement software to a standard form.

The calculation of the elastic moduli of LaAlO$_3$ perovskite was found to be difficult. If each of the elastic moduli is only calculated from one stress-strain equation (4.1), the results will have large errors. The errors are easier to see if the elastic moduli calculated at a range of pressures are plotted against pressure. The curves are likely to go up and down randomly with pressure which rarely happens for most materials. And combinations of elastic moduli which should have values related by symmetry constraints usually do not quite obey the exact relations. Thus, a scheme of averaging a large number of stress-strain equations was adopted. A large number of different strains were applied and six times number of equations were obtained. The resulting equation system has far more equations than the number of unknowns and most of them are linearly dependent. The singular value decomposition method was used to solve this type of equation system. More equations with weight factors were added to enforce the dependencies between the elastic moduli.

This large number of strain schemes was found to be necessary only for rhombohedral perovskite. Fewer strains are enough for cubic, tetragonal and orthorhombic perovskites. Note that the symmetry of rhombohedral perovskite is reduced a lot when most strains are applied, while for cubic, tetragonal and orthorhombic perovskites, they are still highly symmetric when
most strains are applied. So, the less symmetry restrictions to the atomic relaxation in rhombohedral perovskite might be the reason for the inaccurate relaxation and stress results.

Landau parameters were calibrated using data from first-principles calculations for the first time. First-principles calculations can provide data which are not available in experiments such as bare cell parameters, elastic moduli and excess free energy (Figure 3.3, Figure 3.14, Figure 3.7). These data either avoid approximations in experimental studies or provide additional equations to fit Landau parameters (Figure 3.17). Octahedral tilt angles were used as the order parameter components, giving them more apparent structural meaning (Figure 3.4). The Landau expected discontinuities of the elastic moduli across the phase transition are less possible to observe in first-principles calculations, because if the structural change is continuous, the elastic moduli calculated based on the gradually changing structures also tend to be continuous (Figure 3.20).

In second-order phase transitions of perovskite such as the \( R\bar{3}c \rightarrow Pm\bar{3}m \) transition in \( \text{LaAlO}_3 \) perovskite, phonon softenings were found in both structures towards the transition pressure (Figure 3.8 – Figure 3.11). Because the relative enthalpy gradually approaches zero in second-order phase transition, it is hard to determine the transition pressure by this, especially when the errors of the first-principles calculations are of comparable order (Figure 3.7). So, the pressure variations of the frequencies of the soft phonon modes provide a better way to determine the transition pressure (Figure 3.12). The \( Pnma \rightarrow Imma \) continuous transition in \( \text{YAIO}_3 \) perovskite were analyzed using a similar approach.
Appendix A: Displacive mode decomposition and $V_A/V_B$ as a function of mode amplitudes for 15 perovskite structures

$a_p$ denotes the cell dimension of the cubic aristotype. The mode amplitude is a fraction of $a_p$. The prime symbol in $d'$ is omitted for tidiness. The three subscripts of $d$ are, in sequence: the relevant atom type, the irrep and the group of digits indicating the linear combination of the corresponding basis modes of the irrep. The $V_A/V_B$ expressions are the same as in Table 3 of the text, with that following the equal sign is in terms of the amplitudes of all the symmetry-adapted modes of $X$ anions condensed in each perovskite structure, and that following the approximately equal sign is in terms of only the tilt mode amplitudes.

---

a  \begin{array}{c} a^0 a^0 a^0 \\ a = b = c = a_p \end{array}  \begin{array}{c} \text{No. 221 Pm\overline{3}m} \\ (1b) 1 \frac{1}{2} 1 \frac{1}{2} 1 \frac{1}{2} \\ (1a) 0, 0, 0 \\ (3d) 1 \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0 \end{array}

\frac{V_A}{V_B} = 5

---

b  \begin{array}{c} a' a' a' \\ a = b \approx \sqrt{2}a_p, c \approx \sqrt{3}a_p, \text{hexagonal cell} \end{array}  \begin{array}{c} \text{No. 167 R\overline{3}c} \\ (6a) 0, 0, 1 \frac{1}{4} \\ (6b) 0, 0, 0 \\ (18e) x \left( \approx \frac{1}{2} \right), 0, 1 \frac{1}{4} \end{array}

---

101
\[
d_{X,R^*_1,123} + \frac{1}{2} = x_X
\]

\[
\frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 4 \cdot 3d^2_{X,R^*_1,123}} - 1
\]

---

**c  \(a^0a^0c^+\)**  No. 127  \(P4/mbm\)

\(a = b \approx \sqrt{2}a_p, c \approx a_p\)

A: (2c) 0, \(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\)

B: (2a) 0, 0, 0

X1: (2b) 0, 0, \(\frac{1}{2}\)

X2: (4g) \(x \approx \frac{1}{4}, x + \frac{1}{2}, 0\)

\[
\frac{1}{2} d_{X,M^*_1,1} + \frac{1}{4} = x_{X2}
\]

\[
\frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 4d^2_{X,M^*_1,1}} - 1
\]

---

**d  \(a^0a^0c^-\)**  No. 140  \(I4/mcm\)

\(a = b \approx \sqrt{2}a_p, c \approx 2a_p\)

A: (4b) 0, \(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\)

B: (4c) 0, 0, 0

X1: (4a) 0, 0, \(\frac{1}{4}\)

X2: (8h) \(x \approx \frac{1}{4}, x + \frac{1}{2}, 0\)
\[
\frac{1}{2} d'_{X,R_{1}',1} + \frac{1}{4} = x_{X2}
\]

\[
V_A = \frac{6}{1 + 4d^2_{X,R_{1}',1}} - 1
\]

\[e\quad a^0b^b\quad \text{No. 74 Imma}\]

\[\approx \sqrt{2a_p}, \quad b \approx 2a_p, \quad c \approx \sqrt{2a_p}\]

A: (4e) 0, \(\frac{1}{4}\), \(z (\approx \frac{1}{2})\)

B: (4a) 0, 0, 0

X1: (4e) 0, \(\frac{1}{4}\), \(z (\approx 0)\)

X2: (8g) \(\frac{1}{4}\), \(y (\approx 0)\), \(\frac{3}{4}\)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
d'_{A,R_{1}',12} \\
d'_{X,R_{1}',12} \\
d'_{X,R_{1}',12}
\end{pmatrix}
+ 
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
z_A \\
z_{X1} \\
y_{X2}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 8d^2_{X,R_{1}',12} - 8d^2_{X,R_{1}',12}} - 1
\]

\[\approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \cdot 2d^3_{X,R_{1}',12}} - 1\]

\[f\quad a^0b^c\quad \text{No. 12 I2/m (non-standard setting of C2/m)}\]

\[\approx \sqrt{2a_p}, \quad b \approx 2a_p, \quad c \approx \sqrt{2a_p}, \quad \beta \approx 90^\circ\]

A: (4i) \(x (\approx \frac{1}{4})\), 0, \(z (\approx \frac{3}{4})\)
B: \((4e) \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\)

X1: \((4i) x \approx \frac{1}{4}, 0, z \approx \frac{1}{4}\)

X2: \((4g) 0, y \approx \frac{1}{4}, 0\)

X3: \((4h) \frac{1}{2}, y \approx \frac{1}{4}, 0\)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
d_{A,R_1'} \\
d_{A,R_2'} \\
d_{X,R_1'} \\
d_{X,R_2'} \\
d_{X,R_1'} \\
d_{X,R_2'}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{3}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
x_A \\
z_A \\
x_{X1} \\
z_{X1} \\
y_{X2} \\
y_{X3}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
V_A = \frac{6}{1 + 4d_{X,R_1'}^2 + 4d_{X,R_2'}^2 - 4d_{X,R_1'}^2 - 4d_{X,R_2'}^2 - 1}
\]

\[
\approx \frac{6}{1 + 4\left(d_{X,R_1'}^2 + d_{X,R_2'}^2\right)} - 1
\]

\text{g a'b'c' No. 15 I2/a (non-standard setting of C2/c)}

a \approx 2a_p, b \approx \sqrt{2}a_p, c \approx \sqrt{2}a_p, \alpha \approx 90^\circ

A: \((4e) \frac{1}{4}, y (\approx 0), 0\)

B: \((4b) 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0\)

X1: \((4e) \frac{1}{4}, y (\approx \frac{1}{2}), 0\)
X2: (8f) \( x \approx 0), y \approx \frac{1}{4}), z \approx \frac{1}{4}\)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
d_{A,R_1^1,13} \\
d_{X,R_1^1,12} \\
d_{X,R_1^1,13} \\
d_{X,R_2^2,2} \\
d_{X,R_1^1,13}
\end{pmatrix}
+
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
=
\begin{pmatrix}
y_A \\
y_{X1} \\
x_{X2} \\
y_{X2} \\
z_{X2}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
V_A
= \frac{6}{1 - 4d_{X,R_1^1,12}^2 + 8d_{X,R_1^1,13}^2 + 4d_{X,R_2^2,2}^2 - 8d_{X,R_1^1,13}^2 - 1}
\]

\[
\approx \frac{6}{1 + 4\left(2d_{X,R_1^1,13}^2 + d_{X,R_2^2,2}^2\right)^{-1}}
\]

\[ h \ a^+ b^+ b^- \text{ No. 62 } Pnma \]

\( a \approx \sqrt{2}a_p, b \approx 2a_p, c \approx \sqrt{2}a_p \)

A: (4c) \( x \approx \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}), z \approx 0\)

B: (4a) 0, 0, 0

X1: (4c) \( x \approx 0, \frac{1}{4}, z \approx 0\)

X2: (8d) \( x \approx \frac{3}{4}, y \approx 0), z \approx \frac{1}{4}\)
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
d_{A,R_i^+,12} \\
d_{A,X_j^+,1} \\
d_{X,M_j^+,3} \\
d_{X,M_j^-,3} \\
d_{X,R_i^+,12} \\
d_{X,R_i^-,12} \\
d_{X,X_j^+,1} \\
\end{pmatrix}
+ \frac{1}{2}
\begin{pmatrix}
x_A \\
z_A \\
x_{X_1} \\
z_{X_1} \\
x_{X_2} \\
z_{X_2} \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \frac{6}{6 - 1}
\begin{pmatrix}
x_i \\
x_{A^+} \\
x_{A^+} \\
x_{A^+} \\
x_{A^-} \\
x_{A^-} \\
x_{A^-} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
d_{X,X_j^+,1} \\
\end{pmatrix}

\]

\[
V_A \\
V_B
\]

\[
\approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \left( d_{X,M_j^+,3}^2 + 2d_{X,R_i^+,12}^2 \right)} - 1
\]

\[\text{No. 137 P4}_2/\text{mc}\]

\[a = b \approx 2a_p, \ c \approx 2a_p\]

A1: \((2a) \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\)

A2: \((2b) \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\)

A3: \((4d) \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, z \approx \frac{1}{4}\)

B: \((8e) 0, 0, 0\)

X1: \((8g) \frac{1}{4}, y \approx 0, z \approx 0\)

X2: \((8g) \frac{1}{4}, y \approx \frac{1}{2}, z \approx \frac{1}{2}\)

X3: \((8f) x \approx \frac{1}{2}, -x, \frac{1}{4}\)
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{-1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
d_{A,X^*_{i,1},1256} \\
d_{X,M_{i,23}^*} \\
d_{X,M_{i,23}^*} \\
d_{X,M_{i,23}^*} \\
d_{X,R_{i,1}^*} \\
d_{X,X_{i,1256}^*}
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{4} \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
z_{X3} \\
y_{X1} \\
z_{X1} \\
y_{X2} \\
z_{X2} \\
x_{X3}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 8d_{X,M_{i,23}^*}^2 - 4d_{X,M_{i,1}^*}^2 - 8d_{X,M_{i,23}^*}^2 + 4d_{X,R_{i,1}^*}^2 - 16d_{X,M_{i,1}^*}^2 \left(d_{X,M_{i,23}^*}^2 - d_{X,M_{i,23}^*}^2\right)} - 1
\]

\[
\approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \left(2d_{X,M_{i,23}^*}^2 + d_{X,R_{i,1}^*}^2\right)} - 1
\]
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
d_{A,R_i^e,3} \\
d_{A,X_i^e,3,4} \\
d_{X,M_i^e,1} \\
d_{X,M_i^e,1} \\
d_{X,R_i^e,3} \\
d_{X,R_i^e,3} \\
d_{X,X_i^e,3,4}
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
y_{A1} \\
y_{A2} \\
x_{X1} \\
x_{X2} \\
x_{X3} \\
y_{X3}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
V_A = \frac{V_B}{1 + 4d^2_{X,M_i^e,1} - 4d^2_{X,M_i^e,1} + 4d^2_{X,R_i^e,3} - 4d^2_{X,R_i^e,3} - 8(d_{X,M_i^e,1} - d_{X,R_i^e,3})(d_{X,R_i^e,3} - d_{X,R_i^e,3})d_{X,X_i^e,3,4}} - 1
\]

\[
\approx \frac{6}{1 + 4(d^2_{X,M_i^e,1} + d^2_{X,R_i^e,3})} - 1
\]

k \quad a + b \quad c^- \quad \text{No. 11 P2}_1/m

a \approx \sqrt{2}a_p, \quad b \approx 2a_p, \quad c \approx \sqrt{2}a_p, \quad \beta \approx 90^\circ

A1: (2e) x (\approx 0), \frac{1}{4}, z (\approx 0)

A2: (2e) x (\approx \frac{1}{2}), \frac{1}{4}, z (\approx \frac{1}{2})

B1: (2b) \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0

B2: (2c) 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}

X1: (2e) x (\approx 0), \frac{1}{4}, z (\approx \frac{1}{2})

X2: (2e) x (\approx \frac{1}{2}), \frac{1}{4}, z (\approx 0)
X3: \((4f) x \approx \frac{1}{4}, y \approx 0, z \approx \frac{1}{4}\)

X4: \((4f) x \approx \frac{1}{4}, y \approx 0, z \approx \frac{3}{4}\)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
d_{A,R_i,1} \\
d_{A,R_i,2} \\
d_{A,X_i,1} \\
d_{A,X_i,2} \\
d_{X,M_i,1} \\
d_{X,M_i,2} \\
d_{X,M_i,3} \\
d_{X,M_i,4} \\
d_{X,R_i,1} \\
d_{X,R_i,2} \\
d_{X,R_i,3} \\
d_{X,R_i,4} \\
d_{X,X_i,1} \\
d_{X,X_i,2}
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
x_{A1} \\
x_{A2} \\
x_{A3} \\
x_{X1} \\
x_{X2} \\
x_{X3} \\
x_{X4} \\
y_{X1} \\
y_{X2} \\
y_{X3} \\
y_{X4}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
\frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 4d_{X,M_{i\delta}^3}^2 - 4d_{X,M_{i\delta}^3}^2 + 4d_{X,M_{i\delta}^2}^2 + 4d_{X,M_{i\delta}^2}^2 + 4d_{X,R_{\delta^2}}^2 - 4d_{X,R_{\delta^2}}^2 - 4d_{X,R_{\delta^2}}^2 - 1}
\]

\[
+ \frac{8}{1 + 4d_{X,M_{i\delta}^3}^2 - d_{X,M_{i\delta}^3}^2 + d_{X,M_{i\delta}^3}^2 + d_{X,M_{i\delta}^2}^2 + d_{X,R_{\delta^2}}^2 - d_{X,R_{\delta^2}}^2}
\]

\[
- \frac{8}{1 + 4d_{X,M_{i\delta}^3}^2 - d_{X,M_{i\delta}^3}^2 - d_{X,M_{i\delta}^3}^2 - d_{X,M_{i\delta}^2}^2 + d_{X,R_{\delta^2}}^2 - d_{X,R_{\delta^2}}^2}
\]

\[
\approx \frac{6}{1 + 4d_{X,M_{i\delta}^3}^2 + d_{X,R_{\delta^2}}^2 + d_{X,R_{\delta^2}}^2 + d_{X,R_{\delta^2}}^2 - 1}
\]

1  \(a^+ a^+ a^+\) No. 204 \(Im\overline{3}\)

\(a = b = c \approx 2a_p\)

A1: \((2a)\) 0, 0, 0

A2: \((6b)\) 0, \(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\)

B: \((8c)\) \(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\)

X: \((24g)\) 0, \(y \approx \frac{1}{4}\), \(z \approx \frac{1}{4}\)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
d_{X,M_{i\delta}^3,123} \\
d_{X,M_{i\delta}^3,123}
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
y_X \\
z_X
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 12d_{X,M_{i\delta}^3,123}^2 - 12d_{X,M_{i\delta}^3}^2 + 16d_{X,R_{\delta^2}^2,123}^2 + 2d_{X,M_{i\delta}^3}^2 + 12d_{X,R_{\delta^2}^2,123}^2} - 1
\]

\[
\approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \cdot 3d_{X,M_{i\delta}^3,123}^2} - 1
\]

\(m\)  \(a^0 b^+ b^+\) No. 139 \(I4/mmm\)
\( a = b \approx 2a_p, \quad c \approx 2a_p \)

A1: \((2a)\) 0, 0, 0

A2: \((2b)\) 0, 0, \(\frac{1}{2}\)

A3: \((4c)\) \(\frac{1}{2}\), 0, 0

B: \((8f)\) \(\frac{1}{4}\) \(\frac{1}{4}\) \(\frac{1}{4}\)

X1: \((8h)\) \(x (\approx \frac{1}{4})\), \(x\), 0

X2: \((16n)\) 0, \(y (\approx \frac{1}{4})\), \(z (\approx \frac{1}{4})\)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
d_{X,M_{\frac{1}{2},23}} \\
d_{X,M_{\frac{1}{2},1}} \\
d_{X,M_{\frac{1}{2},23}}
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
x_{X1} \\
y_{X2} \\
z_{X2}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 8d_{X,M_{\frac{1}{2},23}}^2 - 4d_{X,M_{\frac{1}{2},1}}^2 - 8d_{X,M_{\frac{1}{2},23}}^2 - 16d_{X,M_{\frac{1}{2},1}}(d_{X,M_{\frac{1}{2},23}}^2 - d_{X,M_{\frac{1}{2},23}}^2)} - 1
\]

\[
\approx \frac{6}{1 + 4 \cdot 2d_{X,M_{\frac{1}{2},23}}^2} - 1
\]

n \quad a^+b^+c^- \quad No. 71 \text{ Immm}

\( a \approx 2a_p, \quad b \approx 2a_p, \quad c \approx 2a_p \)

A1: \((2a)\) 0, 0, 0

A2: \((2b)\) 0, \(\frac{1}{2}\), \(\frac{1}{2}\)

A3: \((2c)\) \(\frac{1}{2}\), \(\frac{1}{2}\), 0
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A4: \((2d) \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\)

B: \((8k) \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\)

X1: \((8l) 0, y (\approx \frac{1}{4}), z (\approx \frac{1}{4})\)

X2: \((8m) x (\approx \frac{1}{4}), 0, z (\approx \frac{1}{4})\)

X3: \((8n) x (\approx \frac{1}{4}), y (\approx \frac{1}{4}), 0\)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
d_{x,M_4,1} \\
d_{x,M_4,2} \\
d_{x,M_4,3} \\
d_{x,M_4,4} \\
d_{x,M_4,5} \\
d_{x,M_4,6}
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
y_{X_1} \\
z_{X_1} \\
x_{X_2} \\
z_{X_2} \\
x_{X_3} \\
y_{X_3}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
V_A = \frac{V_B}{1 + 4d_{x,M_4,1}^2 + 4d_{x,M_4,2}^2 + 4d_{x,M_4,3}^2 - 4d_{x,M_4,1}^2 - 4d_{x,M_4,2}^2 - 4d_{x,M_4,3}^2 + 16d_{x,M_4,3}(d_{x,M_4,2}d_{x,M_4,1} + d_{x,M_4,3}d_{x,M_4,3} + 16(d_{x,M_4,1}d_{x,M_4,2} + d_{x,M_4,1}d_{x,M_4,2} + d_{x,M_4,3}d_{x,M_4,3})d_{x,M_4,3}}
\]

\[
= \frac{6}{1 + 4(d_{x,M_4,1}^2 + d_{x,M_4,2}^2 + d_{x,M_4,3}^2) - 1}
\]

---

\(a \approx \sqrt{2} a_p, \ b \approx \sqrt{2} a_p, \ c \approx \sqrt{2} a_p, \ \alpha \approx 60^\circ, \ \beta \approx 60^\circ, \ \gamma \approx 60^\circ\)

A: \((2i) x (\approx \frac{1}{4}), y (\approx \frac{1}{4}), z (\approx \frac{1}{4})\)
B1: (1a) 0, 0, 0

B2: (1h) $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$

X1: (2i) $x \approx \frac{1}{4}$, $y \approx \frac{3}{4}$, $z \approx \frac{1}{4}$

X2: (2i) $x \approx \frac{1}{4}$, $y \approx \frac{1}{4}$, $z \approx \frac{3}{4}$

X3: (2i) $x \approx \frac{3}{4}$, $y \approx \frac{1}{4}$, $z \approx \frac{1}{4}$

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}

\begin{pmatrix}
d_{A,R'_1,1} \\
d_{A,R'_2,1} \\
d_{A,R'_3,1} \\
d_{X,R'_1,1} \\
d_{X,R'_2,1} \\
d_{X,R'_3,1} \\
d_{X,R'_1,2} \\
d_{X,R'_2,2} \\
d_{X,R'_3,2} \\
d_{X,R'_1,3} \\
d_{X,R'_2,3} \\
d_{X,R'_3,3}
\end{pmatrix}

= \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4}
\end{pmatrix}

\begin{pmatrix}
x_A \\
y_A \\
z_A \\
x_{X1} \\
y_{X1} \\
z_{X1} \\
x_{X2} \\
y_{X2} \\
z_{X2} \\
x_{X3} \\
y_{X3} \\
z_{X3}
\end{pmatrix}
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\[
\frac{V_A}{V_B} = \frac{6}{1 + 12d_{X,R_1^i,1}^2 - 3d_{X,R_1^i,1}^2 - 4d_{X,R_1^i,2}^2 + 4d_{X,R_1^i,1}^2 + 4d_{X,R_1^i,2}^2 + 4d_{X,R_1^i,3}^2 - 4d_{X,R_1^i,1}^2 - 4d_{X,R_1^i,2}^2 - 4d_{X,R_1^i,3}^2} - 1
\]
\[
\approx \frac{6}{1 + 4\left(d_{X,R_1^i,1}^2 + d_{X,R_1^i,2}^2 + d_{X,R_1^i,3}^2\right)} - 1
\]