**General Model**

\[ \text{MGT} = fn (B \text{ or } L^5, \text{DISTRAV}^6, \text{ACTIV}^7, \text{EXP}^8, \text{CFT}^9, \text{ENV}^{10}) \]

**Adjusted \( R^2 \)**

**Specific Models**

\[ \text{MGT 1}^1 = (0.268) + (-0.356) \text{CFT 1} + (-0.261) \text{ACTIV} + (-0.224) \text{ENV 3} + (0.164) \text{ENV 1} + (0.127) \text{B or L} \]

\[ \text{MGT 2}^2 = (-0.243) + (0.425) \text{ENV 3} + (-0.230) \text{B or L} + (0.144) \text{ENV 2} \]

\[ \text{MGT 3}^3 = (-0.222) + (0.579) \text{ENV 1} + (-0.365) \text{ENV 3} + (-0.238) \text{ENV 2} + (-0.146) \text{ACTIV} + (-0.129) \text{CFT 1} \]

\[ \text{MGT 4}^4 = (0.741) + (0.350) \text{ENV 1} + (0.149) \text{ENV 4} \]

**Figure 4. Regression Model of Management Preference Predictors**

1. MGT 1 = preference for increased law enforcement
2. MGT 2 = preference for additional river access
3. MGT 3 = preference for restrictions on development and recreational use
4. MGT 4 = preference for visitor services
5. B or L = classification as boater or riverfront landowner
6. DISTRAV = distance traveled
7. ACTIV = activity preference (motorized or non-motorized)
8. EXP = past experience; EXP 1 = year of first visit, EXP 2 = past experience index
9. CFT = perceptions of conflict; CFT 1 = perceptions of general conflict; CFT 2 = perceptions of conflicts between boaters and landowners
10. ENV = environmental attitudes; ENV 1 = pro-environmental attitudes; ENV 2 = attitudes about development in Mays Landing; ENV 3 = attitudes about recreational developments; ENV 4 = attitudes about protected forests and marshlands