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(Abstract)

Biodegradable polyesters, such as poly(DL-lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA), have been used to fabricate porous bone scaffolds to support bone tissue development. These scaffolds allow for cell seeding, attachment, growth and extracellular matrix production in vitro and are replaced by new bone tissue when implanted into bone sites in vivo. Hydroxyapatite (HAP) and β-tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP) ceramics have been incorporated into PLGA bone scaffolds and have been shown to increase their osteoconductivity (support cell attachment). Although HAP, β-TCP, and biodegradable polyesters are osteoconductive, there is no evidence that these scaffold materials are osteoinductive (support cell differentiation). Calcium and phosphate ions, in contrast, have been postulated to be osteogenic factors that enhance osteoblast differentiation and mineralization. Recently, a zirconia-hybridized pyrophosphate stabilized amorphous calcium phosphate (Zr-ACP) has been synthesized which permits controlled release of calcium and phosphate ions and thus is hypothesized to be osteoinductive. Incorporation of Zr-ACP into a highly porous poly(DL lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) scaffold could potentially increase the osteoinductivity of the scaffold and therefore promote osteogenesis when implanted in vivo.

To determine the osteoinductivity of Zr-ACP, a MC3T3-E1 mouse calvarial-derived osteoprogenitor cell line was used to measure cell response to Zr-ACP. To accomplish this objective, Zr-ACP was added to cell culture at different stages in cell maturation (days 0, 4 and 11). DNA synthesis, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity,
osteopontin synthesis and collagen synthesis were determined. Results indicate that culture in the presence of Zr-ACP significantly increased cell proliferation, ALP activity and osteopontin synthesis but not collagen synthesis. To determine the feasibility of incorporating Zr-ACP into a PLGA scaffold, PLGA/Zr-ACP composite foams (5% or 10% (w/v) polymer:solvent with 25 wt% or 50 wt% Zr-ACP) were fabricated using a thermal phase inversion technique. Scanning electron microscopy revealed a highly porous structure with pores ranging in size from a few microns to about 100 µm. The amorphous structure of the Zr-ACP was maintained during composite fabrication as confirmed by X-ray diffraction measurements. Composite scaffolds also showed significantly greater compressive yield strengths and moduli as compared to pure polymer scaffolds.

The results of this study indicate that Zr-ACP enhances the osteoblastic phenotype of MC3T3-E1 cells in vitro and can be incorporated into a porous PLGA scaffold. Porous PLGA/Zr-ACP composites are promising for use as bone scaffolds to heal bone defects.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Conventional bone replacement and regeneration techniques

There are nearly 1 million cases of skeletal defects a year that require bone graft procedures to achieve union or to fill bone voids [1]. These numbers are expected to increase substantially within the next few years due to an aging population [1]. Current treatments for bone replacement and regeneration are based on autologous bone grafts (autografts) [2], allogenic bone grafts (allografts) [3] or, as an alternative to these, metals and ceramics [2].

Autografts, taken from another part of the patient’s own body, have been the gold standard for years because they provide osteogenic cells as well as essential osteoinductive factors needed for bone healing and regeneration [2]. They are commonly trabecular bone from the patient’s own iliac crest, but cortical bone can be used as well depending on defect size, shape and application [4]. Although autografts are successful their use is limited, mainly due to the volume of bone available and concerns of donor site morbidity [5].

Allografts, taken from a donor’s body (usually cadavers), are an alternative. However, graft incorporation is substantially lower than with the autograft [3]. Furthermore, allografts introduce the possibility of immune rejection and pathogen transmission from donor to host, and subsequently infections could occur in the recipient’s body after transplantation [3].

In addition to these two bone grafts, metals and ceramics are an alternative, although both materials present several disadvantages [2]. Metals provide immediate
mechanical stability at the site of the defect, although, they exhibit very poor integration with the tissue and can fail because of infection, fatigue loading and bone resorption [1]. Ceramics have a low tensile strength are usually very brittle thus they cannot be used in locations of significant bending, torsion or shear stress [2]. A limited number of ceramics, alternatively, are very well suited for bone grafts and will be discussed later in this chapter.

Hence adequate bone replacements are still being sought and are urgently needed for patient recovery from bone defects or injury. A possible solution for these problems may be found with bone tissue engineering. Bone tissue engineering can be defined as the application of biological, chemical and engineering principles toward the repair, restoration or regeneration of living bone tissue [6]. The approaches for bone tissue engineering involve using one or more of the following key ingredients: three dimensional matrices (scaffolds), harvested cells and recombinant signaling molecules [6]. Ideally, seeded cells would attach to the scaffold and proliferate and differentiate under the control of endogenous and exogenous growth factors in vitro. This scaffold, when implanted into the patient, would degrade and eventually be replaced by natural bone tissue [6].

1.2 Bone scaffolds – temporary matrices for bone growth

To restore bone function or regenerate bone tissue, a scaffold is needed that will act as a temporary matrix for cell proliferation and extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition, with subsequent bone in-growth until the new bony tissue is totally restored/regenerated (Figure 1) [7]. In addition, bone scaffolds provide cells with a tissue
specific environment and architecture and serve as a reservoir for water, nutrients, cytokines and growth factors [6].

Figure 1: Diagram of bone scaffold seeding, tissue ingrowth and resorption.

Bone scaffolds must have an array of biological specific and morphological properties that make them suitable for bone regeneration. Besides the choice of adequate materials (addressed later), attributes such as porosity, pore size, surface texture, mechanical properties, biocompatibility and biodegradability, are of extreme importance (Figure 2) [8]. These properties affect not only cell survival, signaling and growth, but also gene expression and phenotype [8].
1.3 Essential bone scaffold properties

The following properties have been defined as being essential for bone tissue scaffolds [11-25]:

1.3.1 Biocompatibility

Scaffolds should be able to integrate into the host tissue without eliciting an immune response [9]. The degradation byproducts of the scaffold must also be biocompatible.
1.3.2 Porosity

Interconnecting pores are necessary for bone tissue formation because they allow tissue ingrowth as well as vascularization [10]. In addition, a porous surface improves mechanical interlocking between the implant biomaterial and the surrounding natural bone, providing greater mechanical stability at this critical interface [11]. However, the degree of porosity always influences other properties of the scaffolds such as its mechanical stability. In light of this, porosity should always be balanced with the mechanical needs of the particular tissue that is going to be replaced [12]. A target porosity range of 75% to 90% has been suggested for bone scaffolds in vivo, although such highly porous scaffolds limit uses in vivo that require load bearing [13].

1.3.3 Pore size

The minimum useful pore size for a scaffold is 100 µm based on the work of Itala et al. [14]. Subsequent studies have shown better osteogenesis in vitro for implants with pores ranging from 300 µm to 900 µm [15-17]. Larger pore sizes favor direct osteogenesis in vivo, since they allow vascularization and higher oxygenation [18]. Smaller pores result in osteochondral ossification in vivo, although the type of bone ingrowth depends on the biomaterial and pore geometry [18].

1.3.4 Osteoconductivity

The topographical and chemical surface properties of the biomaterial can control and affect osteoconduction [19]. Osteoconduction refers to the ability of a material to serve as a substrate onto which bone cells can attach, migrate, and grow and divide. It
has been previously shown that relatively rougher surfaces facilitate cellular attachment and subsequent osteoblastic migration [20]. Surface chemistry of the scaffold also plays a role in osteoconduction. As an example, surface chemistry of poly(α-hydroxy ester) scaffolds, specifically the addition of hydrophilic poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG), has been demonstrated to decrease attachment but increase differentiation of seeded osteoblasts [21]. Addition of peptides [22] and increase in positive surface charge [23] have also been shown to increase the osteoconductivity of surfaces.

1.3.5 Osteoinductivity

Osteoinduction is the process of osteoprogenitor cell recruitment to a bone healing site and subsequent differentiation to the osteoblastic phenotype [20]. Scaffolds that exhibit only osteoconductivity may be suitable for small defects, but larger defects may benefit from osteoinductive factors evolved directly from the scaffold to aid in bone regeneration [20]. Osteoinductive factors that have been integrated into bone scaffolds include a number of bone-related growth factors, including insulin-like growth factor, fibroblast growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor, and members of the transforming growth factor-β family (specifically bone morphogenic proteins) which regulate osteoblast function [24-26].

1.3.6 Mechanical properties and biodegradability

In vivo, the bone scaffold should have sufficient mechanical strength to withstand hydrostatic pressures and to maintain porosity/pore structure to facilitate cell invasion, extracellular matrix production and bone ingrowth [8]. If the scaffold is load bearing,
then the mechanical properties of the scaffold should match the properties of the bone that it is replacing: elastic moduli of about 20 GPa – 120 GPa for cortical bone [27, 28] and about 50 MPa – 300 MPa for trabecular bone [28, 29]. Furthermore, the degradation rate of the scaffold should be tuned appropriately with the growth rate of new tissue, in such a way that by the time the injury site is remodeled the scaffold is totally degraded to allow neo-tissue ingrowth [30].

1.4 Biomaterials used for bone scaffolds

The material chosen for a bone scaffold is very important because it must possess or be able to be modified to achieve adequate biocompatibility, porosity, pore size, surface texture, mechanical properties and biodegradability. To date, ceramics and polymers from both natural and synthetic origins have been used to construct bone scaffolds for bone defects (Table 1). However, most ceramics are not biodegradable, which presents a problem in bone restoration applications that require the scaffold material to degrade over time. This limits scaffold material choices to a small number of ceramics and biodegradable polymers.
Table 1: Bone scaffold materials and observations in vitro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxyapatite (HAP)</td>
<td>• Good cell attachment and ECM production</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Not bioresorbable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-Tricalcium Phosphate (β-TCP)</td>
<td>• Good cell attachment, differentiation and mineralization in vitro</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Somewhat bioresorbable relative to HAP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(DL-lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA)</td>
<td>• Support cell attachment, differentiation, ECM production while scaffold degrades</td>
<td>[15, 33, 34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Scaffold degradation controlled by co-polymer ratio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLGA-HAP composite</td>
<td>• Greater cell differentiation and mineralization than PLGA scaffold alone</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Semi-bioresorbable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alginate</td>
<td>• Cell proliferation with no cytotoxicity</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Biodegradable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>• Good cell attachment and differentiation</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Not bioresorbable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrin</td>
<td>• Support cell attachment and mineralization</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Biodegradable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.4.1 Ceramics

Ceramics, such as hydroxyapatite, bioactive glasses and calcium phosphates, have been widely used for bone regeneration and replacement [39]. All three materials exhibit a bioactive and biocompatible behavior and have been traditionally used as coatings on metals for orthopedic/dental implants but more recently have been proposed as filler material for bone defects and as bone scaffolds [39]. These materials are unique in that
they form a calcium-deficient (non-stoichiometric) apatite surface layer in the presence of biological fluids [40]. This surface layer is thought to stimulate bioceramic-bone binding and, in some cases, promote new bone formation [41].

Calcium phosphate based materials, in particular, have been widely investigated for use as bone replacement materials due to their biocompatibility and osteoconductivity [32, 35, 42-44]. Synthetic hydroxyapatite (HAP) and β-tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP) are the two most common calcium phosphate materials used as hard-tissue replacements [7]. Several studies have shown that using these two bioceramics as bone scaffolds, both seeded with and seeded without bone marrow cells, have yielded bone regeneration [31, 45-47].

Amorphous calcium phosphates have been used sparingly as bone replacements, mainly because of rapid and uncontrolled dissolution [48, 49]. Recently, amorphous calcium phosphate has been stabilized by pyrophosphate ions (P$_2$O$_7^{4-}$) and hybridized with zirconium (Zr-ACP) to retard its dissolution and subsequent conversion to HAP in aqueous environments [50, 51]. In addition, Zr-ACP allows for controlled release of calcium and phosphate ions; factors that have been postulated to increase osteoblast differentiation and mineralization in vitro [52-55].

Calcium phosphates are biocompatible and osteoconductive, although they have some drawbacks for use as bone scaffolds. These materials are brittle and have low mechanical stability, which limits their use in large defects that require load bearing [41]. Furthermore, their dissolution and degradation rates are difficult to control in vivo [41]. These factors could present a problem because if the scaffold degrades too fast, the mechanical stability would be compromised and could fail.
1.4.2 Biodegradable polymers

Biodegradable polymers are a promising alternative to ceramics for bone tissue scaffolds. Biodegradable polymers allow cell adhesion, support bone tissue regeneration, degrade slowly into non-toxic byproducts and possess adequate mechanical stability to support and transfer loads known to exist in bone [56, 57]. Biodegradable polymers for tissue engineering are classified into two groups: natural and synthetic. Some commonly used biodegradable polymers and their origin and characteristics are listed in Table 2. Natural biodegradable polymers are those obtained from plant or animal sources. The main advantages of these materials are their good osteoconductivity, low immunogenic response in vivo and high availability.
### Table 2: Natural and synthetic biodegradable polymers used for bone tissue engineering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fibrin</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>• Promotes osteoconduction&lt;br&gt;• Promotes cell migration and vascularization</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>• Promotes osteoconduction and wound healing</td>
<td>[58-60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>• Low immune response&lt;br&gt;• Good substrate for cell adhesion&lt;br&gt;• Chemotactic</td>
<td>[61-63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>• Thermoplastic behavior&lt;br&gt;• Good substrate for cell adhesion&lt;br&gt;• Non-cytotoxic and biocompatible&lt;br&gt;• Scaffolds including this material have good mechanical properties</td>
<td>[64, 65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(α-hydroxy acids)</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>• Degradation by hydrolysis&lt;br&gt;• FDA approved&lt;br&gt;• Byproducts (e.g. lactic acid, glycolic acid) are metabolized or excreted&lt;br&gt;• Can present problems in area of implantation due to decrease in local pH</td>
<td>[15-17, 66, 67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(propylene fumarates)</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>• Main degradation products are metabolized and excreted&lt;br&gt;• Good biocompatibility</td>
<td>[68, 69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(ε-caprolactone)</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>• Aliphatic polyester&lt;br&gt;• Slow degrading&lt;br&gt;• Degraded by hydrolysis or bulk erosion&lt;br&gt;• Some problems related to sustained mechanical loads (creep)</td>
<td>[70-73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(anhydrides)</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>• Developed for drug delivery carriers&lt;br&gt;• Biocompatible</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synthetic biodegradable polymers, such as poly(L-lactic acid) (PLLA), poly(glycolic acid) (PGA) and poly(DL-lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) copolymer
(Figure 3) have been used extensively for bone tissue scaffolds because they are osteoconductive and can degrade in vivo to allow new bone tissue ingrowth [15-17].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3 & \quad \text{O} & \quad \text{O} \\
& \quad | & \quad | & \quad | \\
(O - \text{CH} - \text{C})_m(O - \text{CH}_2 - \text{C})_n
\end{align*}
\]

*Figure 3: Chemical structure of PLGA copolymer*

PLGA copolymer degradation can range from a few weeks to years depending on the copolymer ratio, crystallinity and molecular weight [15]. The degradation byproducts of PLGA can be eliminated from the body through either the citric acid cycle or renal excretion [15]. PLGA can also be processed into three dimensional porous structures suitable for treatment of bone tissue defects. Two drawbacks of these materials are the release of non-degraded PLLA microparticles that cause foreign body inflammatory reactions and acidic degradative byproducts that decrease local pH which stimulates bone resorption [75].

1.4.3 Composites

PLGA and PLLA have been combined with HAP and β-TCP to form biodegradable polymer/ceramic composites with the goal of increasing osteoconductivity, buffering acidic byproducts and increasing the compressive modulus of the pure polymeric materials [76-78]. One such porous scaffold comprised of PLGA and β-TCP, seeded with mesenchymal stem cells, was cultivated in osteogenic medium over a 40 day period resulting in increased collagen type I and osteocalcin in the scaffold as compared
to the PLGA scaffold alone [76]. This study confirmed that cell attachment, proliferation and ECM production was increased with addition of β-TCP to the PLGA scaffold. In a similar study, PLLA was combined with ossein, a biological HAP, seeded with osteoblast precursor cells, and was tested to determine thermal, morphological, mechanical and osteoconductivity properties of the composites [77]. Compressive modulus of the composite scaffold was greater than the PLLA scaffold and a greater osteoblast phenotypic response was seen with the composite as compared to the PLLA scaffold [77].

Because some polymeric scaffolds may not be strong enough to stabilize large bone fractures, absorbable high-strength calcium phosphate glass fibers are being developed for incorporation into biodegradable polymers [78]. Such biodegradable polymer/calcium phosphate composites showed good biocompatibility and no inflammation after 9 weeks of implantation in rabbit tibiae, which shows potential in vivo [78].

1.5 Scaffold fabrication techniques

Several techniques have been developed to process both synthetic and natural polymeric scaffold materials into continuous, interconnected porous structures. These conventional scaffold fabrication techniques include solvent casting-particulate leaching [66, 79], gas foaming [17], fiber meshes/fiber bonding [80, 81], melt molding [82, 83], and thermal phase separation/freeze drying [36, 37, 84-89].
1.5.1 Solvent casting/particulate leaching

Solvent casting/particulate leaching involves using a solution of PLLA in chloroform and adding salt particles of a specific diameter to produce a uniform suspension [66]. The solvent is allowed to evaporate leaving behind a polymer matrix with salt particles embedded throughout. The composite is then immersed in water where the salt leaches out to produce a porous structure. Pore diameters ranging from 100-500 µm and porosities of 87-91% have been obtained using this method [66]. In addition, a lamination technique using chloroform as the binder has been proposed to shape these scaffolds into 3D structures [79].

Scaffolds produced by solvent-casting particulate-leaching cannot guarantee pore interconnectivity because this depends on whether the adjacent salt particles are in contact [90]. Furthermore, skin layers are formed during evaporation and agglomeration of salt particles makes controlling the pore size difficult [90]. Moreover, only thin scaffold cross-sections can be produced due to the difficulty in removing salt particles deep in the matrix [90].

1.5.2 Gas foaming

For gas foaming, a biodegradable polymer, such as PLGA is saturated with carbon dioxide (CO₂) at high pressures where after the pressure is decreased to atmospheric levels [17]. This results in nucleation and growth of gas bubbles with sizes ranging between 100-500 µm in the polymer. A drawback to this method is that only 10-30% of the pores in the structure are interconnected [17].
1.5.3 Fiber meshes/fiber bonding

Fibers, produced by textile technology, have been used to make non-woven scaffolds from PGA and PLLA [80]. The lack of structural stability of these non-woven scaffolds often results in significant shrinkage due to contractile forces of the cells that have been seeded into the scaffold [80]. This has led to the development of a fiber bonding technique to increase the mechanical properties of the scaffolds [81]. Bonding is achieved by dissolving PLLA in methylene chloride and casting over the PGA mesh. The solvent is allowed to evaporate and the construct is then heated above the melting point of both polymers. Once the PGA-PLLA construct has cooled, the PLLA is removed by dissolving in methylene chloride again. Fiber bonding results in a mesh of PGA fibers joined at the cross-points [81].

1.5.4 Melt molding

Melt molding involves filling a teflon mold with PLGA and porogen (e.g. gelatin microspheres, salt crystals) and then heating the mold above the glass transition temperature of PLGA while applying pressure [82]. This method causes the PLGA particles to bond and then the porogen is leached out with water. This method was modified to incorporate short HAP fibers to increase the structural stability of the scaffold which had 93% porosity with 100 µm interconnected pores [83].

1.5.5 Temperature induced phase separation/freeze drying

Temperature induced phase separation (TIPS)/freeze drying involves dissolving a polymer into a solvent at an elevated temperature and then decreasing the temperature of
the solution to induce a polymer-rich/polymer lean phase separation. Subsequent sublimation of the solvent results in formation of a porous structure (Figure 4) [84].

![Fabrication of porous polymer scaffold via thermal phase inversion](image)

*Figure 4: Fabrication of porous polymer scaffold via thermal phase inversion*

Synthetic polymers, such as PLGA, can be dissolved in dioxane, benzene or other solvents. The pore size can be controlled by the freezing rate and pH; a fast freezing rate produces smaller pores [85, 86]. TIPS/freeze drying has been used to create a homogenous 3D-pore structure [87, 88]. This method retains water-soluble agents during scaffold fabrication that would otherwise be eliminated during solvent casting/leaching methods [84]. Other natural polymers like collagen [89], chitosan [37] and alginate [36]
have been fabricated into scaffolds using the TIPS/freezing-drying method. Some disadvantages of this method include low compressive modulus, formation of skins and limited interconnectivity of pores [9].

1.6 Cells for bone tissue engineering

Osteoblasts are the skeletal cells responsible for synthesis, deposition, and mineralization of the extracellular matrix of bone [91]. By mechanisms that are only beginning to be understood, osteoprogenitor cells and related mesenchymal precursor cells arise in the embryo and at least some appear to persist in the adult organism, where they contribute to osteoblast replacement in bone remodeling or fracture healing [91]. Since osteoprogenitor cells are naturally found at bone healing sites in vivo, it is logical that they be included (or seeded) into bone tissue scaffolds to increase the healing potential in the defect. Osteoprogenitor cells have been used for bone tissue engineering in two ways. The first method is to seed osteoprogenitor cells into bone scaffolds and then implant them at the defect sites. The second method involves in vitro culture of the osteoprogenitor cells within the scaffold under conditions that induce osteoblastic differentiation and bone-like tissue development followed by implantation of the scaffold at the defect site. Both methods provide the scaffold with osteoinductive factors that allow the structure to increase healing at the defect site.

1.6.1 Mesenchymal stem cells

In the bone tissue engineering field, there has been special interest in adult stem cells known as mesenchymal stem cells (MSC). Although a true mesenchymal stem cell
(MSC) with capacity for indefinite self-renewal and potential to form into all tissue types has not been identified, the bone marrow stromal cell (BMSC) has been identified as a primitive cell type with several attractive properties of a MSC [92]. BMSCs are found in the bone marrow, and when placed in adequate culture conditions in vitro, can differentiate into osteoblasts, fibroblasts, chondrocytes and myoblasts, or when implanted into a host develop into bone, cartilage, fat, muscle, skin and other tissues [92]. BMSCs are attractive for bone tissue engineering because they are able to be seeded into a porous scaffold, proliferate, differentiate into osteoblasts, and enhance bone tissue development in vivo [15, 33, 34, 93, 94]. BMSCs represent one of the most accessible sources of osteoprogenitor cells for therapeutic use [95]. The ease with which they are harvested (a simple marrow aspirate), and the simplicity of the procedures required for their culture and expansion in vitro may make them ideal candidates for bone tissue regeneration [95].

Osteoblastic differentiation in vitro is characterized by three principal periods in cellular activity: proliferation, extracellular matrix maturation, and matrix mineralization (Figure 5) [96]. Proliferation is marked by an exponential increase in cell number and increase in pro-collagen synthesis [97]. During the extracellular matrix maturation phase, cells express alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity and type I collagen synthesis [96]. The final stage of osteoblast differentiation is matrix mineralization. This stage is marked by expression of extracellular matrix proteins such as osteopontin (OP) and osteocalcin (OC) with accumulation of mineral [98, 99].
1.6.2 MC3T3-E1 cell line

The clonally derived murine MC3T3-E1 cell line is one such cell line that expresses markers of the osteoblast phenotype, including alkaline phosphatase activity, type I collagen synthesis, osteopontin expression and matrix mineralization [100]. These cells are useful for in vitro testing of novel materials and culture strategies. The stages of MC3T3-E1 development are similar to those of primary osteoprogenitor cell. During the initial stage of development (days 1-9), MC3T3-E1 cells have been shown to actively replicate, as evidenced by high rates of DNA synthesis, express low alkaline phosphatase activity [101]. After about 9 days, cells undergo growth arrest, express high levels of
alkaline phosphatase activity, and begin processing procollagens to a collagenous extracellular matrix [101]. Mineralization of the extracellular matrix, which begins approximately 16 days after culture, marks the final phase of osteoblast phenotypic development [100]. In order for MC3T3-E1 cells to express phenotypic markers of osteoblastic differentiation in vitro, it has been shown that the culture medium must be supplemented with ascorbic acid (ascorbate) and β-glycerol phosphate [100]. Ascorbate is necessary for cells to convert procollagen to collagen and to consequently incorporate it into the extracellular matrix [101]. β-glycerol phosphate displays synergistic actions with ascorbate to further stimulate collagen accumulation, alkaline phosphatase activity and is necessary for ECM mineralization [101].

1.7 Osteoinductive bone scaffolds

While biomaterials such as poly(α-hydroxy acids), HAP and β-TCP have been shown to be osteoconductive substrates, they are not osteoinductive [9]. These materials could be transformed into osteoinductive scaffolds by incorporating bioactive substances (such as growth factors and bone morphogenetic proteins) into the bulk material or adhering them to the biomaterial surface [9]. Slow degradation of the scaffolds could provide controlled, time-dependent release of incorporated chemical factors, and increase scaffold osteoinductivity [90].

Bone-related growth factors include insulin-like growth factor, fibroblast growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor, and members of the transforming growth factor-β family which increase the mitogenic capacity of the cells [24-26]. For example, transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) stimulated recruitment and proliferation of
osteoblasts at the sites of bone defects in rabbits, resulting in rapid deposition of bone matrix at defect sites [102, 103]. Platelet-derived growth factor also has been shown to enhance bone deposition at tibial osteotomy sites in rabbits [104].

The bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) are members of the TGF-β family and are postulated to increase osteoblastic differentiation [26]. Identified BMPs include BMP 2 - BMP 7 and are currently being investigated for possible clinical use in the repair of bone defects or trauma [26]. The BMPs have received much attention due to their ability to promote bone formation in vitro and in vivo [105-109]. For example, implantation of 0.5 – 115 µg of recombinant human BMP-2 induced ectopic bone formation in rats [110].

Calcium and phosphate ions have also been postulated to be regulators of osteoblastic differentiation [52, 54] and mineralization [53, 55] in vitro, but the mechanisms by which these factors promote osteogenesis remains unknown. Previous in vitro studies have shown that bioactive glass particles [54] and Ca\(^{+2}\) and PO\(_4\)\(^{-3}\) supplements [53] significantly increase osteoblast differentiation. Addition of 1.8 mM calcium and 5 mM inorganic phosphate into cell culture was sufficient to effectively increase in osteoblast mineralization [53] whereas Bioactive glass disks (Bioglass® 45S5) releasing as little as 0.5 mM Ca\(^{+2}\) and 0.16 mM PO\(_4\)\(^{-3}\) into surrounding culture medium enhanced ALP activity in MG63 cells in vitro [54].
1.8 Purpose of study

This project involves both determining MC3T3-E1 osteoblast response to Zr-ACP in vitro and incorporating Zr-ACP into a porous biodegradable PLGA scaffold. Specifically, there were two central aims to the experimental work:

1) *Determine osteogenic capability of Zr-ACP on MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts in vitro using HAP as a control*

Zr-ACP is promising for use in bone tissue engineered constructs because it is more soluble than hydroxyapatite and allows for controlled release of calcium and phosphate ions; factors that have been postulated to increase osteoblast differentiation and mineralization. The focus of these experiments is to determine the physicochemical properties of Zr-ACP and to measure the cell response to Zr-ACP in vitro using a MC3T3-E1 mouse calvarial-derived osteoprogenitor cell line. Cells were cultured with Zr-ACP or hydroxyapatite, and minerals were added to culture at different stages in cell maturation (days 0, 4 and 11). DNA synthesis, alkaline phosphatase activity, osteopontin expression and collagen synthesis were determined. In addition, calcium and phosphate ion concentrations were measured in cell culture medium from 15 minutes to 2 days after addition of Zr-ACP. Increased osteogenesis is hypothesized to be a result from increased concentrations of calcium and phosphate ions in culture medium after adding Zr-ACP. This study is presented in Chapter 2.
2) Incorporate Zr-ACP into a porous biodegradable PLGA bone scaffold

In these experiments, porous PLGA/Zr-ACP and PLGA/HAP composite foams (5% or 10% (w/v) polymer/solvent with 25 wt% or 50 wt% calcium phosphates) were fabricated using a thermal phase inversion technique. The goals were to form a PLGA/Zr-ACP composite with pore size ranging from 100-350 \( \mu \text{m} \) and evenly distribute Zr-ACP into the scaffold while inhibiting Zr-ACP conversion to HAP during processing. X-ray diffractometry was employed to determine Zr-ACP crystallinity after composite fabrication. Pore size, pore distribution, compressive modulus and compressive yield strength of the composites were also determined. This study is presented in Chapter 3.
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2.1 Abstract

Calcium phosphate bioceramics, such as hydroxyapatite, have long been used as bone substitutes due to their proven biocompatibility and bone binding properties in vivo. Recently, a zirconia-hybridized pyrophosphate stabilized amorphous calcium phosphate (Zr-ACP) has been synthesized to increase rates of remineralization for craniofacial applications. Zr-ACP is promising for use in bone tissue scaffolds because it is more soluble than hydroxyapatite and allows for controlled release of calcium and phosphate ions. These ions have recently been postulated to increase osteoblast differentiation and mineralization in vitro. The focus of this work is to elucidate the physicochemical properties of Zr-ACP and to measure cell response to Zr-ACP in vitro using a MC3T3-E1 mouse calvarial-derived osteoprogenitor cell line. Cells were cultured in osteogenic medium with and without Zr-ACP or hydroxyapatite, and minerals were added to culture at different stages in cell maturation (days 0, 4 and 11). DNA synthesis, alkaline phosphatase activity, osteopontin synthesis and collagen synthesis were determined. Culture in the presence of Zr-ACP showed significant increase in cell proliferation,
alkaline phosphatase activity and osteopontin expression, whereas collagen synthesis was unaffected. In addition, calcium and phosphate ion concentrations were measured in cell culture medium from 15 minutes to 2 days after the addition of Zr-ACP. Increased osteogenesis is hypothesized to result from increased concentrations of calcium and phosphate ions released into culture medium with the addition of Zr-ACP. These results demonstrate that Zr-ACP promotes osteogenesis in MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts.

2.2 Introduction

Calcium phosphate ceramics have long been the subject of intensive investigation for repairing osseous defects [1]. This group of biomaterials includes hydroxyapatite (HAP), α-tricalcium phosphate and β-tricalcium phosphate which have been used to form porous bone scaffolds to aid bone regeneration in bone defects. These ceramics exhibit excellent biocompatibility, osteoconductivity and bind directly to bone tissues in vivo [2-4]. While porous calcium phosphate scaffolds eventually serve to repair osseous defects, there is no evidence that these calcium phosphate scaffolds are osteoinductive [5, 6]. An osteoinductive material would allow for better cell differentiation and would aid in normal osteoblastic functions (adhesion, proliferation, mineralization, etc.) as compared to a non-osteoinductive material [6]. There is therefore a need to find a suitable osteoinductive material for use as bone scaffolds to aid in bone regeneration when implanted into bone defects.

Calcium and phosphate ions have been postulated to be regulators of osteoblastic differentiation [7, 8] and mineralization [9, 10] in vitro, but the mechanisms by which these factors promote osteogenesis remains unknown. A ceramic scaffold material able
to release controlled amounts of these ions may increase the osteoinductivity of the scaffold and promote bone tissue regeneration. Recently, amorphous calcium phosphate has been stabilized by ions (pyrophosphate, \(P_2O_7^{4-}\)) and hybridized with zirconium (Zr-ACP) that retard its conversion to HAP while maintaining substantial release of \(Ca^{+2}\) and \(PO_4^{-3}\) ions in aqueous environments over long periods of time [11, 12]. Our hypothesis is that Zr-ACP, when incorporated into a bone scaffold, can act as a vehicle to deliver ions of interest to osteoblasts and subsequently enhance osteoblastic differentiation, extracellular matrix maturation and eventual bone tissue regeneration in vivo.

Osteoblasts are useful for studying bone metabolism and evaluation of osteoconductive and osteoinductive properties of biomaterials. We chose to employ a MC3T3-E1 mouse calvaria-derived osteoprogenitor cell line because of their proven differentiation in vitro, exhibiting developmental stage-specific gene expression as seen in vivo [13]. Osteoblast differentiation is characterized by three principal periods of cellular activity: proliferation, extracellular matrix maturation and matrix mineralization [14]. Proliferation is the first period and is marked by an exponential increase in cell number and the accumulation of procollagen [15]. The matrix maturation phase is characterized by an increase of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity and collagen synthesis [14]. The final stage of osteoblast differentiation is matrix mineralization. This stage is marked by expression of extracellular matrix proteins such osteopontin and osteocalcin and accumulation of mineral [16, 17].

The aim of this study is to evaluate osteoblast cell activity when exposed to Zr-ACP and to analyze the physicochemical modifications of the material in cell culture conditions. Change in pH and ion concentration (\(Ca^{+2}\) and \(PO_4^{-3}\)) in cell culture medium
were measured to determine changes in culture conditions. Five mg/ml Zr-ACP, HAP or no mineral (control) were added at days 0, 4, or 11 to characterize their effects on the different stages osteoblastic differentiation. Cell number and ALP activity were determined at days 3, 5, 7, 10, 12, 14 and osteopontin and collagen synthesis were measured at day 14.

2.3 Materials and methods

2.3.1 Zr-ACP synthesis and characterization

Calcium nitrate tetrahydrate \([Ca(NO_3)_2\times4H_2O]\), dibasic sodium phosphate \([Na_2HPO_4]\), sodium pyrophosphate \([Na_4P_2O_7\times10H_2O]\) and sodium hydroxide \([NaOH]\) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Ammonium hydroxide and acetone were purchased from Fisher (Fairlawn, NJ). Zirconyl chloride \([ZrOCl_2]\) was purchased from GFS Chemicals (Columbus, OH).

Zr-ACP and HAP were prepared at the American Dental Association Paffenbarger Research Center according to the method of Skrtic et al. [11]. Briefly, for Zr-ACP, a 1.06M solution of a Ca(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)\times4\(H_2O\) was prepared using CO\(_2\)-free water (N\(_2\) closed system) (designated as solution 1). Forty ml of a 0.25 M ZrOCl\(_2\) solution was prepared and designated as solution 2. A 1.16M Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) solution including 0.024M Na\(_4\)P\(_2\)O\(_7\)\times10\(H_2O\) was made using CO\(_2\)-free water (mechanically stirred at 400 rpm) and 67 ml of a 1M NaOH solution was added under N\(_2\) in a covered beaker where pH of 8.5 to 9 was measured (designated as solution 3). Solutions 1 and 2 were added simultaneously to solution 3 under N\(_2\) and mechanically stirred at 400-500 rpm. Precipitation of the Zr-ACP was instantaneous. The solution was allowed to stabilize for
5 minutes, where after precipitates were filtered and washed twice with cold ammoniated water (2% ammonia) and then washed with cold acetone. Zr-ACP was then frozen in a -50°C freezer for one hour and transferred to a VirTis bench top freeze dry system (SP Industries, Inc., Warminster, PA) for 48 hours at a temperature of -70°C and pressure of 5 mTorr.

The crystallinity of the lyophilized solids was verified by powder X-ray diffractometry (XRD). The XRD profiles were recorded in the range of 15° to 70° with CuKα X-ray source (λ=1.54Å) using a XDS 2000 (Scintac Inc., USA) operated at 40kV and 40mA. The samples were step scanned in intervals of 0.020° at a scanning speed of 2.000°/min. Further characterization of the materials in terms of crystallinity, surface morphology, Ca/PO₄ molar ratios and dissolution/transformation of Zr-ACP is extensively documented in the literature [11, 12].

2.3.2 Physicochemical evaluation of calcium phosphates in growth medium

Change in pH and concentrations of Ca²⁺ and PO₄⁻³ ions were determined after addition of calcium phosphates to growth medium (minimum essential medium alpha modification; Invitrogen, Gaithersburg, MD) with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (Gemini Bioproducts, Calabasas, CA) and 1% antibiotic/antimycotic (penicillin, streptomycin, neomycin, fungizone; Invitrogen)). Growth medium was incubated in a 37°C, 5% CO₂, 95% relative humidity environment one day prior to experimentation. Ten mg Zr-ACP, HAP or no mineral was added to 2ml of growth medium at time 0. Aliquots of growth medium from each sample was removed at 15 min, 30 min, 1 hr, 12 hrs, 24 hrs and 48 hrs, pH was measured, centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 rpm to remove any insoluble
species, and then stored in 1.5ml microcentrifuge tubes. Ion chromatography determined concentrations of Ca\(^{2+}\) and PO\(_4^{-3}\) using a Dionex DX-120 (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA) ion chromatograph. Calcium concentration was determined by using a AS9-HC (Dionex) cation column and PO\(_4^{-3}\) concentration using a CS12-A (Dionex) anion column.

2.3.3 Cell culture

Cell studies were performed with a MC3T3-E1 cell line donated by Dr. A.J. García (Department of Mechanical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA). Cells were maintained with growth medium in a 37\(^\circ\)C, 5% CO\(_2\), 95% relative humidity environment for 14 days and used at passages below 20.

For studies, cells were lifted with trypsin/EDTA (Gibco BRL), seeded in 12-well culture plates (Corning, Corning, NY) at 50,000 cells/well with 2ml growth medium and allowed to attach overnight. The following day, designated as day 0, growth medium was replaced with differentiation medium (growth medium supplemented with 37.5\(\mu\)g/ml L-ascorbate-2-phosphate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO)). Medium was replaced on days 3, 7 and 10. To determine the impact of Zr-ACP and HAP on the stages of cell development, material was added directly to cell culture at a concentration of 5mg/ml at days 0, 4 and 11. Cell number and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity were measured at days 3, 7, 10 and 14 for material addition at day 0, at days 5, 7 and 14 for material addition at day 4, and at days 12 and 14 for material addition at day 11. Osteopontin and collagen synthesis were measured at day 14 for all conditions.
2.3.4 Measurement of cell number

Fluorometric analysis of DNA content was used to determine the total number of cells for each condition as described previously [18]. Briefly, one 0.5-mL aliquot of 10 mM EDTA (pH 12.3) was pipetted into each well, cell layers were scraped and collected into 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tubes. Another 0.5-mL aliquot of 10 mM EDTA was then added to the wells and then pipetted into the same tubes. DNA standards were made by adding 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8 or 10 µg DNA standard to 10mM EDTA (pH 12.3) to a final volume of 1 ml. Here, a 50 µg/mL DNA solution corresponds to an absorbance of 1.00 through a path of 1 cm at 260 nm. A volume of 0.2 ml of 1 M KH$_2$PO$_4$ was added to each sample in order to neutralize pH after sonication. Measurements were made in duplicate with a DyNAQuant 200 (Hoefer, San Francisco, CA). A calibration curve showing a linear relationship between fluorescence and the concentrations of the DNA standards was used to ultimately determine DNA concentrations. A conversion factor of 9.1 pg DNA/cell (determined experimentally for MC3T3-E1 cells) was used to determine cell number.

2.3.5 Alkaline Phosphatase Activity

The hydrolysis of p-nitrophenyl phosphate was used to assay ALP activity, an early indicator of osteoblastic phenotype [19]. Briefly, a 0.5-mL aliquot of a 1% solution of protease inhibitors (2.0 µg/mL aproptinin, 2.0 µg/mL leupeptin, 1.0 µg/mL pepstatin (Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA) in TG solution (50 mM Tris, 100 mM glycine, pH 10.5; Sigma-Aldrich) in TGT solution (50 mM Tris, 100 mM glycine, and 0.1% Triton X-100, pH 10.5; Sigma Aldrich) was added to each well, cell layers were scraped and collected
into 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tubes. Another 0.5-mL aliquot of cell lysate was then added to the wells and then pipetted into the same tubes. For each sample, a 200 µL volume was combined with 1 mL of Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP) reagent (BioTron Diagnostics, Inc., Hemet, CA) at 30°C. A Genesis 5 spectrophotometer (Spectronic Instruments, Rochester, NY) was used to measure absorbance at 405 nm at zero minutes and at one minute intervals up to three minutes. Activity was calculated using the slope of absorbance versus time and normalized by cell number.

2.3.6 Osteopontin Synthesis

Osteopontin, an extracellular matrix protein synthesized during the mineralization stage, was characterized by western blot analysis as described previously [20]. Equal volumes of cell lysate (3.5 µl/lane) were analyzed for each sample. Briefly, cell layers were washed twice with PBS, mechanically scraped, and lysed with 1X Laemmli buffer (950 µL Laemmli stock solution (Biorad, Hercules, CA), 50 µL β-mercaptoethanol (Fisher), and 1 mL PBS). Samples were denatured at 90°C for 1.5 minutes using a bench-top dry bath incubater (Fisher Scientific) and immediately placed on ice. Protein samples were separated for 1.25 hours at 125V with a Bio-Rad power pac 200 electrophoresis power supply (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) using a 7.5% SDS-PAGE running gel. Proteins were transferred to an Immune-blot polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) for 1.5 hours at 100 V. Membranes were then blocked at room temperature for one hour in TBS-T (4.44g/L Tris-HCl, 2.65g/L tris base, 8.766g/L NaCl, 1mL/L Tween 20 in deionized) on a clinical rotator. Rabbit anti-mouse osteopontin primary antibodies (Assay Designs, Ann Arbor, MI) were used as 1/500
dilution in TBS-T (5% dry milk in TBS-T) and incubated on a clinical rotator overnight at 4°C. Next, a horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody was used as a 1/15000 dilution (Zymed Laboratories, San Francisco, CA) and incubated at room temperature for one hour. Detection of the protein bands were carried out by using an enhanced chemiluminescent substrate for detection of HRP (Super Signal West Pico Chemiluminescent substrate, Pierce Co., Rockford, IL), and were visualized by chemiluminescence using Kodak X-Omat film.

Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (G3PDH; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Santa Cruz, CA) HRP conjugated antibody was used as an internal control for western blots. After protein detection for the western blots were complete, membranes were stripped with stripping buffer (2% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 100 mM beta-mercaptoethanol, 50 mM Tris, pH 6.8, Sigma Aldrich) and agitated for 20 minutes at 50°C. Membranes were then incubated for 1 hour in a 1/500 dilution of G3PDH antibody, detected using the Super Signal West Pico Chemiluminescent substrate and visualized with Kodak X-Omat film.

2.3.7 Collagen Synthesis

Collagen synthesis was determined by the incorporation of radiolabeled proline (3H-proline) [18]. Forty-eight hours prior to sample collection, 6 µl of 1 µCi/µl 3H-proline stock solution (ICN, Irvine, CA) was added to the culture medium. After incubating the wells in a 5% CO2, 37°C, 95% relative humidity incubator for 48 hours, the medium was removed and the wells were rinsed twice with 2 ml PBS. A 1 ml volume of nonspecific digestion solution (1 mg/ml pepsin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) in 1 M
acetic acid (Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA)) was added to each well and incubated for 4 hours at room temperature. Next, the wells were rigorously scraped and two 200 µl aliquots were transferred to individual 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes. For each subsample, 100 µl of 0.6 M N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine N'-2-ethane sulfuric acid (HEPES, pH 7.0; Sigma) and 200 µl of a collagen-specific digestion solution (6.25 mM n-ethyl-multimode (Sigma), 1.25 mM CaCl₂·2H₂O (Sigma) and 125 µg/ml collagenase (Sigma #C0773) in 0.02 N HCl (Fisher)) was added. The tubes were incubated in a 37°C water bath for 90 minutes. The digestion was then quenched by adding a 500 µl aliquot of 10% trichloroacetic acid and 0.5% tannic acid (10% TCA/0.5% TA).

The tubes were mixed, chilled on ice for approximately 10 minutes, and centrifuged at 2,000 x g for 5 minutes. A 900 µl aliquot of each supernatant was added to individual 5 ml scintillation vials. The pellets were resuspended in 500 µl of 5% TCA/0.25 %TA solution, chilled on ice, and centrifuged again at 2000 x g for 5 minutes. Each 500 µl aliquot of this supernatant was added to the same scintillation vials as before, yielding a total of 1.4 ml supernatant per subsample. These vials were designated as containing the collagenase-digestible protein fraction. The pellets were resuspended with 1.3 ml of 5% TCA/ 0.25% TA, and the entire tube volumes were transferred to separate scintillation vials. These vials were designated as containing the non-collagenase-digestible protein fraction. Four ml of immulsion type liquid scintillant was added to each vial, and counts were measured using a Tricarb 2100TR beta-counter (Packard, Palo Alto, CA). Counts for collagenase-digestible and non-digestible fractions were normalized by the mean cell densities. The percent collagenous protein (%CP) for each sample was calculated using the following formula [21]:

\[ \%CP = \left[ \frac{CP}{(CP + 5.2 \times NCP)} \right] \times 100\% \]

The number 5.2 in this equation accounts for the relative abundance of proline in collagen.

2.3.8 Statistical Analysis

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise noted.

Statistical analysis was performed using Origin® 6.1 (OriginLab, Northampton, MA). A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure with a significance level (\(\alpha\)) of 0.05 was used to determine significant differences between groups.

2.4 Results

2.4.1 Calcium phosphate interaction with culture medium

XRD was used to characterize the crystallinity of Zr-ACP and HAP. XRD patterns for Zr-ACP lack discrete diffraction peaks, indicating an amorphous structure (Figure 1a). In contrast, HAP shows discrete diffraction peaks indicative of a crystalline structure (Figure 1b). The amorphous structure of Zr-ACP has been shown to increase solubility of Zr-ACP and subsequently release more Ca\(^{+2}\) and PO\(_4\(^{-3}\) ions in phosphate buffered saline (pH: 7.4) than HAP [11]. To determine the dynamic effects of Zr-ACP and HAP in tissue culture, minerals were added to growth medium and analyzed at discrete points in time. Ion chromatography confirmed transient increases of Ca\(^{+2}\) and PO\(_4\(^{-3}\) in cell culture medium for Zr-ACP as compared to HAP (Figures 2a, b). For Zr-ACP, phosphate concentrations increased from 0.19 ± 0.04 mM to 0.58 ± 0.03 mM after 15 min and then decreased to 0.29 ± 0.02 mM after 2 days. Likewise, calcium
concentrations increased from 0.85 ± 0.03 mM to 1.58 ± 0.6 mM after 15 min and then decreased to 0.30 ± 0.09 mM after 2 days. It appears that a calcium phosphate mineral was precipitated out of solution for medium containing HAP, as calcium and phosphate ions decreased over time (Figures 2a, b).

The growth medium containing HAP remained relatively constant throughout testing, ranging from a pH of 7.30 ± 0.01 to 7.35 ± 0.01 and closely tracked the pH of medium with out mineral (Figure 3). In constrast, Zr-ACP transformation caused a slight rise in pH of the growth medium from 7.35 ± 0.06 to 7.53 ± 0.06 after immersion for 15 minutes and then slowly decreased back to a pH of 7.35 ± 0.01 after 48 hours (Figure 3).

2.4.2 Cell Number

To determine the effect of minerals on cell proliferation, cell number was measured at days 3, 5, 7, 10, 12, and 14. Quantification of DNA indicated that cell number increased rapidly the first three days of culture for conditions with mineral added at day 0 from an initial seeding density of 50,000 cells per well (Figure 4). Differences in cell numbers were not statistically significant at days 3 and 7 for tests in which materials were added at day 0. Exposure to Zr-ACP for 10 and 14 days resulted in significantly higher cells per well (P<0.05) as compared to the control. A decrease in cell number was found when HAP was added to cell culture at days 4 and 11 (Figure 5).

2.4.3 Alkaline Phosphatase Activity

Cells were assayed for alkaline phosphatase activity at days 3, 7, 10 and 14 after addition of materials at day 0 to determine if the cells were differentiating towards the
osteoblast phenotype. Cellular ALP activity was also measured shortly after material exposure to determine transient effects. Assays were completed at days 5, 7 and 14 for cells exposed to materials at day 4, and assayed at days 12 and 14 for cells exposed to materials at day 11. When materials were added at day 0, no effect on ALP activity was observed at days 3, 7, 10 and 14 (data not shown). However, when added at days 4 and 11, transient increases in ALP activity were observed at days 5 and 12 but diminished by days 7 and 14 respectively (Figures 6a, 6b). These results indicate that addition of Zr-ACP to cell culture significantly increased ALP activity transiently after addition of the material.

2.4.4 Osteopontin Expression

At day 14, cells were assayed for osteopontin: a protein expressed by osteoblasts during cell proliferation and matrix mineralization. Groups included cultures exposed to materials at days 0, 4 and 11. Osteopontin band densities were normalized by using G3PDH as an internal control. Western blots indicated a 4 fold decrease in osteopontin expression for cells exposed to HAP at day 0 (Figure 7a). In contrast, a significant 2-fold increase (P<0.05) in osteopontin expression was measured when both Zr-ACP and HAP were added at days 4 and 11 as compared to the control (Figure 7b).

2.4.5 Collagen Synthesis

Incorporation of $^3$H-proline into the collagenase-digestible protein fraction revealed no significant changes in collagenous protein as a percentage of total protein synthesized between conditions (Figure 8a). A modest, but statistically insignificant,
increase in collagen synthesis rate per cell was measured for conditions with mineral (Figure 8b). Together they indicated that collagen synthesis was not significantly affected by treatments.

2.5 Discussion

In addition to biocompatibility, the three most important features of a bone implant are: integration, vascular infiltration and osteogenesis. These desirable effects are obtained by stimulation of osteoblastic activity [22]. Previous studies have revealed that hydroxyapatite and other forms of calcium phosphates are osteoconductive and promote osteoblast function [23-26]. This study indicates that Zr-ACP increases osteoblast function by affecting proliferation, ALP activity, and ECM production of MC3T3-E1 cells to a greater extent than HAP in vitro.

The initial phase of osteoblast development is characterized by active replication of undifferentiated cells [13]. During the first several days, subconfluent cultures display rapid increases in cell number and high rates of DNA synthesis. Rapid cell proliferation was seen for all conditions where materials were added to culture at day 0. Cell numbers increased from $5 \times 10^5$ cells/well at day 0 to about $3 \times 10^6$ cells/well at day 3 for all conditions (Figure 4). This rapid proliferation was followed by a more moderate increase in cell number for all conditions to day 14. Increased cell number in the presence of Zr-ACP at days 10 and 14 indicate that MC3T3-E1 cells responded to this material by stimulating the proliferative activity (Figure 4). A decrease in cell number was seen for cells exposed to HAP at days 4 and 11 (Figure 5), although HAP had no effect on alkaline phosphatase activity. HAP is considered to be a well tolerated material,
however, in vitro studies have shown cell death and inhibition of proliferation. Toxic effects appear in vitro with HAP particle sizes less than about 5\(\mu\)m [27], and are thought to be related the phagocytic activity of the osteoblasts and inhibition of DNA synthesis [28]. HAP particles used in this study ranged in size from 1 – 10 \(\mu\)m [11] and therefore could have increased the phagocytic activity of these cells when added at later times to cell culture.

The initial period of cell growth is followed by the matrix maturation phase: an intermediate phase characterized by diminished cell growth and expression of the bone cell phenotype. The decrease in replication is coupled to expression of ALP activity, one marker of mature osteoblast function [14]. ALP activity was transiently increased almost 2.5 times over the control at day 5, and almost 1.5 times the control at day 12 for cells exposed to Zr-ACP (Figures 5a, b). These increases in ALP activity coincided with the transient increase in Ca\(^{2+}\) and PO\(_4\(^{3-}\)\) concentrations in cell culture medium. Previous in vitro studies have shown that inclusion of bioactive glass particles [7] and Ca\(^{2+}\) and PO\(_4\(^{3-}\)\) supplements [9] significantly increase osteoblast differentiation. Addition of 1.8 mM calcium and 5 mM inorganic phosphate was sufficient to effectively increase in osteoblast mineralization [9] whereas Bioactive glass disks (Bioglass® 45S5) releasing as little as 0.5 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) and 0.16 mM PO\(_4\(^{3-}\)\) into surrounding culture medium enhanced ALP activity in MG63 cells [7]. Our results show that dissolution of Zr-ACP supplemented culture medium with 0.58 mM PO\(_4\(^{3-}\)\) and 1.58 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) and upregulated ALP activity of the osteoblasts.

\(\beta\)-glycerol phosphate was excluded from the cell culture medium for these experiments. This compound is a substrate for alkaline phosphatase and increases the
local phosphate concentration whenever the enzyme is present. It has previously been shown that β-glycerol phosphate magnifies the effects of ascorbate-2-phosphate on the increase of alkaline phosphatase activity on MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts in vitro [13]. The reason for its exclusion from cell culture medium with these experiments was to evaluate the effects of increased phosphate on cells evolved directly from the Zr-ACP.

Osteopontin and collagen were assayed at day 14 to determine effects of Zr-ACP and HAP on extracellular matrix maturation. Osteopontin is a phosphoprotein that is expressed both during cell proliferation and matrix mineralization of osteoblasts [13, 14] and has both HAP and cell binding domains. A number of in vitro studies have revealed that osteopontin binds to the calcium phosphate crystals in mineralized tissue and inhibits crystal growth through its abundant aspirate and phosphorylated serine residues [29-31]. Our findings demonstrate that Zr-ACP and HAP stimulate osteopontin at both the proliferative (days 1-9) and matrix maturation (days 9-16) stages of osteoblast development [13]. These findings are consistent with previous studies using various calcium phosphates [32, 33]. There were no significant differences between conditions with Zr-ACP and HAP when added at days 4 and 11, although both were significantly greater than the control. One explanation for an increase in osteopontin is that the high levels of calcium phosphates that were in contact with the cells could have resembled a highly mineralized matrix, and therefore upregulated osteopontin expression relative to the control [29].

Collagen is the principal structural component of the bone extracellular matrix and binds Ca^{2+} to hydroxyapatite [34]. Collagen is initially synthesized during the proliferation period when their mRNA levels are the highest, however, synthesis and
increased accumulation of collagen continues throughout the culture period and is processed more rapidly and accumulates more efficiently during the matrix maturation stage [14]. MC3T3-E1 collagen synthesis was not significantly affected by the presence of either Zr-ACP or HAP in cell culture for these experiments. In the isolated osteoblast culture system, it has been demonstrated that alkalosis of culture medium to pH 7.6 causes an increase in collagen synthesis [35]. Even though a small increase in pH was measured for medium containing Zr-ACP (pH: 7.53), a subsequent increase in collagen synthesis was not found.
2.6 Conclusions

The results of this study demonstrate that Zr-ACP significantly increases proliferation, alkaline phosphatase activity and osteopontin expression in MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts in vitro. The enhanced dissolution capacity of Zr-ACP over HAP may imply that it is able to enhance new bone formation by supplying calcium and phosphate ions under culture conditions, and therefore increase phenotypic expressions of osteoprogenitor cell lines. The osteogenic nature of Zr-ACP shows great promise for incorporation into bone substitutes with the potential to regulate bone regeneration and osteoblast activity adjacent to the biomaterial.
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2.8 Figures

**Figure 1: X-ray Diffractometry.** XRD patterns for (a) Zr-ACP and (b) HAP powders

**Figure 2: Ion Concentration.** (a) Phosphate and (b) calcium ion concentration in growth medium up to 2 days after Zr-ACP and HAP immersion as determined by ion chromatography. Dotted lines represent concentrations for the control. Bars represent the mean ± spread for n = 2.

**Figure 3: pH as a function of time.** Change in pH of growth medium with addition of Zr-ACP or HAP. Bars represent the mean ± standard error of 4 samples.

**Figure 4: Cell Number.** Cell number per well as determined by fluorometric quantification of DNA. Zr-ACP, HAP or no mineral was added (10mg per well) at day 0 and cells were assayed at days 3, 7, 10 and 14. Each bar represents the mean ± standard error for n = 8. A single asterisk (*) represents statistical difference from the control (p < 0.05).

**Figure 5: Cell Number.** Cell number per well as determined by fluorometric quantification of DNA. Zr-ACP, HAP or no mineral was added (10mg per well) at (a) day 4 and assayed at days 5, 7 and 14, (b) or added at day 11 and assayed at days 12 and 14. Each bar represents the mean ± standard error for n = 8. A single asterisk (*) represents statistical difference from the control (p < 0.05).

**Figure 6: Alkaline Phosphatase activity.** ALP activity normalized by cell number. Ten mg Zr-ACP or HAP was added per well at either (a) day 4 and assayed at days 5, 7 and 14, (b) or added at day 11 and assayed at days 12 and 14. Each bar represents the mean ± standard error for n = 8. A single asterisk (*) represents statistical difference from the control (p < 0.05).

**Figure 7: Osteopontin expression.** (a) Bands for osteopontin and G3PDH are presented for cells cultured at 14 days where Zr-ACP, HAP or no mineral was added at days 0(shown), 4, and 11. Each lane represents protein collected from one well, where each condition was repeated in triplicate. (b) Band densities (relative to G3PDH band densities) are plotted versus addition time of minerals. Each bar represents the mean ± standard error for n = 6. A single asterisk (*) represents statistical difference from the control (p < 0.05).

**Figure 8: Collagen Synthesis.** (a) Collagenous protein as a percentage of the total protein synthesized and (b) counts per minute from collagen protein fractions normalized by mean cell number are plotted versus addition time of minerals. Each bar represents the mean ± standard error for n = 4.
Figure 1

(a) Intensity (counts) vs. 2-Theta (degrees)

(b) Intensity (counts) vs. 2-Theta (degrees)
Figure 2

a)

![Graph showing phosphate concentration over time for Control, Zr-ACP, and HAP](image)

b)

![Graph showing calcium concentration over time for Control, Zr-ACP, and HAP](image)
Figure 3

A graph showing pH over time for different treatments: Control, HAP, and Zr-ACP. The pH values range from 7.2 to 7.6, with time points at 0 min, 15 min, 30 min, 1 hr, 12 hr, 24 hr, and 48 hr.
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(a) Western blot analysis showing the expression of Osteopontin and G3PDH in Control, HAP, and Zr-ACP groups at Day 1, Day 4, and Day 11. The expression levels are indicated by the intensity of the bands.

(b) Quantitative analysis of Osteopontin expression showing a significant increase in Zr-ACP compared to Control and HAP groups at Day 4 and Day 11, with * indicating statistical significance.
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3.1 Abstract

Recently, hydroxyapatite (HAP) and β-tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP) have been incorporated into bioresorbable polyester bone scaffolds to increase the osteoconductivity of bone scaffolds both in vitro and in vivo. Although HAP and β-TCP are osteoconductive, there is no evidence that these materials are osteoinductive. Calcium and phosphate ions, on the other hand, have been postulated to be factors that increase osteoblast differentiation and mineralization. Recently, a zirconia-hybridized pyrophosphate stabilized amorphous calcium phosphate (Zr-ACP) has been synthesized which permits controlled release of calcium and phosphate ions and thus, when incorporated into a bioresorbable scaffold, has great potential to add osteoinductive factors to the scaffold. In this study, highly porous poly(DL lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) scaffolds were formed by thermal phase separation incorporating Zr-ACP.

Scanning electron microscopy revealed a highly porous structure with pores ranging in size from a few microns to about 100 µm. Zr-ACP particles were evenly
dispersed in the composite structure and were incorporated into the pore walls. The amorphous structure of the Zr-ACP was maintained during composite fabrication as confirmed by X-ray diffraction measurements. Composite scaffolds showed significantly greater compressive yield strengths and moduli as compared to pure polymer scaffolds. These results demonstrate that PLGA/Zr-ACP composites may serve as promising bone scaffolds for bone tissue engineering.

3.2 Introduction

Tissue engineered scaffolds offer a promising alternative to autografts and allografts, both of which have their associated problems and limitations for use as bone replacements [1]. Consequently, many synthetic scaffold materials are currently being investigated to regenerate bone tissue. Among these materials are biodegradable polymers (i.e., polylactic and polyglycolic acids) and calcium phosphate based materials (i.e., hydroxyapatite and β-tricalcium phosphate) due to their good biocompatibility and osteoconductivity.

Synthetic biodegradable polymers, such as poly(DL lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA), have been used for scaffolds to regenerate bone [2-5]. PLGA has good biocompatibility, the ability to degrade into harmless monomer units, and a useful range of mechanical properties and degradation rates (depending on the copolymer ratio) [2]. PLGA scaffolds have been fabricated with open pore structures that allow cell seeding, attachment, growth and extracellular matrix production in vitro [2] and are replaced by new bone tissue when implanted into bone defects [3]. A porosity ranging from 75% to 90% is preferable for PLGA bone tissue scaffolds [4, 5] and the ideal range of
pore diameters for these scaffolds of 150 - 710 µm has been suggested [4]. Porous polymeric scaffolds have been fabricated by many methods, including: solvent evaporation [6], compression molding [7], gas foaming [8, 9], solution casting/salt leaching [10-12] and phase separation [13-17].

Calcium phosphate ceramics have also been extensively investigated for use as bone scaffolds and bone replacements [18-20]. Most calcium phosphate biomaterials are polycrystalline ceramics characterized by a high biocompatibility, the ability to undergo osseointegration, and varying degrees of resorbablility [20]. Synthetic hydroxyapatite (HAP) and β-tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP) are the two most commonly used calcium phosphate materials for bone replacements due to their good osteoconductivity. Amorphous calcium phosphates, conversely, have been used sparingly as bone replacements, mainly because of rapid and uncontrolled dissolution [21, 22]. Recently, amorphous calcium phosphate has been stabilized by pyrophosphate ions (P$_2$O$_7^{4-}$) and hybridized with zirconium (Zr-ACP) to retard its dissolution and subsequent conversion to HAP in aqueous environments over long periods of time [23, 24]. In addition, Zr-ACP allows for controlled release of calcium and phosphate ions; factors that have been postulated to increase osteoblast differentiation and mineralization in vitro [25-28]. Incorporation of Zr-ACP into a bioresorbable scaffold could potentially enhance the osteoinductivity and add mechanical stability to the polymer scaffold.

In this study, porous PLGA/Zr-ACP and PLGA/HAP composite foams (5% or 10% (w/v) polymer/solvent with 25 wt% or 50 wt% calcium phosphates) were fabricated using a thermal phase inversion technique as reported previously [29]. The goals were to form a PLGA/Zr-ACP composite with a porous structure and evenly distribute Zr-ACP
into the scaffold while inhibiting Zr-ACP conversion to HAP during processing. Also, a minimum compressive modulus of 50 MPa is desired to mimic human trabecular bone [30]. X-ray diffractometry was employed to determine Zr-ACP crystallinity after composite fabrication. Pore size, pore distribution and mechanical properties of composites were also determined.

3.3 Materials and Methods

3.3.1 Zr-ACP Synthesis

Calcium nitrate tetrahydrate [Ca(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}x4H\textsubscript{2}O], dibasic sodium phosphate [Na\textsubscript{2}HPO\textsubscript{4}], sodium pyrophosphate [Na\textsubscript{4}P\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{7}x10H\textsubscript{2}O] and sodium hydroxide [NaOH] were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Ammonium hydroxide and acetone were purchased from Fisher (Fairlawn, NJ). Zirconyl chloride [ZrOCl\textsubscript{2}] was purchased from GFS Chemicals (Columbus, OH).

Zr-ACP and HAP were prepared at the American Dental Association Paffenbarger Research Center according to the method of Skrtic et al. [23]. Briefly, for Zr-ACP, a 1.06M solution of a Ca(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}x4H\textsubscript{2}O was prepared using CO\textsubscript{2}-free water (N\textsubscript{2} closed system, designated as solution 1). Forty ml of a 0.25 M ZrOCl\textsubscript{2} solution was prepared and designated as solution 2. A 1.16M Na\textsubscript{2}HPO\textsubscript{4} solution including 0.024M Na\textsubscript{4}P\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{7}x10H\textsubscript{2}O was made using CO\textsubscript{2}-free water (mechanically stirred at 400 rpm) and 67 ml of a 1M NaOH solution was added under N\textsubscript{2} in a covered beaker where pH of 8.5 to 9 was measured (designated as solution 3). Solutions 1 and 2 were added simultaneously to solution 3 under N\textsubscript{2} and mechanically stirred at 400-500 rpm. Precipitation of the Zr-ACP was instantaneous. The solution was allowed to stabilize for
5 minutes, where after precipitates were filtered and washed twice with cold ammoniated water (2% ammonia) and then washed with cold acetone. Zr-ACP was then frozen in a -50°C freezer for one hour and transferred to a VirTis bench top freeze dry system (SP Industries, Inc., Warminster, PA) for 48 hours at a temperature of -70°C and pressure of 5 mTorr. Further characterization of the materials in terms of crystallinity, surface morphology, Ca/PO₄ molar ratios and dissolution/transformation of Zr-ACP is extensively documented in the literature [23, 24].

3.3.2 Scaffold Preparation

PLGA/HAP and PLGA/Zr-ACP composites were fabricated using a similar thermal phase inversion technique as previously reported [29]. Briefly, 75/25 Poly (DL-lactic-co-glycolic acid) (Absorbable Polymers, Pelham, AL, catalog # 75DG0651) was dissolved in 1, 4 dioxane (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) to a 5% or 10% (w/v) solution. Samples were heated at 50°C for 2 hours with stirring to obtain homogeneous polymer solution. Equal volumes of polymer solution were placed into individual wells of a 24-well cell culture plate (Corning, Corning, NY) and Zr-ACP or HAP were added to make a 25% or 50% (w/v) mineral final concentration. Minerals were then evenly dispersed by sonication for 20 s at 8 W (sonic dismembrator 60, Fisher Scientific, Fairlawn, NJ). The samples were transferred to a -50°C ethanol bath for one hour to induce solid-liquid phase separation. The samples were maintained in the freezer at -50°C overnight and then freeze dried using VirTis bench top freeze dry system (SP Industries, Inc., Warminster, PA) for 3 days at a temperature of -70°C and pressure of 5 mTorr.
3.3.3 Morphology Characterization

The morphology of the scaffolds were determined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Samples were immersed in liquid nitrogen for several minutes then freeze-fractured with a razor blade in a longitudinal manner (parallel to the direction of solidification). The samples were then sputter coated with 20 nm Pd (Model 208HR; Cressington Scientific Instruments, Cranberry Township, PA) equipped with a thickness controller (Model MTM20; Cressington). Samples were then visualized using a LEO 1550 Field Emission SEM (Carl Zeiss SMT, Thornwood, NY) and micrographs obtained using the LEO image software.

3.3.4 Pore Size

The pore size and pore size distribution of the scaffolds were analyzed using public domain Scion Image program (http://www.scioncorp.com) and by following the method as previously described [31]. Briefly, a 0.25 mm² area of the SEM was selected for image analysis (Figure 1a). Next, contrast and brightness were adjusted to the same level for each SEM image because pore size analysis is determined by grayscale of the image (Figure 1b). Thresholding was performed at a set value for each image (Figure 1c) and then compared to the original image (Figure 1a) with respect to pore size and position to validate the thresholding level. If the threshold image did not match the original image, thresholding was repeated until a good match was obtained between the original and threshold image. After calibrating with a known scale, each pore was labeled and measured (Figure 1d) where after the data was exported to an Excel
spreadsheet for further analysis. Pore diameter was determined by averaging the major and minor axes. Area was determined by using the following formula:

\[ A = \frac{\pi \times \text{major axis} \times \text{minor axis}}{4} \]

3.3.5 X-ray Diffractometry

The crystallinity of the lyophilized solids and composites were verified by X-ray diffractometry (XRD). The XRD profiles were recorded in the range of 15° to 70° with CuK\(\alpha\) X-ray source (\(\lambda=1.54\text{Å}\)) using a XDS 2000 (Scintac Inc., USA) operated at 40kV and 40mA. The samples were step scanned in intervals of 0.020° at a scanning speed of 2.000°/min.

3.3.6 Mechanical Properties

The compressive modulus and yield strength of the composites were determined using a Instron 4204 with a 1kN static load cell (Instron, Canton, MA) as described previously [29]. The top layer of the foam disks were trimmed off with a razor blade to achieve a desired thickness of 3 mm. The final dimensions of the composites were 16 mm in diameter and 3 mm in thickness. The compression tests were carried out at using a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min. Modulus was determined by the initial slope of the stress-strain curve. Yield strength was determined by using a parallel 0.02% strain offset. Three samples were tested for each condition.
3.3.7 Statistical Analysis

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical analysis was performed using Origin® 6.1 (OriginLab, Northampton, MA). A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure with a significance level (α) of 0.05 was used to determine significant differences between groups.

3.4 Results and Discussion

3.4.1 Composite Morphology

Porous PLGA/HAP and PLGA/Zr-ACP composites were fabricated using a thermally induced solid-liquid phase separation and subsequent sublimation of the solvent. Size of HAP particles used ranged from about 0.5 to 10 microns (Figure 2a), whereas average Zr-ACP particle size was less than one micron (Figure 2b). Pore size ranged from 3.3 \( \mu \text{m} \) to 114.7 \( \mu \text{m} \) for the 10% PLGA scaffold (Figures 4a, 4b) and from 1.8 \( \mu \text{m} \) to 32.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) for 5% PLGA scaffolds (Figure 4c, 4d) with average pore sizes of 20.9 \( \mu \text{m} \) and 4.3 \( \mu \text{m} \) respectively (Table 1). Although the average pore size seems very small for these scaffolds, the pore area distribution shows that 87% of the pore area is comprised from pores with average diameters greater than 50 \( \mu \text{m} \) for the 10% PLGA scaffold (Figure 3).

PLGA/Zr-ACP 75:25 composites were fabricated from 5% and 10% polymer solutions with pore sizes ranging from 2.9 \( \mu \text{m} \) to 107.2 \( \mu \text{m} \) and 3.6 \( \mu \text{m} \) to 154.4 \( \mu \text{m} \) respectively (Figures 5a – 5d). As scaffolds increased in Zr-ACP content (50:50 blends as compared to 75:25 blends), average pore size decreased from 46.8 \( \mu \text{m} \) to 6.28 \( \mu \text{m} \) respectively and pore morphology was more irregular (Figures 6a - 6d). All scaffolds
including HAP displayed irregular pore architecture with average pore sizes ranging from 2.5 \( \mu m \) to 9.5 \( \mu m \) (Figures 7 and 8). One explanation for smaller and more irregular pores for the PLGA/HAP scaffolds could be that the HAP particles used are larger than the Zr-ACP particles. These findings are consistent with results of a previous study where nano-hydroxyapatite particles, average size less than one micron, and hydroxyapatite particles, average size of 10 \( \mu m \), were incorporated into a PLLA scaffold using a similar phase inversion technique [32]. Results from this study show that the scaffold with nano-hydroxyapatite particles have much larger pores and a more regular pore morphology than scaffolds fabricated with larger hydroxyapatite particles [32].

Adhesion between the Zr-ACP particles and PLGA matrix are observed (Figure 9) and the surface of the pore walls show even dispersion and inclusion of the Zr-ACP particles, which range in size from less than one to 5 microns for a representative PLGA/Zr-ACP scaffold (Figure 9). Incorporation of Zr-ACP in the pore walls could increase osteoconductivity of the composite for use as a bone scaffold.

Although these structures show to be highly porous, no indication of pore interconnectivity was seen for these scaffolds using SEM.

3.4.2 X-ray Diffractometry

XRD patterns for Zr-ACP lack discrete diffraction peaks, indicating an amorphous structure (Chapter 2: Figure 1a). In contrast, HAP shows discrete diffraction peaks indicative of a crystalline structure (Chapter 2: Figure 1b). The amorphous structure of Zr-ACP has been shown to increase dissolution rates and subsequently release more \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and \( \text{PO}_4^{-3} \) ions in aqueous environments as compared to HAP [23].
These ions have been postulated to regulate osteoblastic differentiation [25, 26] and mineralization [27, 28] in vitro, but the mechanisms by which these factors promote osteogenesis remains unknown. It is therefore important to ensure that Zr-ACP retains its amorphous structure and does not convert to HAP during PLGA/Zr-ACP composite fabrication. The 50:50 PLGA/Zr-ACP composite diffraction pattern lacks discrete diffraction peaks, which is characteristic of amorphous materials (Figure 10a). In contrast, XRD patterns for the 50:50 PLGA/HAP composite shows a semi-crystalline structure as evidenced by discrete diffraction peaks (Figure 10b). This confirms that the Zr-ACP retains its amorphous structure even during the solid-liquid phase separation technique employed in this study.

3.4.3 Mechanical Properties

Compressive yield strength and modulus were determined for 10% PLGA and PLGA/Zr-ACP composite scaffolds. The compressive modulus for the 50:50, 75:25 PLGA/Zr-ACP composites and PLGA foams were 51.9 ± 5.6 MPa, 35.6 ± 8.9 MPa and 14.7 ± 6.8 MPa respectively (Figure 11a). The 50:50 PLGA/Zr-ACP foams met the 50 MPa compressive modulus criterion for replacement of human trabecular bone [30]. Also, a significant increase in compressive yield was obtained by adding Zr-ACP to the structure: 0.138 ± 0.013 MPa (50:50) and 0.078 ± 0.0097 MPa (75:25) as compared to 0.035 ± 0.0065 MPa (Figure 11b). Previous studies have shown that 5% polymer 50:50 poly(lactic acid)/HAP composites have a compressive yield strength and modulus of about 0.040 MPa and 12 MPa respectively [29]. The increase in mechanical stability of our structures was probably due to the increase in polymer concentration (10% as
compared to 5%). These results show that incorporation of Zr-ACP has a significant effect on the mechanical properties of the composite as compared to PLGA alone.

### 3.5 Conclusions

In this study, a thermal solid-liquid phase separation technique was used to fabricate PLGA/Zr-ACP scaffolds. Structures displayed a porous morphology with pore sizes ranging from 3.6 µm to 154.4 µm for composites with 25% (w/v) Zr-ACP using a 10% (w/v) PLGA/dioxane mixture. SEM images showed adhesion between PLGA matrix and Zr-ACP in addition to even dispersion and inclusion of Zr-ACP in the pore walls of the structure. XRD analysis confirmed that Zr-ACP retained its amorphous structure during composite fabrication. 50:50 PLGA/Zr-ACP composites achieved a compressive modulus of 51.9 ± 5.6 MPa whereas PLGA structures had a modulus of only 14.7 ± 6.8 MPa. These studies demonstrate that Zr-ACP can be incorporated into a porous biodegradable polymer composite that could be useful for bone scaffolds that require load bearing.
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3.7 Figures and Tables

**Figure 1:** Thresholding process for a representative PLGA foam composite scaffold. (a) Original SEM image; (b) SEM image after brightness and contrast adjusted; (c) threshold SEM image; and (d) labeled pores of a PLGA foam composite scaffold.

**Figure 2:** SEM micrographs of (a) HAP and (b) Zr-ACP powders. Original magnification 5000x.

**Figure 3:** Average pore diameter versus total pore area for PLGA, 75:25 PLGA/Zr-ACP, and 50:50 PLGA Zr-ACP composites made from 10% (w/v) PLGA/dioxane mixture.

**Figure 4:** SEM micrographs of PLGA foam prepared from (a,b) 10% (w/v) and (c,d) 5% (w/v) PLGA/dioxane mixture. Original magnifications: (a,c) 500x, (b,d) 1000x.

**Figure 5:** SEM micrographs of 75:25 PLGA/Zr-ACP composites prepared from (a,b) 10% (w/v) and (c,d) 5% (w/v) PLGA/dioxane mixtures. Original magnifications: (a,c) 500x, (b,d) 1000x.

**Figure 6:** SEM micrographs of 50:50 PLGA/Zr-ACP composites prepared from (a,b) 10% (w/v) and (c,d) 5% (w/v) PLGA/dioxane mixtures. Original magnifications: (a,c) 500x, (b,d) 1000x.

**Figure 7:** SEM micrographs of 75:25 PLGA/HAP composites prepared from (a,b) 10% (w/v) and (c,d) 5% (w/v) PLGA/dioxane mixtures. Original magnifications: (a,c) 500x, (b,d) 1000x.

**Figure 8:** SEM micrographs of 50:50 PLGA/HAP composites prepared from (a,b) 10% (w/v) and (c,d) 5% (w/v) PLGA/dioxane mixtures. Original magnifications: (a,c) 500x, (b,d) 1000x.

**Figure 9:** SEM micrograph of 50:50 PLGA/Zr-ACP composite prepared from 10% (w/v) PLGA/dioxane mixture. Zr-ACP particles are shown incorporated in the pore wall. Original magnification: 5000x.

**Figure 10:** X-ray diffractometry patterns for a (a) PLGA/Zr-ACP - 50:50 composite and (b) PLGA/HAP - 50:50 composite prepared from 10%(w/v) PLGA/dioxane mixture.

**Figure 11:** (a) Compressive modulus and (b) yield strength of the PLGA/Zr-ACP composites (labeled: 75:25 and 50:50) and PLGA foams (labeled: PLGA). Each bar represents the mean ± standard error for n = 3. A single asterisk (*) represents statistical difference from the PLGA foam (p < 0.05).

**Table 1:** Maximum, minimum and average pore diameter for each fabricated scaffold.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scaffold</th>
<th>Max. pore diameter (µm)</th>
<th>Min. pore diameter (µm)</th>
<th>Average pore diameter (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10% PLGA</td>
<td>114.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% PLGA</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% PLGA/Zr-ACP 75:25</td>
<td>154.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% PLGA/Zr-ACP 75:25</td>
<td>107.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% PLGA/Zr-ACP 50:50</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% PLGA/Zr-ACP 50:50</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% PLGA/HAP 75:25</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% PLGA/HAP 75:25</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% PLGA/HAP 50:50</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% PLGA/HAP 50:50</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 4: Conclusions and Future Work

4.1 Conclusions

The main goals of this research were to determine the osteogenic nature of Zr-ACP and to incorporate Zr-ACP into a resorbable PLGA porous structure to use as a scaffold for bone tissue engineering. To accomplish these goals, MC3T3-E1 cells were cultured in the presence of Zr-ACP to determine cell response determined by changes in DNA synthesis, alkaline phosphatase activity, osteopontin synthesis and collagen synthesis. Next, porous PLGA/Zr-ACP composite foams were fabricated using a thermal phase inversion technique and were characterized by determining pore size, pore distribution, compressive yield and compressive modulus.

Results from Chapter 2 indicate that Zr-ACP increases osteoblast function by affecting proliferation, ALP activity, and ECM production of MC3T3-E1 cells. Specifically, Zr-ACP in cell culture did not inhibit DNA synthesis but actually increased DNA synthesis at days 11 and 14 as compared to the control. In addition, Zr-ACP had a stimulatory effect on cells to transiently increase cellular ALP activity when added at intermediate (day 4) and late stages (day 11) in culture. Zr-ACP also had an effect on the third stage of osteoblastic differentiation which is the matrix mineralization stage. A 2-fold increase in osteopontin synthesis was measured when Zr-ACP was added to culture at days 4 and 11. An increase in collagen synthesis was determined for cells with addition of Zr-ACP to cell culture at days 0, 4 and 11 although the increases were not statistically significant. These results indicate that Zr-ACP has an osteogenic potential in vitro and could increase osteogenesis when incorporated into a bone scaffold in vivo.
The results from Chapter 3 show that porous PLGA/Zr-ACP composite foams can be fabricated by employing a thermal phase inversion technique. Average pore diameter ranging from 3.6 µm to 154.4 µm was found for composites with 25% (w/v) Zr-ACP using a 10% (w/v) PLGA/dioxane mixture. This pore size range is on the lower end of suggested pore sizes for biodegradable bone scaffolds (150 µm to 700 µm) [1]. Compressive modulus and yield strength was increased significantly with addition of 50% (w/v) to the PLGA scaffold. Furthermore, XRD analysis confirmed that Zr-ACP retained its amorphous structure during composite fabrication. This is important because the amorphous structure of Zr-ACP is thought to increase dissolution rates, ion release and subsequently enhance osteoblast differentiation in vitro.

4.2 Future Work

Zr-ACP was introduced into cell culture at a concentration of 5mg/ml for the studies in Chapter 2. It would be interesting to vary concentration of Zr-ACP in culture to determine the threshold value for significant cell response. This study would help determine the optimal amount of Zr-ACP to be added into PLGA scaffolds to elicit a favorable cell response. Also, different formulations of Zr-ACP could be synthesized (changes in Zr content) to vary release rate of calcium and phosphate ions. It would also be valuable to determine the amount of Zr-ACP that converts to HAP in these in vitro experiments. Other more specific bone marker proteins, such as osteocalcin and bone sialoprotein, could be assayed to more definitively determine osteoblast differentiation in response to Zr-ACP in vitro. Also, other materials such as β-TCP could be used as controls for the studies presented in Chapter 2. This extra control would add breadth to
the study and allow for comparison between Zr-ACP and other ceramics that have been added to biodegradable scaffolds.

With regards to scaffold fabrication, phase inversion temperature could be varied to modulate pore size and pore wall thickness. Previous studies have shown that a quench temperature of 0°C is sufficient to obtain pore sizes on the range of 250 μm in a 10% (w/v) PLGA/dioxane solution [2]. Increasing the phase inversion temperature from -50°C to 0°C could possibly increase average pore size and wall thickness in a 10% (w/v) PLGA/dioxane solution with 25 wt% Zr-ACP. To determine porosity of the scaffolds, mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP) could be employed. In the MIP method, mercury is forced to penetrate into porous samples under stringently controlled pressures to give data on the volume and size of the pores and the density of the composite. If pores are found to be non-interconnected, then different processing techniques, such as gas foaming, should be employed in addition to TIPS to meet the requirement of interconnected pores.

Ultimately, the goal of this research was to produce a bone scaffold that promotes osteogenesis in vivo. Once the optimum scaffold porosity and morphology is obtained, in vitro and in vivo bone scaffold testing would be required. Scaffolds would be seeded with MC3T3-E1 cells or BMSC’s in vitro and subsequent cell assays (including DNA synthesis, ALP activity, and various ECM synthesis) would be completed to determine scaffold capability. Finally, it would be beneficial to conduct in vivo testing by implanting seeded scaffold into animals with critical size defects. The ability for scaffolds to heal critical size defects by forming new bone formation in animals is necessary for scaffold incorporation into humans.
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