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(ABSTRACT)

As a license-exempt technology, Ultra Wideband (UWB) can be used for numerous commercial and military applications, including ranging, sensing, low-range networking and multimedia consumer products. In the networking and consumer fields, the technology is envisioned to reach the mass market, with a very high density of UWB devices per home and office. The technology is based on the concept of transmitting a signal with very low power spectral density (PSD), while occupying a very wide bandwidth. In principle, the low emissions mask protects incumbent systems operating in the same spectrum from being interfered with, while the wide bandwidth offers the possibility of high data rates, in excess of 250 Mbps.

UWB has been regulated to operate in the 3.1 to 10.6 GHz portion of the spectrum, with an emissions mask for the lower and upper bands outside this range. The commercial wireless mobile services based on third generation (3G) networks occupy a portion of the spectrum in the 2 GHz band, falling under the UWB emissions mask. UWB and UMTS (Universal Mobile Telephone Systems) devices will coexist, sharing the same spectrum.

In this research, we investigate the UWB-3G coexistence problem, analyzing the impact of UWB on UMTS networks. Firstly, we review the mathematical model of the UWB signal, its temporal and spectral properties. We then analyze and model the effects of the UWB signal on a narrowband receiver. Next, we characterize the response of the UMTS receiver to UWB interference, determining its statistical behavior, and establishing a model to replicate it. We continue by proposing a link level model that offers a first order quantitative estimate of the impact of a UWB interferer on a UMTS victim receiver, demonstrating the potentially harmful effect of UWB on the UMTS link.
We elaborate on that initial evidence by proposing and implementing a practical system-level algorithm to realistically simulate the behavior of the UMTS network in the presence of multiple sources of UWB interference.

We complete the research by performing UMTS system level simulations under various conditions of UWB interference, with the purpose of assessing its impact upon a typical UMTS network. We analyze the sensitivity of the main UWB parameters affecting UMTS performance, investigating the coverage and capacity performance aspects of the network. The proposed analysis methodology creates a framework to characterize the impact that mass-deployed UWB can have on the performance of a 3G system.

The literature on UWB-3G coexistence is inconclusive, and even contradictory, as to the impact UWB can have on the performance of third-generation wireless networks. While some studies show that UWB can be highly detrimental to 3G networks, others have concluded that both systems can gracefully coexist. Through this study, we found that at the current emissions limits regulated for UWB, a mass uptake of this technology can negatively affect the performance of third-generation (3G) wireless networks. The quality of service experienced by a 3G user in close proximity to an active UWB device can be noticeably degraded, in the form of reduced coverage range, poor voice quality (for a voice call), lower data rates (for a data session) or, in a extreme situation, complete service blockage. As the ratio of UWB devices surrounding a 3G user grows, the degradation becomes increasingly more evident. We determined that in order for UWB to coexist with 3G networks without causing any performance degradation, a minimum power backoff of 20 dB should be applied to the current emission limits.
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Chapter 1
Introduction

1.1 Ultra Wideband (UWB)

The term “Ultra Wideband” (UWB) refers to the spectral characteristics of a method to generate, transmit, receive and process information. The fundamental principle of UWB is the use of pulses of extremely short duration instead of continuous waves to carry information. The pulses produce a signal with wide instantaneous bandwidth, thus the name ultra wideband. Alternative terms used for the same method are impulse radio (IR), impulse radar, impulse communications, carrierless, carrier-free, time-domain, baseband, sub-nanosecond, non-sinusoidal, and others.

A common definition characterizes a UWB signal as having a fractional bandwidth greater than 25% [2,3,4,5,6] or total bandwidth greater than 1.5 GHz [2,3,5,6]. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC), on its April 2002 First Report and Order (R&O), defined a UWB signal as having fractional bandwidth greater than 20% or total bandwidth greater 500 MHz, with the bandwidth measured at the –10 dB points [2,5]. Figure 1-1 illustrates the FCC definition of the UWB signal bandwidth. The FCC’s definition changed the interpretation of what UWB encompasses, detaching it from the signal generation technique. The scope of UWB is no longer attached to a particular method of transmitting information over the air, but rather associated to bandwidth occupation and power spectral density, allowing modulation techniques other than pulsed radio to be employed.
UWB finds potential applications in many civilian and military fields. In radar and sensing UWB has been investigated for applications in vehicular radars, through-wall imaging (for public safety applications), ground penetrating radars (GPR), medical imaging and surveillance. The very high resolution resulting from the extremely narrow pulses makes UWB naturally suitable for high accuracy ranging. In wireless communications, it can be used in high capacity local and personal area networks (LAN/PAN), short range radios and mass market multimedia applications (physical cable replacement). The main motivation for using UWB in wireless communications applications is related to its potential ability to deliver very high capacity channels at low power levels. Shannon’s equation states that the channel capacity varies linearly with the bandwidth, but logarithmically with the signal-to-noise ratio. Theoretically, UWB’s power spectral density can be maintained at very low levels while carrying many Mbps of data. In principle, this allows UWB systems to coexist with narrowband systems without the need for spectral separation. Figure 1-2 illustrates the concept.
Figure 1-2 – UWB spectrum versus existing narrowband systems. UWB is intended to coexist with legacy systems without the need for spectral separation.

UWB is intended for unlicensed use, sharing spectrum with a wide variety of legacy systems. The allocated band in the United States ranges from 3,100 to 10600 MHz for most applications, except vehicular radar systems, which will use the 22,000 to 29,000 MHz band. In both bands, the indoor EIRP (Effective Isotropic Radiated Power) is limited to $-41.3$ dBm, with a power mask limiting the emission outside the bands, as detailed in [5]. Low frequency imaging systems extend the lower band limit to 1,900 MHz and have their EIRP restricted to $-51.3$ dBm. This implies limiting UWB emissions, to prevent harmful interference to licensed services occupying these bands. Moreover, the application of this technology is limited to conditions that allow the coexistence between UWB and legacy systems, minimizing the impact UWB may cause on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency range (MHz)</th>
<th>Mean EIRP in dBm/MHz (Indoor/outdoor)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>960-1610</td>
<td>-75.3 / -75.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1610-1900</td>
<td>-53.3 / -63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900-3100</td>
<td>-51.3 / -61.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3100-10600</td>
<td>-41.3 / -41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 10600</td>
<td>-51.3 / -61.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.2 Motivation and Contribution

Amongst the many legacy systems that will share the spectrum with UWB are the commercial wireless mobile networks, also commonly referred to as cellular networks. Such networks have evolved dramatically since their inception in the early 1980’s, having grown from first-generation, small-coverage, low-penetration, voice-only systems to the third-generation (3G), nationwide, multi-million subscribers, multimedia services Universal Mobile Telecommunications Systems (UMTS) networks currently being rolled out. They have also become a key component of the public safety infrastructure, providing services such as E911. The carriers operating these networks have invested – and continue to invest –, substantial capital to acquire exclusive rights to use the spectrum. For example, in the United States, wireless carriers currently operating personal communications services (PCS) networks in the 1900 MHz band, have invested over US$7 billion to acquire spectrum usage rights during the 1994/1995 FCC auctions [115].

The consumer applications envisioned for UWB will place these devices physically close to the 3G mobile terminals. In a mature market deployment, the UWB device density could far exceed that of mobile phones, potentially resulting in several UWB devices per 3G terminal. The emergence of a license-exempt technology based on spectrum coexistence, with main applications in mass-market products, suggests that the impact of the newcomer on incumbent systems be thoroughly investigated. The literature on UWB-3G coexistence is inconclusive, and even contradictory, as to the impact UWB can have on the performance of third-generation wireless networks. While some studies show that UWB can be highly detrimental to 3G networks, others have concluded that both systems can gracefully coexist. The lack of consistent, conclusive studies on the potential impact of UWB on 3G networks constitutes the primary motivation for this research.

The research considers the theoretical and practical aspects of the coexistence between UWB and third-generation wireless mobile networks, with particular emphasis on UMTS. It investigates the impact of UWB on the performance of 3G networks,
modeling the effects that UWB, as regulated by the FCC, can have on existing wireless networks. In particular, this study addresses the following areas of interest:

- Quality of service (QoS): The 3G user experience in the presence of in-band interference caused by UWB.
- Network coverage: The effects of UWB interference in the coverage area of the 3G network.
- Network capacity: The effects of UWB interference in the traffic handling capacity of the 3G network.

The available literature describes 3G system level performance simulations in the presence of UWB for very controlled scenarios, limited to theoretical network configurations and scarce set of relevant input variables. The results obtained from those, while offering some insight into the actual impact of UWB on 3G, do not allow for a thorough quantitative assessment of interference in real network deployments. This contribution intends to overcome the limitations posed by those studies, offering a thorough investigation, which can be used to assess both theoretical and practical network scenarios. The major contribution of this effort is to demonstrate that UWB can have a detrimental effect on the performance of third-generation networks. However, unlike in the work available in the literature, this study shows that coexistence is possible under certain circumstances. It also analyses the effect of UWB device density and emission power on the performance of 3G networks, studying the conditions that would allow the coexistence of both systems. These contributions are enabled by a methodology that provides realistic, comprehensive and scalable analyses of the effects of UWB on third-generation wireless networks. The proposed methodology was realized with the aid of a commercial off-the-shelf wireless network planning tool, which had the author’s involvement during various stages of its development. This tool is obtainable for general use, making this contribution readily available to anyone interested in the UWB-UMTS coexistence problem.
1.3 Document Overview

This document compiles the theoretical and practical aspects of this research. It also presents the methodology proposed for the analysis of UWB interference on UMTS networks and the results from simulations completed following this methodology.

Chapter 2 introduces and reviews the properties of the UWB signal and its power spectral density, exploring the effects of pulse shape, modulation and dithering on the power spectral density (PSD). In addition, this chapter models the UWB interference on narrowband radio systems, with particular emphasis on a UMTS receiver. Through simulations, we model the statistical behavior of the UWB interference on the UMTS receiver with the variation of the pulse repetition frequency (prf) of the UWB signal.

Chapter 3 discusses the UWB interference on UMTS at the cell level, isolating a single source of interference and a single UMTS victim, both under a single UMTS cell. It investigates both the downlink and uplink, taking into consideration the various factors relevant in each case. This analysis provides a first order quantitative estimate of the effects of UWB on UMTS, laying the ground for the subsequent system level study.

Chapter 4 addresses the UWB interference on UMTS at the system level. It discusses the limitations of the cell level analysis and shows the need for statistical modeling for a realistic representation of UWB interference on UMTS. We propose a Monte Carlo algorithm to simulate the dynamics between UWB and UMTS users. The algorithm captures the effects of this interaction on the network, replicating the behavior expected in a real environment. The UMTS network model applies all the elements typically employed in actual network design, making it as sophisticated as those adopted by wireless carriers and network infrastructure manufacturers. We present the results of simulations for different UMTS user profiles, as well as a sensitivity analysis for UWB user density and radiated power.

Chapter 5 summarizes the contributions of this research and indicates areas of future research related to this topic.
Chapter 2
The UWB Interference on Narrowband Radio Systems

This chapter describes the mathematical model of the UWB signal and its effect on a narrowband receiver. The analysis concentrates on the signal representation for pulsed UWB systems. Applications based on other technologies, such as OFDM, would yield different results. However, the analytical methodology used to quantify the UWB interference on a narrowband receiver is generic and can be applied to any modulation. In addition, we analyze the effects of UWB interference on a UMTS receiver. We use the results of simulations to determine the statistical behavior of the UWB interference in a generic UMTS victim receiver.

2.1 The UWB Signal Model

The UWB signal utilized in this analysis can be represented by a series of pulses $p(t)$ of very short duration, described as

$$w(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k p(t - kT),$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 2-1}

where $a_k$ is the amplitude of the $k^{th}$ pulse and $T$ is the pulse repetition interval. Alternatively, the UWB signal can also be described in the form

$$w(t) = d(t) * p(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 2-2}

where $d(t)$ is
\[ d(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k \delta(t - kT), \quad \text{Equation 2-3} \]

\( \delta(t) \) is the Dirac delta function and \( * \) represents the convolution operation.

Let \( W(f) \) be the frequency domain representation of the UWB signal, obtained via the Fourier transform of its time domain version. \( W(f) \) is then

\[ W(f) = P(f)D(f) = P(f)\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k e^{-j2\pi kfT}, \quad \text{Equation 2-4} \]

where \( D(f) \) denotes the Dirac delta function in the frequency domain.

When using an UWB signal to carry data, the amplitude \( a_k \) and the pulse delay \( T_k \) can be used as modulation variables. The random nature of the modulating signal implies that the UWB signal must be modeled as a random process. In this case, the power spectral density (PSD) is a more suitable descriptor of its frequency domain behavior. The power spectral density of the UWB signal \( w(t) \), denoted by \( S_w(f) \) is

\[ S_w(f) = \left| P(f) \right|^2 S_d(f), \quad \text{Equation 2-5} \]

where \( S_w(f) \) represents the average power per Hz (W/Hz) as a function of the frequency, while \( S_d(f) \) is the power spectral density of \( d(t) \). The term \( \left| P(f) \right|^2 \) is the magnitude of the Fourier transform of the pulse \( p(t) \), representing the energy spectral density (ESD) of a single pulse and expressed in units of joules/Hz. \( P(f) \) is given by

\[ P(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(t)e^{-2\pi ft}dt. \quad \text{Equation 2-6} \]
Equation 2-5 shows that the power spectral density of the UWB signal \( w(t) \) comprises two components: the energy spectral density of the pulse \( p(t) \) and the power spectral density of \( d(t) \).

Typically, UWB systems do not use one single pulse to represent a data symbol. Many pulse repetitions, in the order of hundreds, are transmitted for each symbol, to assure its proper reception [2,37]. Consequently, the power spectrum of these pulse trains shows spectral lines, or comb lines. The regularity of these spectral lines may cause UWB systems to interfere on conventional narrowband systems sharing the spectrum.

The use of time hopping by applying dithering to the pulses can minimize this phenomenon, introducing a degree of randomness to the pulse train. It also allows for the introduction of data modulation and multiple access (channelization) to UWB. Pulse position modulation (PPM) is generally employed for this purpose. For instance, a binary 0 may represent no dithering and a binary 1 a small dithering, generally indicated as a percentage of the pulse period. Channelization can be introduced via the use of a second, larger pulse dithering that provides further smoothing of the spectral lines and permits multiple access. This is generally accomplished using pseudo-random noise codes (PN codes). The noise-like nature of PN codes adds the random component responsible for reducing the spectral lines on the modulated signal. This approach is also known as Direct Sequence Ultra Wideband (DS-UWB).

The resulting UWB signal, affected by pulse position modulation and dithering can be expressed as

\[
w(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k p[t - d_k - kT],
\]

Equation 2-7

where \( d_k \) represents the total time dither (data plus PN code), in time units and \( T \) the pulse repetition interval (PRI).
The energy in a single pulse is

\[ E_p = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |P(f)|^2 df \quad \text{Equation 2-8} \]

and the total average power can be expressed as

\[ \bar{P}_w = \langle a_x^2 \rangle E_p \bar{R} \quad \text{Equation 2-9} \]

where \( \bar{R} \) is the average pulse repetition frequency (PRF)

\[ \bar{R} = \frac{1}{T} \quad \text{Equation 2-10} \]

### 2.2 The UWB Power Spectral Density

The power spectral density (PSD) of the UWB signal is of central importance in the analysis of its interference effects on other radio systems. The UWB pulse power distribution over the signal bandwidth depends on the shape of the pulse spectrum and on the modulation mechanism. As indicated by equation (2-5), the power spectral density of the UWB signal is a function of the energy spectral density of the UWB pulse and the power spectral density of the impulse sequence \( d(t) \). The PSD for various UWB signal models has been developed through different techniques - albeit with agreeing results, in [89], [90], [91] and [92]. The generic expression for the PSD of a UWB signal of frame duration \( T \) has the form

\[ S_w(f) = \frac{|P(f)|^2}{T} \sum_l R_{c(f)[l]} e^{j2\pi ft} \quad \text{Equation 2-11} \]

where
\[ R_{c(f)}[l] = \langle c_k(f)c_{k+l}^{*}(f) \rangle, \quad \text{Equation 2-12} \]

with

\[ c_k(f) = a_k e^{-j2\pi\epsilon_k}. \quad \text{Equation 2-13} \]

The transmit time \( T_k \) of the \( k_{th} \) pulse is related to \( T \) and \( \epsilon_k \) by

\[ T_k = kT + \epsilon_k \quad \text{Equation 2-14} \]

The PSD often consists of a continuous and a discrete component, which can be derived from equation (2-11) by expressing the modulation term \( c_k(f) \) in terms of its mean value \( \mu_c(f) \), its variance and a white, zero-mean process \( \tilde{c}_k(f) = c_k(f) - \mu_c(f) \), as shown in equation (2-15). The PSD can then be denoted by

\[ S_n(f) = |P(f)|^2 \left\{ \frac{\sigma_c^2(f)}{T} + \frac{|\mu_c(f)|^2}{T^2} \sum_k \delta\left( f - \frac{k}{T} \right) \right\} \quad \text{Equation 2-15} \]

The first term of equation (2-15) represents the continuous component of the PSD, while the second term corresponds to the discrete components, or spectral lines.

### 2.2.1 PSD of the UWB Signal with Discrete Pulse Positions

For the UWB pulse shape corresponding to the first derivative of the Gaussian monocycle, the continuous component of the PSD for a pulse position modulated signal with \( 2M \) possible pulse positions is [89]

\[ S_{nc}(f) = R|P(f)|^2 \left\{ 1 - \left[ \cos 2\pi \Delta \frac{\sin(\pi M \epsilon_c)}{M \sin(\pi \epsilon_c)} \right]^2 \right\} \quad \text{Equation 2-16} \]
and the power in the $n^{th}$ discrete spectral component is

$$P_n = R^2 |P(nR)|^2 \left[ \cos \left( \frac{2\pi n\Delta}{T} \right) \frac{\sin(\pi n M \varepsilon_c / T)}{M \sin(\pi n \varepsilon_c / T)} \right]^2,$$

Equation 2-17

where $R = 1/T$ Hz is the pulse repetition frequency (PRF), $\Delta$ is the time offset produced by the modulation process, $\varepsilon_c$ the granularity of the code-controlled pulse position. It is worth noting that the continuous component of the PSD is proportional to the pulse repetition frequency $R$, whereas the discrete component is proportional to $R^2$. In addition, the spectral lines appear at multiples of $R$.

Figure 2-1 illustrates the energy spectral density (ESD) of the Gaussian monocycle relative to its maximum value, for pulse duration $\tau = 0.5 ns$. Figure 2-2 shows the continuous power spectral density for an UWB signal based on a Gaussian monocycle, with total power equal to 10 dBm, pulse duration $\tau = 0.5 ns$, $\varepsilon_c = 10 ns$ and $R = 10 MHz$.

**Figure 2-1** Energy spectral density (ESD) of the Gaussian monocycle relative to the maximum value, in dB. The pulse duration is 0.5 ns.
Figure 2-2 Continuous power spectral density (PSD) of the Gaussian monocycle for a total UWB signal power of 10 dBm. The pulse duration is 0.5 ns, $M=10$, $\varepsilon=10\text{ns}$ and $R=10\text{MHz}$.

### 2.2.2 PSD of the UWB Pulse with Uniform Pulse Position

When the pulse can occur anywhere within the frame interval and its position follows a uniform distribution, the continuous component of the PSD for the pulse shape corresponding to the first derivative of the Gaussian monocycle is

$$S_p(f) = \frac{1}{T} \phi_v(f) \left[ 1 - \text{sinc}^2\left(\pi f \alpha\right) \right],$$

Equation 2-18

with the power spectral component at frequency $\frac{n}{T}$ being

$$P_n = \frac{1}{T^2} \phi_v\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) \text{sinc}^2\left(\pi \frac{n}{T} \alpha\right),$$

Equation 2-19

where $\alpha$ is the width of the time interval. If $\alpha = T$, the pulse can appear anywhere in the UWB frame. In this case, the PSD has no spectral lines, except at $f = 0$. 
2.2.3 PSD of the Generalized UWB Signal Model

The models described in the previous sections assume each information symbol to be limited to one UWB frame and infinite-length pseudo random dithering codes. A more generalized case accounts for multi-frame information symbols and for dithering codes of finite length. Such UWB signal can be described as

$$w(t) = \sum_{n} a_n v_n (t - nT - \Delta_n),$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 2-20}

where $a_n$ and $\Delta_n$ represent the $n^{th}$ pulse amplitude and position modulation, respectively, and $v_n(t)$ denotes

$$v_n(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \alpha_{n,m} p(t - mT_f - \epsilon_{n,m}),$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 2-21}

where $v_n(t)$ is non-zero for $0 \leq t \leq t_v$, with $t_v + \Delta_{\text{max}} \leq T \cdot T_f$ is the UWB frame interval, $\epsilon_{n,m}$ and $\alpha_{n,m}$ represent the dithering delay and amplitude of frame $m$ of pulse $n$ and $p(t)$ is the fundamental pulse waveform. In the frequency domain $v_n(t)$ is expressed by

$$V_n(f) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} P(f) \beta_{n,m} e^{-j2\pi mT_f},$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 2-22}

where

$$\beta_{n,m} = \alpha_{n,m} e^{-j2\pi \epsilon_{n,m}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 2-23}

Both $\epsilon_{n,m}$ and $\alpha_{n,m}$ can be modeled as deterministic or random, as appropriate. In the deterministic case, these variables represent a periodic dithering code with period $NT_f$, yielding the PSD expression
\[ S_w(f) = \frac{1}{NT} \sum_l R_c[l] e^{j2\pi fT} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} V_n(f) V_{n+l}^*(f) , \]  

Equation 2-24

The PSD expression for the non-periodic dithering case is

\[ S_w(f) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_l R_c[l] R_v[l] e^{j2\pi fT} , \]  

Equation 2-25

where

\[ R_v[l] \equiv \langle V_n(f) V_{n+l}^*(f) \rangle . \]  

Equation 2-26

### 2.3 The UWB Interference on Narrowband Radio Systems

The operation of ultra wideband (UWB) devices and systems is intended to be unlicensed, implying that existing licensed systems and networks whose spectrum overlaps with that used by UWB may be subject to interference. Most systems operating in this common band can be classified as narrowband in comparison with UWB. Radars and high-capacity microwave links have signal bandwidth of orders of magnitude less than a typical UWB pulse bandwidth.

The effect UWB interference will have on the operation of a coexisting system depends on the ability of the victim receiver to reject the undesired signal. The front-end RF bandwidth of a radio receiver is usually much wider than the actual signal bandwidth, for the receiver is usually designed to be able to tune over a range of frequency channels. In a conventional dual-conversion super heterodyne receiver, the output of the intermediate frequency (IF) stage feeds the demodulator responsible for recovering the baseband signal. Consequently, the IF filtering stage ultimately determines the bandwidth of the signal applied to the demodulator. The amount of UWB interference reaching the demodulator therefore depends on the victim receiver’s IF filtering characteristics. Figure
2-3 shows the block diagram of a typical dual-conversion super heterodyne receiver. Usually, the RF front-end includes an RF band filter and a low-noise amplifier (LNA). Depending on the application, it may also contain a duplexer or antenna switching circuitry.

![Block diagram of a typical dual-conversion super heterodyne receiver.](image)

Figure 2-3 Block diagram of a typical dual-conversion super heterodyne receiver.

An interfering UWB signal goes through the same receiver path as the desired signal and appears to the demodulator as a signal processed by the IF filter block (IF2), which has a transfer function \( H_{IF} (f) \). The IF filter output response to the interfering UWB signal is

\[
G(f) = W(f)H_{IF} (f) ,
\]

Equation 2-27

where \( G(f) \) is the frequency domain representation of the IF filter output time domain response and \( W(f) \) the frequency domain representation of the UWB signal. For this analysis, let \( f_{IF2} = f_0 \). This assumption simplifies the analysis by eliminating the need to consider the effect of frequency down conversion prior to the final IF stage. In essence, it models the final IF filter with its intended bandwidth, but centered at frequency \( f_0 \).

Figure 2-4 compares the frequency response of the IF filter with the UWB signal. The shaded area of the UWB signal under the IF response curve represents the portion of the signal that is processed by the filter.

This study assumes that the receiver is operating in linearity. It considers that the RF front-end stage and first mixer do not produce third-order intermodulation products that cause observable performance degradation. However, it must be noted that UWB
signals may contain discrete tones of relatively high power, offering the potential for such effects.

![Figure 2-4 Comparison between the IF filter response and the UWB signal bandwidth.](image)

### 2.4 Narrowband Receiver Response to the UWB Signal

In general, the bandwidth of the UWB pulse is much greater than the channel bandwidth of the victim receiver, effectively making the receiver response to a single UWB pulse equal to the impulse response of the IF filter. Hence, the actual shape of the UWB pulse becomes of secondary relevance for this analysis, as the UWB signal energy will be perceived as constant over the receiver passband. Equation 2-27 can be rewritten as

$$G(f) = H_{IF}(f)P(f)D(f) = H_{IF}(f)P(f)\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k e^{-j2\pi f_k t_k}.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 2-28}

$H_{IF}(f)$ can also be represented by its baseband equivalent response, indicated as $H_{if}(f)$ [9]

$$H_{IF}(f) = H_{if}(f-f_0) + H_{if}^*(-f-f_0).$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 2-29}

Applying Equation 2-29 to 2-28 yields
\[ G(f) = P(f) \left[ H_{g'}(f - f_0) + H_{g'}^*(-f - f_0) \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k e^{-j2\pi f_k} \right] \]  
\text{Equation 2-30}

Since \( p(t) \) is real, its frequency domain representation \( P(f) \) is conjugate-symmetric:

\[ P(-f) = P^*(f) \]  
\text{Equation 2-31}

Also, \( P(f) \) can be expressed in terms of its magnitude and phase as

\[ P(f) = |P(f)| e^{j\psi(f)} \]  
\text{Equation 2-32}

Therefore, Equation 2-30 can be rewritten in the form

\[ G(f) = |P(f)| \left[ H_{g'}(f - f_0)e^{j\psi(f)} + H_{g'}^*(-f - f_0)e^{-j\psi(f)} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k e^{-j2\pi f_k} \right] \]  
\text{Equation 2-33}

As previously mentioned, the bandwidth of the UWB signal is much larger than the bandwidth of the IF filtering stages of the victim receivers of narrowband coexisting systems. Thus, \( P(f) \) is essentially constant over the passband of \( H_{IF}(f) \) and equation (2-33) can be expressed as

\[ G(f) = |P(f_0)| \left[ H_{g'}(f - f_0)e^{j\psi(f_0)} + H_{g'}^*(-f - f_0)e^{-j\psi(f_0)} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k e^{-j2\pi f_k} \right] \]  
\text{Equation 2-34}

The bandpass process \( g(t) \) can also be represented by its in-phase and quadrature components \( x(t) \) and \( y(t) \), respectively:
\[ g(t) = x(t) \cos 2\pi f_0 t - y(t) \sin 2\pi f_0 t, \]  
\text{Equation 2-35}

where \( g(t) \) represents the entire UWB pulse sequence and

\[ x(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x_k(t), \]  
\text{Equation 2-36}

\[ y(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} y_k(t). \]  
\text{Equation 2-37}

The signal envelope is denoted by

\[ a_g(t) = \sqrt{x(t)^2 + y(t)^2}, \]  
\text{Equation 2-38}

and the phase is

\[ \theta(t) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y(t)}{x(t)}\right). \]  
\text{Equation 2-39}

The envelope power of \( g(t) \) is

\[ P_g(t) = \frac{a_g^2(t)}{2}. \]  
\text{Equation 2-40}

\( G(f) \) can then be expressed in terms of the \( X(f) \) and \( Y(f) \) components as
\[ X(f) = \left\{ H_{gf}(f)P(f_0)\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k e^{-j2\pi(f_0+f)T_k} + H_{gf}^*(-f)P(-f_0)\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k e^{-j2\pi(f_0-f)T_k} \right\} \quad \text{Equation 2-41} \]

\[ Y(f) = \frac{1}{j} \left\{ H_{gf}(f)P(f_0)\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k e^{-j2\pi(f_0+f)T_k} - H_{gf}^*(-f)P(-f_0)\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k e^{-j2\pi(f_0-f)T_k} \right\} \quad \text{Equation 2-42} \]

If \( h_{gf}(t) \) is complex, it can be represented in the form \( h_{gf}(t0) = |h_{gf}(t)|e^{j\theta(t)} \). Hence, Equations 2-41 and 2-42 can be expressed in the time domain, via the inverse Fourier transform as:

\[ x(t) = 2[p(f_0)\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k |h_{gf}(t-T_k)| \cos(\theta(t-T_k) + \psi(f_0) - 2\pi f_0 T_k) \] \quad \text{Equation 2-43} \]

\[ y(t) = 2[p(f_0)\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k |h_{gf}(t-T_k)| \sin(\theta(t-T_k) + \psi(f_0) - 2\pi f_0 T_k)] \]. \quad \text{Equation 2-44} \]

The envelope output power of \( g(t) \) is

\[ p_g(t) = \frac{x^2(t) + y^2(t)}{2} \quad \text{Equation 2-45} \]

### 2.5 The Effects of UWB Interference on Narrowband Receivers

In the majority of the interference scenarios of interest, the UWB pulse bandwidth is much wider than the victim receiver’s bandwidth. As a result, the response of the IF filtering stage to a single UWB pulse can be considered equivalent to the filter’s impulse response. The IF stage response to a series of UWB pulses depends on the pulse rate. The settling time of the filter’s response is inversely proportional to the IF bandwidth and if the UWB pulse rate is low in comparison to that bandwidth, the output response will be a series of individual impulse responses with the same timing as that of the UWB pulses.

If the UWB pulse rate exceeds the IF bandwidth, the responses will overlap and the resulting signal will depend on the phase interaction amongst the overlapping
components. For a constant pulse, with no modulation or dithering, the filter response will present spectral lines at multiples of the pulse rate. If the pulse rate or one of its harmonics coincide with the central IF frequency, the responses to each pulse will be in phase and the output signal will be constant.

In [116], Miller analytically derives that the noise rise due to UWB interference on a direct conversion quadrature receiver is directly related to the UWB pulse waveform spectrum and the variance of the modulation symbols. That result, albeit useful and somewhat intuitive, cannot be readily applied as the net effect of UWB interference at baseband, as [116] promptly concludes. Miller concedes that proper statistical characterization of UWB interference on narrowband receivers requires further analytical and simulation efforts. Simulations presented in [7] suggest that if the average UWB pulse rate exceeds the IF bandwidth and the signal is dithered, the IF output will appear noise-like and have a Gaussian response [89]. This assertion is of particular relevance to the analysis of the effect of UWB signals on UMTS receivers, because it implies that the UWB interference can be modeled as AWGN. Based on the appealing, but inconclusive amount of information in the available literature, we felt compelled to carry out simulations with the objective of determining the statistical response of a narrowband receiver to UWB interference at varying pulse rates, establishing a base upon which to rely in the next steps of this research. The next section details the implementation of the simulator and the assumptions around the model.

2.5.1 Simulation of UWB Interference on a UMTS Receiver

We built a simulator to determine the impact of UWB interference on a UMTS receiver. It models a pulsed UWB transmitter at the pulse repetition rates of 1, 10 and 100 Mpps and its effect on a UMTS receiver located at short distance – 1m for the results presented herein.

The time domain analysis of the results examines two major effects of the UWB signal on the receiver:
• The rise in the interference power to the receiver due to a UWB signal source in close proximity; and
• Whether the AWGN model is a valid representation of the effect of UWB interference on a narrowband receiver and the conditions under which the model holds.

The simulator was implemented in the SystemView platform, by Agilent Technologies. The block diagram of the UWB transmitter is detailed in Figure 2-5, being based upon a similar model described in [1] and [7]. The transmitter produces random binary baseband data at one of the three physical channel bit rates selected for the simulation: 1 Mpps, 10 Mpps or 100 Mpps. These data rates are consistent with those envisaged for commercial UWB applications.

In order to minimize the spectral lines in the transmitted signal, time dithering is applied to the data prior to modulation. The baseband data is dithered at 0% for a binary 0 and 4.5% for a binary 1. Additionally, bit position dithering between 0% and 50% is applied to smooth the power density spectrum, following a uniform rectangular distribution. The uniform distribution for the bit position dithering is accomplished via a PN code generator with very large number of levels. Therefore, the resulting maximum dithering is 54.5% - 4.5% for bit value and 50% for bit position. For values of random dither greater than 25%, the spectrum of the signal before pulse shaping resembles closely that of noise. For dithering below 25%, the randomness becomes considerably less evident, causing prominent line spectra in the power spectral density domain, whereas for values greater than 50% it does not change significantly [1].

There is an inverse relation between the percentage of dithering and the multipath settling time window. Since the radio channel usually introduces multipath, energy from the pulse from a previous frame may spread into the subsequent frame. For that reason, 100% dithering is not normally used [7]. For instance, for a system with frame period $T = 100\text{ns}$ (UWB signal at 10 Mpps) and 50% dither, there is a $50\text{ns}$ time window where there is no pulse transmission, allowing the receiver to tolerate up to 15m of multipath.
The bit value and bit position dithering functions are summed and applied to a Pulse Position Modulator (PPM) operating at one of the pulse repetition rates (PRR) selected for the simulation. The output of the PPM stage is a train of Dirac delta functions as described by equation (2-7). The pulse duration is set 0.1 ns. This pulse train is shaped in the pulse generator block by a Gaussian filter, to limit the spectral emissions outside the desired band. The pulse generator block also differentiates the signal, simulating the effect of the transmitter antenna on the UWB signal [1, 93]. Figure 2-6 shows the normalized impulse response of the Gaussian pulse-shaping filter and Figure 2-7 illustrates the UWB pulse shape after differentiation. The Gaussian filter has bandwidth of 4 GHz.

The signal power control block adjusts the transmitted UWB signal level, making it compliant with the emission requirements for UWB devices. Figure 2-8 shows the pulse train at the output of the power control block and Figure 2-9 shows the corresponding power spectral density. The gain of the power control block is adjusted according to the pulse repetition, to maintain the power spectral density constant and within the emissions requirements for any pulse repetition rate.

![Figure 2-5 Block diagram of the time dithered bandpass ultra wideband (UWB) transmitter used to model the effect of UWB interference on the narrowband UMTS receiver.](image-url)
Figure 2-6 Normalized impulse response of the UWB Gaussian pulse shaping filter. The pulse width is 0.25 ns, corresponding to a bandwidth of 4 GHz.

Figure 2-7 Normalized UWB pulse after differentiation. The pulse width is 0.25 ns, corresponding to a bandwidth of 4 GHz.
Figure 2-8 Pulse position modulated (PPM) Gaussian monocycle pulse train with 0.5 ns pulse duration and 200 MHz repetition rate. The data is modulated with 4.5 % (0.045T) dither and time hopping with 50% dither (0.5T). The pulse peak amplitude is 1 Volt (chart created with System View).

Figure 2-9 Power spectrum of a Pulse position modulated (PPM) Gaussian monocycle pulse train with 0.5 ns pulse duration and 10 MHz repetition rate. The data is modulated with 4.5 % (0.045T) dither and time hopping with 50% dither (0.5T). The pulse peak amplitude is 1 Volt (chart created with System View).

Figure 2-10 compares the power spectral density of the transmitted UWB signal with the FCC and ETSI emission masks for indoor and outdoor (portable) applications. The UWB signal produced by the transmitter used in the simulations is largely compliant with the emission masks defined by the FCC and ETSI. The signal does not comply with the outdoor FCC mask in the 1.5~3.0 GHz band and with the ETSI masks for frequencies below 2.3 GHz. Even though these bands coincide with the operation bands of UMTS.
systems, the simulations will show that the excess emission is not harmful. Additional pulse shaping or filtering at RF can be used to control the PSD to achieve full compliance with the masks. Pulse shaping is an active area of research and it is beyond the scope of this work. References [10] and [12] present solutions for PSD control and pulse shaping that could be used as part of the simulations at the expense of additional complexity. For the simulations presented, the pulse width is more important than the pulse shape, because the former determines the total spectrum occupied by the UWB signal, while the specific details of the latter are lost at baseband, as the pulses are filtered and spread in the time domain [7].

![Figure 2-10](image)

**Figure 2-10** Comparison between the UWB power spectral density at the output of the transmitter and the FCC/ETSI emission masks for indoor and outdoor/handheld/portable UWB devices. In this chart, the power spectral density is expressed in dBm/MHz.

The implementation of the UMTS receiver used in the time domain simulations is presented in Figure 2-11. It follows the classic optimal QPSK correlation receiver architecture, with direct conversion from RF to baseband. The block diagram in Figure 2-11 corresponds to the path responsible for recovering the Dedicated Physical Channel (DPCH) data.
The RF front-end bandpass filter is centered at 2140 MHz, with 60 MHz of bandwidth, allowing all frequencies in the WCDMA FDD downlink band, spanning from 2110 MHz to 2170 MHz, to pass through. It was implemented as a finite impulse response (FIR) filter with 3018 poles, passband ripple of < 1dB and out of band rejection >45 dB. Figure 2-12 shows its normalized impulse response and Figure 2-13 shows its transfer function.

The band-limited signal delivered by the front-end filter is divided into two equal branches by a power splitter, which feeds the In-phase (I) and Quadrature (Q) paths of a QPSK Direct Conversion Receiver (DCR).

Low pass baseband Finite Impulse Response (FIR) filters with pass band between 0 and 3.84 MHz were used to eliminate undesired mixing products and out of band signals. They are 2048-pole filters with a Raised Root Cosine transfer function response and roll-off factor $\alpha = 0.22$, as illustrated in Figure 2-14. The filter’s normalized impulse response is shown in Figure 2-15.

Figure 2-11 UMTS receiver model used in the simulations. It follows the classic optimal QPSK correlation receiver architecture, with direct conversion from RF to baseband.
Figure 2-12 Normalized impulse response of the UMTS RF bandpass front-end filter.

The de-spreading, integration and threshold detection blocks following the low pass filters are used to recover the DPCH bit stream. Since the simulations carried out in this study are intended to analyze the effect of UWB interference on the receiver, the demodulation blocks are not utilized, i.e., the study uses the signal pre-demodulator.

Figure 2-13 Transfer function of the UMTS RF bandpass front-end filter. The filter is centered at 2140 MHz with 60 MHz of bandwidth, passband ripple < 1dB and out of band rejection > 45 dB.
Figure 2-14 Transfer function of the UMTS Root Raise Cosine (RRC) baseband filter. The filter’s pass band spans from 0 to 3.84 MHz, corresponding to the chip rate of UMTS.

Figure 2-15 Normalized impulse response of the UMTS Root Raised Cosine (RRC) baseband filter.

The validity of the narrowband models and the proper modeling of the propagation of large bandwidth signals is the subject of ongoing research [93,94,95,96,97,98,99]. Miller [93] indicates that the use of the geometric mean of the lower and upper band-edge frequencies of the UWB signal in the estimation of the path loss allows for the proper estimation of the receiver power, with errors smaller than 0.28 dB for relative bandwidths up to 50%. However, since the simulations presented herein involve a narrowband receiver, the conventional effects of RF propagation developed for narrowband signals were applied, as the victim receiver perceives a narrowband portion
of the large bandwidth UWB signal. The UMTS channel center frequency \( f_c = 2140 \) MHz was used. The physical separation between the UWB signal source and the victim receiver was chosen as 1 meter, resulting in the free space loss of 39 dB. This physical separation assumption is based on the expected usage of UWB devices in close proximity to mobile phones. In addition to the free space loss, a multipath gain component of 6 dB was also considered, resulting in a total path loss of 33 dB. The multipath margin assumes a Rician channel where the line-of-sight (LOS) component is 3 dB greater than the multipath component. Under this assumption, the Rician fading model yields a 98% probability that the received UWB signal will be less than that received when only the LOS component is assumed. It is worth noting that the primary objectives of the simulation can also be achieved under different propagation modeling conditions and UWB-UMTS separations, since the key variable affecting the outcome is the UWB pulse repetition rate (prf). Even if the propagation environment offers a different loss behavior, or if the physical separation between the UWB source and the victim receiver varies, the interaction between the signals at the receiver will not change.

### 2.6 Simulation Results

The time domain results of simulations for UWB pulse rates of 1, 10 and 100 Mpps are presented in Figure 2-16 to Figure 2-27. The statistical behavior of the UWB signal is represented by probability density functions (pdf) and cumulative distribution functions (cdf), assembled for each of the simulated pulse rates and signal components (I and Q). Those results are show in Figure 2-28 to Figure 2-39. A discussion of these results is presented in Section 2.7.
Figure 2-16 UWB signal at the UMTS front-end filter input. The pulse repetition rate is 1 Mpps.

Figure 2-17 UWB signal at the UMTS front-end filter input. The pulse repetition rate is 10 Mpps.

Figure 2-18 UWB signal at the UMTS front-end filter input. The pulse repetition rate is 100 Mpps.
Figure 2-19 UMTS front-end filter response to the UWB signal. The pulse repetition rate is 1 Mpps.

Figure 2-20 UMTS front-end filter response to the UWB signal. The pulse repetition rate is 10 Mpps.

Figure 2-21 UMTS front-end filter response to the UWB signal. The pulse repetition rate is 100 Mpps.
Figure 2-22 In-phase component of the UWB signal at UMTS receiver after baseband filtering. The pulse repetition frequency is 1 Mpps.

Figure 2-23 In-phase component of the UWB signal at UMTS receiver after baseband filtering. The pulse repetition frequency is 10 Mpps.

Figure 2-24 In-phase component of the UWB signal at UMTS receiver after baseband filtering. The pulse repetition frequency is 100 Mpps.
Figure 2-25 Quadrature component of the UWB signal at UMTS receiver after baseband filtering. The pulse repetition frequency is 1 Mpps.

Figure 2-26 Quadrature component of the UWB signal at UMTS receiver after baseband filtering. The pulse repetition frequency is 10 Mpps.

Figure 2-27 Quadrature component of the UWB signal at UMTS receiver after baseband filtering. The pulse repetition frequency is 100 Mpps.
Figure 2-28 Probability density function (pdf) of the in-phase component of the UWB interference signal at the UMTS receiver, after IF filtering, for a pulse repetition frequency (PRF) of 1 Mpps. The dotted line represents the equivalent AWGN pdf.

Figure 2-29 Cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the in-phase component of the UWB interference signal at the UMTS receiver, after IF filtering, for a pulse repetition frequency (PRF) of 1 Mpps. The dotted line represents the equivalent AWGN pdf.
Figure 2-30 Probability density function (pdf) of the in-phase component of the UWB interference signal at the UMTS receiver, after IF filtering, for a pulse repetition frequency (PRF) of 10 Mpps. The dotted line represents the equivalent AWGN pdf.

Figure 2-31 Cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the in-phase component of the UWB interference signal at the UMTS receiver, after IF filtering, for a pulse repetition frequency (PRF) of 10 Mpps. The dotted line represents the equivalent AWGN pdf.
Figure 2-32 Probability density function (pdf) of the in-phase component of the UWB interference signal at the UMTS receiver, after IF filtering, for a pulse repetition frequency (PRF) of 100 Mpps. The dotted line represents the equivalent AWGN pdf.

Figure 2-33 Cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the in-phase component of the UWB interference signal at the UMTS receiver, after IF filtering, for a pulse repetition frequency (PRF) of 100 Mpps. The dotted line represents the equivalent AWGN pdf.
Figure 2-34 Probability density function (pdf) of the quadrature component of the UWB interference signal at the UMTS receiver, after IF filtering, for a pulse repetition frequency (PRF) of 1 Mpps. The dotted line represents the equivalent AWGN pdf.

Figure 2-35 Cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the quadrature component of the UWB interference signal at the UMTS receiver, after IF filtering, for a pulse repetition frequency (PRF) of 1 Mpps. The dotted line represents the equivalent AWGN pdf.
Figure 2-36 Probability density function (pdf) of the quadrature component of the UWB interference signal at the UMTS receiver, after IF filtering, for a pulse repetition frequency (PRF) of 10 Mpps. The dotted line represents the equivalent AWGN pdf.

Figure 2-37 Cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the quadrature component of the UWB interference signal at the UMTS receiver, after IF filtering, for a pulse repetition frequency (PRF) of 10 Mpps. The dotted line represents the equivalent AWGN pdf.
Figure 2-38 Probability density function (pdf) of the quadrature component of the UWB interference signal at the UMTS receiver, after IF filtering, for a pulse repetition frequency (PRF) of 100 Mpps. The dotted line represents the equivalent AWGN pdf.

Figure 2-39 Cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the quadrature component of the UWB interference signal at the UMTS receiver, after IF filtering, for a pulse repetition frequency (PRF) of 100 Mpps. The dotted line represents the equivalent AWGN pdf.
2.7 Discussion

Figure 2-16, Figure 2-17 and Figure 2-18 show the UWB signal as received at the input of the UMTS receiver for the pulse repetition frequencies (PRF) of 1 Mpps, 10 Mpps and 100 Mpps, respectively. The difference in the amplitude of the received pulses for each PRF is due to the compensation in the transmission level to keep the power spectral density constant.

Figure 2-19, Figure 2-20 and Figure 2-21 illustrate the UMTS receiver’s front-end filter response to the UWB interfering signal at the pulse repetition frequencies of 1 Mpps, 10 Mpps and 100 Mpps. As previously stated, the difference in the amplitude of the received pulses for each PRF is due to the compensation in the transmission level to maintain the power spectral density constant. These responses demonstrate how the UWB interference becomes less impulsive as the PRF increases, since the filter’s response to each pulse tends to overlap to that of adjacent pulses as the rate increases. This effect is particularly evident on the filter’s response to the 100 Mpps UWB interference.

Figure 2-22, Figure 2-23 and Figure 2-24 show the IF in-phase component of the UMTS receiver response to the UWB signal for the pulse repetition frequencies of 1 Mpps, 10 Mpps and 100 Mpps, respectively. Similarly, Figure 2-25, Figure 2-26 and Figure 2-27 show the IF quadrature responses for the same signals. Both the in-phase and quadrature waveforms for the 1Mpps signal show a distinctive burstiness, whereas the 10 Mpps and 100 Mpps waveforms suggest randomness. The IF stage’s response to the UWB pulse train is a sequence of IF impulse responses, whose durations are inversely proportional to the IF bandwidth. When the pulse repetition frequency is less than the IF bandwidth, each single impulse response settles before the next pulse, resulting in an overall IF response equal to a sequence of individual impulse responses. In this situation, the relative timing between pulses is preserved.

When the pulse rate exceeds the IF bandwidth, the individual impulse responses will overlap and the resulting signal will depend on the phase relationships among the overlapping components. If the UWB signal has no dithering, the IF response shows spectral lines at harmonics of the pulse repetition frequency, whereas if the IF center frequency coincides with one of the harmonics, the individual impulse responses will be
in phase, causing the IF response to be constant. If the UWB signal is dithered and the average rate exceeds the IF bandwidth, the IF filter’s output appears noise-like, tending to a Gaussian behavior.

Figure 2-28 to Figure 2-33 illustrate the probability density functions (pdf) cumulative distribution functions (cdf) of the in-phase voltage component of the UWB signal at the UMTS victim receiver, after the IF stage, for the pulse repetition frequencies (prf) of 1 Mpps, 10 Mpps and 100 Mpps, respectively. Figure 2-34 to Figure 2-39 show the corresponding quadrature voltage component for the same signals. The equivalent Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN) pdf is also plotted in each chart, to allow for a direct comparison against the UWB signal. These results show the evident signal departure from Gaussian statistics on the 1Mpps case, i.e., when PRF < IF. They also show that for PRF > IF – both the 10 Mpps and 100 Mpps, the signal closely follows a Gaussian behavior. In all cases, the in-phase and quadrature voltage components present similar response.

2.8 Multi-band OFDM Interference on Narrowband Receivers

Multi-band Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (MB-OFDM) has also been considered as viable physical layer for ultra wideband systems. Differently from the impulse radio, or carrierless, approach described and analyzed in the previous sections of this study, MB-OFDM utilizes a multiplicity of simultaneous narrowband sub-carriers, or tones, to transmit information. The data rate capacity in each tone is small, but the composite effect of many such tones (in the order of hundreds) yields the potential for a very high overall data rate. Additionally, multiple frequency blocks are employed, enabling the utilization of frequency hopping for channelization and multiple access. The narrowband characteristic provides for multipath fading robustness, as a fading null is likely to affect only one tone at any given point in time, allowing the cyclic prefix to preserve the orthogonality between carriers. This results in little degradation to the overall data rate capacity. Narrowband interference robustness is also achieved by the same principle.
The effect of MB-OFDM UWB interference on narrowband receivers has been investigated and detailed studies are available in the literature. References [118,120] derive exact bit error rate (BER) formulae for a BPSK narrowband receiver in the presence of MB-OFDM UWB interference and fading, showing that the Gaussian approximation is very accurate for arbitrary narrowband signal bandwidths. Simulations presented in Reference [119] show that MB-OFDM UWB interference on a narrowband receiver closely follows the Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN) behavior for a MB-OFDM signal hopping over three bands, which is the minimum mandatory configuration specified for UWB devices using MB-OFDM.

2.9 Summary

This chapter focused on describing the generic mathematical model of the UWB signal and on determining its power spectral density (PSD). The power spectral density measures the average power per Hz as a function of the frequency. The expressions derived in Section 2.2 show that the PSD of the UWB signal generally has a continuous and a discrete component. Depending on the pulse shape, modulation and dithering properties of the UWB signal, both components will vary and the PSD will present a different aspect.

In addition, we determined that the amount of interference caused by an UWB signal on a narrowband system depends primarily on the bandwidth of the intermediate frequency (IF) stage of the victim receiver, as the front-end stage generally offers a much wider passband. The resulting interference is the consequence of the convolution of the UWB interfering signal with the impulse response of the victim receiver’s IF stage passband filter. In the frequency domain that result can be represented as the linear product between the spectrum of the UWB interfering signal and the IF stage’s passband transfer function.

The effects of UWB interference on a narrowband receiver were investigated through simulations performed using a test setup. The setup consisted of a dithered UWB signal source transmitting at pulse repletion frequencies of 1 Mpps, 10 Mpps and 100 Mpps, with power spectral density emissions partially compliant with both the FCC and
ETSI emissions masks. The victim receiver consisted of a UMTS receiver operating at the center frequency of 2140 MHz, in compliance with the ITU-assigned bands for UMTS systems. The intermediate frequency (IF) bandwidth of the UMTS victim receiver is 3.84 MHz. The interference analysis used the signal path of a UMTS Dedicated Physical Channel (DPCH), which employs QPSK modulation. The simulations assumed a physical separation of 1 meter between the UWB interference source and the victim receiver, to model the expected typical situation where an UWB device would be near an UMTS portable phone.

The simulations show that when the UWB pulse repetition frequency (prf) is lower than the victim receiver’s IF bandwidth, the interference does not follow Gaussian statistics. Conversely, when the pulse repetition frequency exceeds the IF bandwidth, the interference presents a Gaussian behavior. Therefore, under these conditions, UWB interference can be modeled as Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN). These results, produced by means of detailed simulations, are in agreement with those presented in the literature [7,89], adding confidence to their validity and allowing their use in the next phases of this study.
Chapter 3
UWB Interference on UMTS: Cell Level Analysis

3.1 Introduction

This chapter presents an analysis of the effect of a single source of UWB interference on UMTS receivers. The analysis is carried out assuming a single-cell UMTS environment. The single source, single cell scenario allows for a clear understanding of the way a UWB interference source impacts UMTS receivers, corresponding to the building block that contributes to the interference effects in a network. Following the approach of link budget models, the analysis produces a first order quantitative estimate of the effects of UWB on UMTS. When properly scaled, this analysis supports the characterization of the impact of UWB on UMTS at the system level.

3.2 The Effects of Interference in the UMTS Radio Link

UMTS is based on spread spectrum techniques, employing wideband code division multiple access (WCDMA) with a RF bandwidth of 5 MHz. The system uses orthogonal spreading codes at a chip rate equal to 3.84 Mcps to distinguish data sequences from different users. In addition, scrambling sequences are used to allow the differentiation of terminals at the base station and vice-versa. All users share the same center frequency and bandwidth, making the total amount of intra-system interference (noise level) variable and a function of the number of active users. From a radio link budget perspective, the varying interference level implies variable link performance, which in turn suggests variable coverage. Therefore, the UMTS cell range is not fixed. It is rather described by a distribution function whose instantaneous value depends on the sum of the
received powers at the site. Since the aggregate received power is directly proportional to the number of simultaneous users, the cell range is also affected by the instantaneous traffic. Furthermore, UMTS offers multiple voice and data services, each imposing a different network load and requiring different link budgets, thus affecting the cell ranges and their distribution in a different manner. The quantification of the effects of a coexisting system - UWB in this case, on a UMTS network, must consider the interdependency of coverage and capacity. It must also account for the service mix supported by the network and the influence it has on both. This chapter investigates the impact of UWB interference on the UMTS cell range (coverage), while the impact on capacity is deferred to the next chapter.

3.2.1 The UWB Interference Model

The total noise power at a UMTS base station receiver depends on the receiver’s noise figure and the interference power contributions from the uplinks of the active users in that cell. It also depends on the interference power contribution from other cells’ active users – both their downlink and uplink. The load factor, or cell load quantifies the amount of interference, or load, a cell experiences as a function of the number of users and other system characteristics. For the uplink, the load factor ($\eta_{UL}$) is defined as [101]

$$\eta_{UL} = (1 + i) \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{W} \left( \frac{E_b}{N_o} \right)_j R_j v_j$$

Equation 3-1

where:

- $i$ : Ratio of other cell to own cell interference;
- $N$ : Number of active users;
- $W$ : UMTS carrier bandwidth;
- $R_j$ : Bit rate of user $j$;
- $(E_b/N_o)_j$ : Energy per user bit per noise spectral density for user $j$; and
\( \nu_j \): activity factor of user \( j \).

Similarly, the downlink load factor (\( \eta_{DL} \)) can be defined as [101]

\[
\eta_{DL} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \nu_j \left( \frac{E_b}{N_0} \right)_j \left[ (1 - \alpha_j) + i_j \right],
\]

Equation 3-2

where \( \alpha_j \) represents the orthogonality factor of the downlink spreading codes, varying from 0 (no orthogonality) to 1 (complete orthogonality). In the absence of multipath propagation, the orthogonality is preserved when the signal is received by the mobile. However, in the presence of multipath, the radio channel delay spread may cause the mobile receiver to detect the delayed versions of the signal as multiple access interference. When this happens the orthogonality is compromised.

The simulation results presented in Chapter 2 show that UWB interference on a narrowband receiver can be modeled as additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) if the UWB pulse repetition frequency (prf) is greater than the victim receiver’s intermediate frequency (IF) bandwidth. When a UMTS receiver is subject to UWB interference from one or multiple sources, the aggregate interference power will appear to the victim receiver as noise rise, contributing to the noise power at the receiver. When the UWB interference is much smaller than the receiver noise power, the degradation is negligible. Generically, the noise rise is defined as the ratio of the total received wideband power (\( I_T \)) to the noise power (\( P_N \))

\[
N_{rise} = \frac{I_T}{P_N}.
\]

In the uplink, the noise rise is related to the load factor by Equation 3-4 [100]. When \( \eta_{UL} \) increases and approaches 1, the noise rise approaches infinity and the system reaches its pole capacity.
\[ N_{\text{rise}} = \frac{1}{1 - \eta_{UL}} \]  

Equation 3-4

The load factor ties coverage and capacity in UMTS. Interference sources external to the UMTS network, such as UWB, will be perceived as noise rise and directly affect the load factor. The greater the noise rise, the higher is the load factor, implying that UWB interference to a UMTS cell will directly affect its coverage and traffic-serving capacity. Therefore, the UWB interference can be factored in the UMTS uplink radio link budget as an interference margin, allowing for a first order estimation of its impact in coverage and capacity.

3.2.2 Single-Source UWB Interference on UMTS Cells

Few references in the available literature offer a specific detailed treatment of the single-source interference scenario, particularly in regards to the UWB interference source-UMTS victim receiver physical separation and its impact on cell range analysis. One can argue that the topic has little absolute relevance, because the situation is unlikely and unrealistic, so a detailed study does not offer much insight into the overall problem. However, in the context of this research, the single-source model grows in importance, because it is used as a building block to the system level interference analysis discussed in Chapter 4. Reference [37] performs simulations at the 900 MHz (GSM) and 2GHz (UMTS) bands, but presents results for worst-case scenarios. In that study the effects of the radio channel are ignored, i.e., path loss and multipath are not considered, making the UWB interference much more pronounced than it actually is, thus limiting the value of the results. In [42], the interference limit for a single UWB-UMTS link is calculated, but no cell range analysis is developed, as that study focus on an indoor interference simulation investigation. In [7], a single source, single cell range analysis is presented, but the study is limited to a single UMTS service class. Reference [116] presents the results for a laboratory UWB-UMTS interference test. In that study, a UWB signal was applied to a UMTS mobile phone via a variable attenuator and the BER of the UMTS
downlink was measured as the UWB signal attenuation changed. In the same setup, the noise rise was also measured. Both measurements can be valuable as a link quality estimator, but cannot be readily mapped to cell range data and/or UWB-UMTS physical separation. In this study, we develop a detailed single-source, single-cell interference model aimed at allowing for the determination of the variation of the cell range as a function of the physical separation between the UWB interference source and the UMTS victim receiver. The model addresses both the UMTS downlink and uplink.

### 3.3 Considerations on UWB Propagation Modeling

Conventional path loss modeling based on the Friis transmission formula has been derived for narrowband channels, where the change in the received power over the signal bandwidth is negligible [110,111,121]. The frequency dependency in that formulation comes from the relation between the gain of the antennas and their effective aperture, implying that for constant gain antennas, the received signal will vary as a function of the frequency [121]. Since UWB signals can occupy several octave, or even decade bandwidths, if the transmit and receive antennas do not present constant gain over the band, the Friis transmission formula can yield misleading results. In this research, we adopt the constant gain assumption, therefore allowing for the use of path loss modeling based on the Friis transmission formula for UWB propagation modeling. In the analyses carried out in this work, the portion of the total UWB signal bandwidth of relevance to the victim receiver is very small, equating to the channel bandwidth of the interfered system. Thus, for the purpose of the studies discussed herein, the UWB signal can be treated as narrowband, with no practical impact to the rigor of the results. Nevertheless, the compensation of the model for antennas with constant aperture can be straightforwardly achieved, as indicated in [121], should different assumptions be required.
Conventionally, the received signal in a mobile radio link is estimated using path loss models of the form [109]

\[ P_r(d) = P_r(d_o) \left( \frac{d_o}{d} \right)^\alpha, \]  

Equation 3-5

where \( d_o \) is a reference distance, \( \alpha \) is an arbitrary path loss exponent and \( P_r(d_o) \) is the received power at the reference distance, in the far-field of the transmitting antenna. Reference [121] presents a theoretical dissection of the Friis transmission formula, supported by detailed UWB large- and small-scale propagation measurements. That study shows that while the antennas may introduce frequency dependency to the received power, the channel does not. Therefore, the traditional path loss model given in equation 3-5 is valid for UWB path loss calculations.

In the scenarios considered in this research, the UWB interferer can be in close proximity to the 3G victim receiver, at separations as small as 1 m or less, implying proximity between the transmit and receive antennas involved in the link. This invites scrutiny of the validity of the antenna radiation properties at such separation distances.

The space surrounding an antenna can be subdivided into three regions: the reactive near-field, the radiating near-field (Fresnel) and the far-field (Fraunhofer) regions. These regions identify the field structure in each, but no abrupt changes in the field configurations, in the transition between them are noted. The reactive near-field region is the portion of the near-field region immediately surrounding the antenna, wherein the reactive field predominates. The radiating near-field, or *Fresnel field*, is the region of the field of an antenna between the reactive near-field region and the far-field region, wherein radiation fields predominate and wherein the angular field distribution is dependent upon the distance from the antenna. The far-field, also known as *Fraunhofer region*, is the region of the field of an antenna where the angular field distribution is essentially independent of the distance from the antenna [122]. Propagation models based on the Friis transmission formula are valid for distances \( d \) in the far-field of the
transmitting antenna. The *Fraunhofer distance* demarcates the boundary between the radiating near-field and the far-field, being given by [122]

\[ d_f = \frac{2D^2}{\lambda}, \]  

Equation 3-6

where \( D \) is the largest physical linear dimension of the antenna. \( d_f \) must satisfy the condition \( d_f \gg D \). Figure 3-1 plots the far-field distance as a function of the largest linear dimension of the antenna for different frequencies around the band utilized for 3G wireless networks. It is apparent from the chart that the far-field distance is less than 10 cm, or 0.1 m, for antennas with linear distance under approximately 9 cm. The form factor of most third-generation wireless devices conceals the antenna in the device’s case, forcing the physical dimension of the antenna to be very small, usually under 3 cm. Similar physical dimensions apply to the radiating element in UWB devices, which in many applications use patch antennas. Therefore, the use of the far-field distance of 0.1 m as the reference distance complies with the conditions for the validity of the propagation model described in equation 3-5.

![Figure 3-1 Far-field, or Fraunhofer distance, as a function of the physical linear dimension of the antenna.](image-url)
3.4 The UWB-UMTS Single-Source, Single-Cell Model

The study and results presented herein are based on link budget analyses, on which the noise rise, sometimes also referred to as interference margin, is used as the entry point for factoring in the UWB interference. This model, albeit conceptually simple, provides a straightforward methodology for the single-source interference analysis. The different services supported in UMTS have distinct link budget requirements, since the bit rate, $E_b/N_0$, and processing gain vary for each service. The analyses presented in this work consider three service types – speech, real time data and non-real time data, simulated in different environments, as described:

1. Vehicular speech, macrocell: models voice service using the AMR (Adaptive Modulation Rate) vocoder with bit rate equal to 12.2 Kbps in an in-car scenario. This test case simulates mobile users utilizing UMTS voice services while in transit, for example, on a moving train.

2. Outdoor speech, microcell: this scenario models voice service using the AMR vocoder with bit rate equal to 12.2 Kbps in an outdoor environment. This test case simulates mobile users utilizing UMTS voice services while outdoors, for example, standing or walking on a street or park.

3. Indoor speech, macrocell: this scenario models voice service using the AMR vocoder with bit rate equal to 12.2 Kbps in an indoor environment. This test case simulates mobile users utilizing UMTS voice services while indoors, for example, standing or walking inside an office or residential building.

4. Vehicular real time data, macrocell: models real time circuit switched data (CSD) at 144 Kbps in an in-car scenario. This test case simulates mobile users utilizing UMTS data services while in transit, for example, on a moving train.

5. Outdoor real time data, microcell: models real time circuit switched data (CSD) at 144 Kbps in an outdoor environment. The UMTS terminal is served by a microcell and there is line-of-sight between the UWB
interference source and the victim receiver. This test case simulates mobile users utilizing UMTS data services while outdoors, for example, standing or walking on a street or park.

6. Vehicular non-real time data, macrocell: models non-real time packet switched data (PSD) at 384 Kbps in an in-car scenario. This test case simulates mobile users using UMTS data services while in transit, for example, on a moving train.

7. Outdoor non-real time data, microcell: models non-real time circuit switched data (PSD) at 384 Kbps in an outdoor environment. This test case simulates mobile users utilizing UMTS data services while outdoors, for example, standing or walking on a street or park.

All the test cases presume the UWB interference source to have line-of-sight to the UMTS victim receiver. This is the situation expected in the majority of cases where interference between UWB and UMTS may occur. UWB is expected to find applications primarily in portable devices, which would be in close proximity to UMTS portable phones and data devices.

### 3.4.1 Downlink Model

The path loss between the UWB device and the UMTS receiver was calculated using the free space propagation model. No lognormal fading margins were considered. The penetration losses used in the modeling are listed in Table 3-1 [101]. They apply to the UMTS link budgets only, i.e., between base station and mobile terminal. The UMTS mobile terminal link budget parameters common to all scenarios are summarized in Table 3-2 [102] and the UMTS base station parameters are listed in Table 3-3 [102].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UMTS victim receiver location</th>
<th>UWB interferer location</th>
<th>UMTS Penetration Loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor</td>
<td>Outdoor</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-car</td>
<td>In-car</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor</td>
<td>Indoor</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3-2  UMTS mobile terminal parameters assumed in the link budget analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Voice Terminal</th>
<th>Data terminal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Transmission Power</td>
<td>21 dBm</td>
<td>24 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna gain</td>
<td>0 dBi omnidirectional</td>
<td>0 dBi omnidirectional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise Figure</td>
<td>9 dB</td>
<td>9 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body shielding loss</td>
<td>3 dB</td>
<td>0 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3-3  UMTS base station parameters assumed in the link budget analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Macrocell</th>
<th>Microcell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum TX Power (TCH)</td>
<td>30 dBm</td>
<td>20 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable loss</td>
<td>2.0 dB</td>
<td>2.0 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna Gain</td>
<td>17 dBi sectorized</td>
<td>11 dBi omnidirectional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System noise figure</td>
<td>5.0 dB</td>
<td>5.0 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The UWB interference source was modeled as a generic ultra wideband device, generating AWGN-like interference. This generic approach can be applied to any UWB technology, since the bandwidth of interest for the analysis of UMTS interference is small compared to that of the UWB signal. The UWB transmitter power was calculated to provide compliance with the power spectral density (PSD) emissions masks determined by the FCC for outdoor and indoor applications, as illustrated in Figure 2-10 [5]. The frequency bands designated for UMTS FDD (Frequency Division Duplex) operation are listed in Table 3-4 [103]. Band I UTRA Absolute Radio Frequency Channel Number (UARFCN) 10638, corresponding to the center frequency 2127.6 MHz, was used in the downlink simulations. UARFCN 9688, corresponding to the center frequency 1937.6 MHz, was used in the uplink. Variations in the results due to the use of other channels are expected to be small and due primarily to the differences in the path loss between the UWB interferer and the UMTS victim receiver. Each UMTS channel has 5 MHz of bandwidth. For the simulations presented in this study, the IF (Intermediate Frequency) receiver bandwidth was set to 4.096 MHZ, as determined in [102]. Considering the UWB transmitter in compliance with the FCC power spectral density
emissions masks for indoor and outdoor operation, the equivalent UWB power levels in the UMTS receiver bandwidth were calculated by equation (3-5).

\[ P_{UWB\_UMTS} = PSD_{UWB\_FCC} + 10 \log B_{IF\_UMTS}, \quad \text{Equation 3-7} \]

where:

- \( P_{UWB\_UMTS} \): Power of the UWB signal in the UMTS receiver’s intermediate frequency bandwidth
- \( PSD_{UWB\_FCC} \): FCC power spectral density emission limit for the UWB signal; and
- \( B_{IF\_UMTS} \): Intermediate frequency (IF) bandwidth of the UMTS receiver.

The results are summarized in Table 3-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Uplink (MHz)</th>
<th>Downlink (MHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1920 - 1980</td>
<td>2110 - 2170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>1850 - 1910</td>
<td>1930 - 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>1710 – 1785</td>
<td>1805 - 1880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1710 - 1755</td>
<td>2110 - 2155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>824 – 849</td>
<td>869 – 894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>830 – 840</td>
<td>875 - 885</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>FCC PSD (dBm/MHz)</th>
<th>UMTS IF Bandwidth (MHz)</th>
<th>UWB Transmitter Power (dBm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indoor</td>
<td>-51.3</td>
<td>4.096</td>
<td>-45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor</td>
<td>-61.3</td>
<td>4.096</td>
<td>-55.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The calculation of the path loss between the UWB interference source and the UMTS receiver assumed free-space condition and line-of-sight between the transmitter and the receiver. The path loss was calculated using the Friis equation

$$L_{UWB} = 32.44 + 20 \log d + 20 \log f ,$$

Equation 3-8

where:

$L_{UWB}$: Path loss, in dB;

$d$: Distance separating the UWB transmitter and the UMTS receiver, in Km; and

$f$: Frequency of the UWB interference signal, in MHz.

The UWB signal level at the UMTS victim receiver ($P_{R_{UWB}}$) can be calculated using the expression

$$P_{R_{UWB}} = P_{UWB_{UMTS}} - L_{UWB} .$$

Equation 3-9

The UWB interference on the UMTS receiver has the effect of adding to the noise power perceived by the demodulator following the intermediate frequency (IF) stage. Therefore, the UWB noise contribution must be included in the computation of the UMTS receiver sensitivity. The UMTS receiver noise power, in dBm, is calculated by

$$P_{N_{UMTS}} (dBm) = 10 \log (KTB) + 30 + F ,$$

Equation 3-10

where:

$P_{N_{UMTS}}$: Receiver noise power in dBm;

$K$: Boltzmann constant, $1.38 \times 10^{-23}$ J/K;

$T$: Noise temperature, in degrees Kelvin (in this work, the noise temperature used was 290 K);
$B$: Noise bandwidth, in Hz (for the analysis of noise power in the UMTS receiver the bandwidth considered was that of the intermediate frequency (IF) filter - $B_{w_{IF-UMTS}}$); and

$F$: System noise figure, in dB.

The UMTS receiver sensitivity, accounting for the effect of the desensitization caused by the UWB interference signal, the spread spectrum processing gain and signal-to-noise ratio requirement, can be computed as

$$P_{\text{min}} = 10 \log(10^{P_{\text{UMTS}}/10} + 10^{10 \log(10^{P_{\text{UMTS}}+N_{\text{use-UMTS}}/10} - 10^{P_{\text{UMTS}}/10})} + 10^{P_{\text{UWB}}/10}) - G_p + \frac{E_b}{N_o},$$

Equation 3-11

where:

$P_{\text{min}}$: UMTS receiver sensitivity, in dBm;

$P_{\text{UMTS}}$: UMTS receiver noise power, in dBm;

$N_{\text{use-UMTS}}$: Noise rise caused by UMTS users, in dB;

$P_{\text{UWB}}$: UWB signal level at the UMTS victim receiver, in dBm;

$G_p$: Spread spectrum processing gain, in dB (the processing gain is defined as $10 \log(W/R)$, with $W$ being the chip rate and $R$ the user bit rate); and

$\frac{E_b}{N_o}$: Signal energy per bit divided by noise spectral density, in dB.

The value of $\frac{E_b}{N_o}$ depends on the UMTS type of service under consideration, depending primarily on the user data rate. The values applied in this study are shown in Table 3-6 for each UMTS service and cell type.
Table 3-6 \( E_b / N_o \) requirements for the service types considered in the simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>( E_b / N_o ) (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voice</td>
<td>Macrocell - Vehicular</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice</td>
<td>Microcell/Macrocell - Indoor</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Switched Data (CSD) – 144 Kbps</td>
<td>Macrocell - Vehicular</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Switched Data (CSD) – 144 Kbps</td>
<td>Microcell</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet Switched Data (PSD) – 384 Kbps</td>
<td>Macrocell - Vehicular</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet Switched Data (PSD) – 384 Kbps</td>
<td>Microcell</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reduced sensitivity of the UMTS receiver due to UWB interference will be apparent in the cell range. In the downlink, a UWB interference source in close proximity to a mobile terminal can result in an increase in the noise rise, affecting the receiver’s ability to detect the serving cell’s signal. The stronger the UWB interference, the lower the UMTS receiver sensitivity, which implies reduced cell range. The maximum path loss between the UMTS base station and the mobile terminal is determined by

\[
L_{\text{UMTS}} = P_{T_{\text{bs}}} + G_{A_{B_{\text{S}}, B_{\text{S}}}} - P_{\text{min}} + G_{A_{M}} - M_{F}, \tag{3-12}
\]

where:

- \( L_{\text{UMTS}} \): Maximum path loss between the UMTS base station and the mobile terminal, in dB;
- \( P_{T_{\text{bs}}} \): UMTS base station transmitter power, in dBm (the traffic channel (TCH) power was used in the analysis);
- \( G_{A_{B_{\text{S}}, B_{\text{S}}}} \): UMTS base station transmission antenna gain, in dB (the bore sight gain was considered in the analysis);
- \( P_{\text{min}} \): UMTS receiver sensitivity, in dBm, as defined by equation (3-9);
- \( G_{A_{M}} \): Mobile terminal antenna gain, in dB; and
- \( M_{F} \): Fast fading margin, in dB.
This margin $M_F$ is applied in the link budget analysis of slow moving mobiles, where the UMTS closed loop power control is able to effectively compensate for fast fading. Reference [106] presents a comprehensive study of the effect of fast fading on the UMTS power control.

The maximum propagation loss is determined by considering additional loss and gain factors that affect the radio link. The lognormal fading, or shadowing fading, is considered as a margin factor, to provide the desired confidence level in the maximum cell range. In this study, a $\sigma=10$dB [102] lognormal fading margin was applied to all scenarios. The signal penetration loss is also considered, to account for in-car and in-building losses, depending on the link budget scenario. The maximum propagation loss is computed as

$$L_{\text{UMTS}_{\text{max}}} = L_{\text{UMTS}} - \sigma - L_p,$$

Equation 3-13

where:

$L_{\text{UMTS}_{\text{max}}}$: Maximum UMTS propagation loss, in dB;

$L_{\text{UMTS}}$: Maximum path loss between the UMTS base station and the mobile terminal, in dB, as defined by equation (3-9);

$\sigma$: Lognormal fading margin, in dB; and

$L_p$: Penetration loss, in dB.

The maximum cell range can be computed using the Hata empirical propagation model [107,108,109,110,111]. This model is computationally straightforward, while providing realistic results, being one of the most widely used propagation models for signal prediction in urban areas [109]. Other suitable propagation models such as the Lee and the Walfisch-Ikegami models can be applied as well. Differences in the maximum range results may occur, but the trend in the behavior of the cell range as a function of the UWB interference is similar. The Hata equation for the path loss of an urban macro cell with base station antenna height of 15 m, mobile antenna height of 1.5m and carrier frequency of 1950 MHz is [111]
\[ L = 137.4 + 35.2 \log(R), \quad \text{Equation 3-14} \]

where:
\( L \): Path loss, in dB; and
\( R \): Distance between the UMTS base station and the mobile receiver, in Km.

For suburban areas, a correction factor of 8 dB is applied to Equation 3-11 to compensate for the lower attenuation in the environment. For the base station antenna height of 30 m, mobile antenna height of 1.5 m and carrier frequency of 1950 MHz, the Hata path loss equation for suburban macro cells becomes [111]

\[ L = 129.4 + 35.2 \log(R), \quad \text{Equation 3-15} \]

The maximum cell range for urban and suburban environments can be determined by applying Equation 3-11 to Equations 3-12 and 3-13, respectively.

### 3.4.2 Discussion of Downlink Results

Figure 3-2 and Figure 3-3 illustrate the maximum UMTS downlink cell range as a function of the separation between the UWB interference source and the UMTS victim receiver for the seven service classes described previously. Figure 3-2 shows the maximum UMTS cell range in an urban propagation environment and Figure 3-3 shows the equivalent for a suburban environment. Both charts demonstrate that the cell range can be significantly affected by UWB interference when the UWB device is near the UMTS victim receiver, particularly when the separation is less than 5 m. The most critical scenario, as expected, is that of a UWB device co-located with the UMTS mobile, when the cell range is the shortest for all service classes. Furthermore, both charts show that when the separation exceeds 5 m, the range does not suffer any further degradation, becoming independent of the separation between the UWB device and the UMTS receiver. The curves presented in both charts reflect the total interference at the UMTS
receiver, i.e., the combination of the UWB noise rise and the UMTS internal noise rise. As the separation increases, the UWB interference lessens, but the UMTS interference remains constant. Therefore, the UWB contribution to the total interference declines, having negligible effect on the cell range. For the indoor scenario depicted in both charts, the cell range is more affected by the separation between the UWB device and the UMTS victim, because of the 10 dB of additional power allowed for UWB devices operating indoors, according to the FCC emissions masks.

Figure 3-2 Urban UMTS maximum cell range as a function of the separation between the UWB interference source and the UMTS victim receiver. The model assumes line-of-sight between the UWB interferer and the UMTS victim receiver.

Figure 3-3 Suburban UMTS maximum cell range as a function of the separation between the UWB interference source and the UMTS victim receiver. The model assumes line-of-sight between the UWB interferer and the UMTS victim receiver.
3.4.3 Uplink Model

The UWB interference in the UMTS uplink can be modeled based on the same principles proposed for the downlink. In this case, the UMTS base station receiver is subject to the UWB interference. The UMTS base station antenna is generally positioned at greater heights than the UWB devices, since they are installed on towers. In turn, UWB devices are expected to be portable devices, likely to be at heights similar to those of mobile phones. Because of this height difference, the uplink interference model must account for the minimum coupling loss (MCL). The minimum coupling loss represents the minimum path loss between the UWB source and the UMTS base station receiving antenna, due to their different antenna heights. Moreover, the UMTS receiving antenna generally presents narrow elevation beamwidth, by virtue of the intended directional radiation pattern. The antenna gain at the elevation angle where the UWB interference source is located must also be considered in the interference model. The geometry for the modeling of the uplink interference is presented in Figure 3-4. Table 3-7 shows the base station and mobile antenna heights assumed in the study for the different combinations of propagation environment and cell type.

![Figure 3-4](image.png)

Figure 3-4 Geometry for the modeling of UWB interference in the UMTS uplink. The gain of the UMTS base station receive antenna is determined by its distance to the UWB interference source.
Table 3-7 Antenna heights above ground level (AGL) assumed in the analysis of UWB interference on the UMTS uplink.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Base Station Antenna Height (m)</th>
<th>UWB Antenna Height (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban - Macrocell</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban - Macrocell</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban - Microcell</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban - Microcell</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the calculation of the UWB interference to a UMTS base station, the height of the base station antenna (radiation center above ground level) represents a significant portion of the total separation distance. Hence, the elevation angle from the UWB device to the UMTS receiving antenna bore sight is high, causing the antenna gain to be substantially lower than the bore sight nominal value. The vertical gain as a function of the elevation angle ($\theta$) of a typical directional UMTS antenna is illustrated in Figure 3-5. The data plotted in the chart is for Andrew Corporation’s antenna model UMW-06517-2DH [112]. This antenna has 65° of horizontal beamwidth and 4° of vertical beamwidth. The nominal gain is 17.5 dBi at bore sight, with two degrees of electrical downtilt and operation band ranging from 1920 MHz to 2170 MHz. Figure 3-6 illustrates the vertical pattern of a typical omnidirectional antenna for a UMTS microcell. The pattern corresponds to Andrew’s DB909E-U. The antenna has 7° of vertical beamwidth and nominal gain of 11 dBi at bore sight. The operation band ranges from 1920 MHz to 2170 MHz.
Figure 3-5 Vertical gain of a typical UMTS directional antenna as a function of the elevation angle. The data is for Andrew Corporation’s antenna model UMW-06517-2DH. The antenna has 65° of horizontal beamwidth and 4° of vertical beamwidth. The nominal gain is 17.5 dBi at bore sight, with two degrees of electrical downtilt and operation band ranging from 1920 MHz to 2170 MHz.

Figure 3-6 Vertical gain of a typical UMTS microcell omnidirectional antenna as a function of the elevation angle. The data is for Andrew Corporation’s antenna model DB 909E-U. The antenna 4° of vertical beamwidth and nominal gain of 11 dBi at bore sight. The operation band ranges from 1920 MHz to 2170 MHz.

Equation 3-7 can be modified to include the UMTS base station receive antenna gain, to properly model the uplink:
where:

\[ P_{R\text{UWB}} = P_{UWB\text{UMTS}} - L_{UWB} + G_{\text{A}_{\text{RX AS}}} (\theta), \]  

Equation 3-16

\[ \text{where:} \]

\[ P_{R\text{UWB}} : \text{UWB signal level at the UMTS base station victim receiver, in dBm;} \]

\[ P_{UWB\text{UMTS}} : \text{Power of the UWB signal in the UMTS receiver’s bandwidth, in dBm;} \]

\[ L_{UWB} : \text{Path loss, in dB (the free space model described by equation (3-6) was used to determine the path loss between the UWB device and the UMTS victim base station); and} \]

\[ G_{\text{A}_{\text{RX AS}}} (\theta) : \text{UMTS base station receiving antenna gain as a function of the elevation angle, in dB.} \]

The base station receiver sensitivity can be determined by

\[ P_{\text{min}} = 10 \log(10^{P_{\text{UWB}}/10} + 10^{10\log(10^{P_{\text{UMTS}}/N_{\text{rise UMTS}}} - 10^{P_{\text{UWB}}/10})} + 10^{P_{\text{UWB}}/10}) - G_p + \frac{E_b}{N_o}, \]  

Equation 3-17

\[ \text{where:} \]

\[ P_{\text{min}} : \text{UMTS receiver sensitivity, in dBm;} \]

\[ P_{\text{UMTS}} : \text{UMTS receiver noise power, in dBm;} \]

\[ N_{\text{rise UMTS}} : \text{Noise rise caused by UMTS users, in dB;} \]

\[ P_{\text{UWB}} : \text{UWB signal level at the UMTS base station victim receiver, in dBm;} \]

\[ G_p : \text{Spread spectrum processing gain, in dB (the processing gain is defined as} \]

\[ 10 \log(W/R), \text{ with } W \text{ being the chip rate and } R \text{ the user bit rate); and} \]

\[ E_b/\sqrt{N_o} : \text{Signal energy per bit divided by noise spectral density, in dB.} \]

The value of \( E_b/\sqrt{N_o} \) depends on the UMTS type of service under consideration, fluctuating primarily with the user data rate. The values applied in this study are shown in Table 3-6 for each UMTS service and cell type.
Similarly to the downlink analysis, the maximum UMTS uplink path loss can be computed by

$$L_{\text{UMTS,U}} = P_{\text{T_m}} + G_{\text{RX,BS}} - P_{\text{min}} + G_{\text{Ant,m}} - M_F,$$

Equation 3-18

where:

- $L_{\text{UMTS,U}}$: Uplink maximum path loss (between the mobile terminal and the UMTS base station), in dB;
- $P_{\text{T_m}}$: Mobile terminal transmission power, in dBm. The traffic channel (TCH) power was used in the analysis;
- $G_{\text{RX,BS}}$: UMTS base station receiving antenna gain, in dB. The boresight gain was considered in the analysis;
- $P_{\text{min}}$: UMTS base station receiver sensitivity, in dBm, as defined by equation (3-15);
- $G_{\text{Ant,m}}$: Mobile terminal antenna gain, in dB; and
- $M_F$: Fast fading margin, in dB.

The fast fading margin is applied in the link budget analysis of slow moving mobiles, where the UMTS closed loop power control is able to effectively compensate for fast fading. Reference [106] presents a comprehensive study of the effect of fast fading on the UMTS power control.

The maximum uplink propagation loss is determined by considering additional loss and gain factors that affect the radio link. The lognormal fading, or shadowing fading, is considered as a margin factor, to provide the desired confidence level in the maximum cell range. In this study, a $\sigma = 10$ dB [102] lognormal fading margin was applied to all scenarios. The signal penetration loss is also considered, to account for in-car and in-building losses, depending on the link budget scenario. The maximum propagation loss is computed as

$$L_{\text{UMTS,U}} = L_{\text{UMTS,U}} - \sigma - L_p.$$  

Equation 3-19
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where:

\[ L_{\text{UMTS}_{\text{max}U}} \] : Maximum uplink UMTS propagation loss, in dB;

\[ L_{\text{UMTS}_{U}} \] : Uplink maximum path loss (between the mobile terminal and the UMTS base station), in dB;

\( \sigma \) : Lognormal fading margin, in dB; and

\[ L_p \] : Penetration loss, in dB.

The Hata empirical propagation model, described by Equations 3-12 and 3-13 for urban and suburban environments, respectively, was used in the estimation of the maximum uplink cell range. The analysis assumed the base station and mobile antenna heights shown in Table 3-7.

### 3.4.4 Discussion of Uplink Results

The path loss between the UWB interferer and the victim UMTS base station receiver is plotted in Figure 3-7 for the base station antenna heights of 30 and 6 m, corresponding to the macrocell and microcell cases, respectively. The chart shows that for a separation of zero meters, i.e., when the UWB device is positioned underneath the base station antenna, the path loss is equal to 51.3 dB for a microcell and 67.3 dB for a macrocell. This initial path loss is named minimum coupling loss (MCL) and is due to the difference in the height of the UMTS base station and the UWB antennas. This initial loss, in conjunction with the elevation gain of the base station receive antenna, make the UWB interference in the uplink inconsequential. The level of UWB interference at the UMTS victim receiver is far below the receiver sensitivity of a typical UMTS base station receiver, as shown in Figure 3-8 for an outdoor UWB device. The chart shows the variation in the received UWB interference at the UMTS base station receiver, as a function of the separation between the UWB device and the base station, for an outdoor UWB device. In a vehicular or indoor scenario, additional attenuation of the UWB signal would be factored in, further reducing its impact on the victim UMTS receiver. The UMTS receiver sensitivity varies depending on the test case, ranging from \(-121.9\) dBm.
for the microcell outdoor speech service class to −111.5 dBm, for the macrocell vehicular non-real time data scenario. The UWB signal received at the base station, as shown in Figure 3-8, causes negligible noise rise, having insignificant impact on the uplink cell range.

![Figure 3-7 UWB uplink signal path loss as function of the separation between the UWB interferer and the UMTS base station receive antenna. The base station antenna height of 30 m corresponds to a macrocell, whereas the height of 6m corresponds to a microcell.](image)

![Figure 3-8 UWB uplink signal power at the UMTS victim receiver, as a function of the separation between the UWB interferer and the UMTS base station receiver antenna. Both plots refer to an outdoor UWB device. The macrocell plot corresponds to a UMTS antenna height of 30 m, whereas the microcell plot corresponds to an antenna height of 6 m.](image)
3.5 Summary

This chapter focused on investigating the effect of a single UWB interference source on a UMTS receiver. The study concentrated on the single cell, single user case, i.e., a stand-alone UMTS cell serving one user. The analysis was carried out for seven different classes of UMTS service: vehicular speech; macrocell, outdoor speech; microcell, indoor speech; macrocell, vehicular real time data; macrocell, outdoor real time data; microcell, vehicular non-real time data; macrocell and outdoor non-real time data; microcell. The speech services assumed a data rate of 12.2 Kbps, whereas the real time data used 144 Kbps and the non-real time data considered 384 Kbps.

A methodology for the estimation of the impact of the UWB interference on the UMTS receiver was developed, based on link budget models. It considers the UWB power in the UMTS channel bandwidth, accounting for the power spectral density emission limits currently regulated by the FCC. Should those limits change, the methodology remains valid. The proposed approach is valid for both the UMTS downlink and uplinks, with minor variations in the formulation.

The downlink analysis shows that a single UWB device at close distances from a UMTS mobile terminal – up to 3 meters for outdoor scenarios and 8 meters for indoor cases, can desensitize the receiver, resulting in a reduction of the maximum cell range. This is an important result, since in situations where the UMTS cell is heavily loaded the victim user may be driven out of range due to the UWB interference. Similarly, in the case of multiple UWB interference sources, the aggregate interference power may result in further range reduction. Therefore, the effect of UWB interference on the UMTS downlink cannot be ignored.

Conversely, the analysis of the effect of a single interference source on the uplink showed that UWB causes negligible impact. The difference in height between the UMTS base station receive antenna and the UWB interference source add extra path loss to the link (this factor is known as minimum coupling loss, or MCL), attenuating the UWB signal to a level that makes it harmless to the UMTS receiver. Additionally, the elevation pattern of the base station receive antenna further attenuates the signal, by virtue of its side lobes, given the high elevation angles of arrival of the UWB interference.
Chapter 4
UWB Interference on UMTS: System Level Analysis

4.1 Introduction

This chapter discusses the effects of multiple sources of UWB interference on a UMTS network comprised of many cells.

In the previous chapter, a link budget approach was employed to study the effect of UWB on a single user link. Despite being useful to provide a first order quantitative estimate of the impact of UWB on the UMTS radio link, that model offers limited insight into the dynamics of an actual network, as it can only assess one user link at any given time. Conventionally, the single-cell, single-interference source model lends itself to upper and lower bound estimations, assuming the extremes of maximum load or no or minimum load, for a given target $E_b/N_o$. Therefore, it cannot properly model the average link, nor is it able to provide information about the relative likelihood of that scenario occurring. In order to overcome these limitations, an adequate network-level analysis must be carried out with proper statistical treatment.

Numerous papers in the literature [7], [35], [37], [38], [41], [42], [123] describe simulations to assess the impact of UWB on UMTS with various levels of depth. Due to the complexities of a realistic model - including implementation and simulation time, those papers often make simplifying assumptions, some of which limit the thoroughness of the study. Moreover, the results presented in these studies often differ from one another, and in some cases are contradictory. For instance, [7] presents the results obtained on a small-scale simulator implemented on the MATLAB® platform. The results are derived from a setup with seven tri-sectored UMTS sites subject to interference originating from 350 uniformly distributed UWB devices in close proximity to UMTS users. The UMTS service mix is represented by three different service classes. While that study can lend insight into the basic behavior of the UMTS network in the presence of
UWB interference, it fails to depict the actual random dynamics of the UWB offenders. The results of that study show that UWB can be highly detrimental to the performance of UMTS networks. Reference [123] elaborates on the results in [7], suggesting that a UWB power backoff of 30 dB is needed if both systems are to coexist. Conversely, reference [42] describes a similar schema, but limits the analysis to an in-building UWB system. That study concludes that even for very large UWB device densities, there is no practical risk of interference on UMTS networks. Reference [37] limits the analysis to worst case scenarios, by not taking into account the radio channel effects. This simplification greatly limits the contribution of the study, as the radio channel directly affects the propagation conditions, therefore influencing the interaction between the UWB interference source and the UMTS victim receiver.

A comprehensive model of the UWB-UMTS coexistence problem should take into account the following key elements and variables:

- Accurate propagation modeling of UMTS cells, using models that benefit from digital terrain morphology data: this increases the confidence level on the coverage footprint of the UMTS network, allowing for better accuracy in the assessment of interference;
- Realistic representation of the UMTS site, with consideration of the antenna radiation pattern and site sectorization: this contributes to the correct modeling of the coverage footprint and determines the individual capacity performance of each site and sector;
- Emulation of technology-specific mechanisms aimed at improving network performance, such as Radio Resource Management (RRM), admission control, load control and power control: these mechanisms improve the network’s tolerance to interference, assisting in maintaining the performance as the network load changes;
- Diversity of UMTS service classes: UMTS networks support multiple classes of service, e.g., voice, circuit switched data and packet switched data. Each of these service classes will be affected by UWB interference in a different manner, depending on their data rate requirements; and
Realistic modeling of the interaction between the UWB interference sources and the UMTS victim receivers, taking into consideration the mobility of UMTS users and the randomness associated with the number and location of UWB interferers.

In general, the existing work on UWB-3G coexistence lacks a comprehensive treatment of the dynamics between both systems, whereby the key variables mentioned above are factored in into a single simulation engine. The contributions in this work aim at filling that gap, providing a deeper insight into the actual interaction between UWB and UMTS. They are particularly important to wireless carriers, which invested significant amounts of capital on licensed spectrum and network infrastructure. A mass uptake of the UWB technology can potentially harm the quality of service they offer, compromising their technical and financial situations.

We propose a methodology that exploits the key aspects described above by creating - unlike the models described in the literature - a simulation environment that accounts for all of them simultaneously. The thorough emulation of the behavior of both the UMTS and UWB systems allows us to derive results that more accurately reflect the actual dynamics between UWB and UMTS. It also allows for simulations that can account for the many possible different combinations of variables that can affect the performance of the UMTS network in the presence of UWB interference. With the proposed model, we show that UWB interference can be detrimental to the performance of UMTS networks. However, unlike in the studies presented in the literature, we present results derived from simulation scenarios that more closely resemble those expected when UWB reaches mass adoption. We also adopt a UMTS network model that better describes the size and topology of actual networks. Therefore, the methodology proposed in this study yields results based on a more complete set of input parameters and on more elaborate modeling, offering a consistent base for the study of the UWB-UMTS coexistence problem. This sets the contributions in this study apart from those in the literature, by avoiding simplifications and abstractions that lead to results of limited validity.
The solution proposed in this chapter employs a Monte Carlo model to randomize the positions of users and UWB interferers across a network of UMTS cells, simulating the dynamics of several simultaneous UMTS users and UWB interferers on multiple cells. The consolidation of the individual results of a large number of such Monte Carlo iterations provides for a statistically meaningful summary of the effects of UWB interference on the victim UMTS network.

The use of a Monte Carlo model offers further benefits. The basic mathematical formulation commonly used to relate the noise rise to traffic levels in UMTS link budgets (equation (3-1)), has been derived based on the assumption that the ratio of inter-cell to intra-cell interference, \( i \), is constant irrespective of the cell radius and load. This assumption does not reflect the reality. As users enter and leave the UMTS network, \( i \) varies, causing both the noise rise and load level to change. The Monte Carlo model dynamically captures these changes at each iteration by aggregating the interference from all offenders at all receivers during the simulation. In addition, the link budget model omits the effects of soft handover. The Monte Carlo algorithm accounts for the potential uplink gain by analyzing the possibility of a user being served by multiple cells, when applicable.

### 4.2 The UMTS System Level Simulator

Since the primary objective of this work is to propose a methodology for the analysis of UWB interference on UMTS networks and assess its results, the author chose not to invest research time on the development of the system level simulator, rather selecting a commercially available software package. The development of a system level simulator able to appropriately consider the key elements required in this work, would require an incommensurate amount of effort and could become the topic of an entirely new dissertation by itself. Some off-the-shelf commercially available packages offer the required features and allow the user to focus on analyzing simulations results, rather than on how to produce them. The choice was the CelPlanner™ Suite, by CelPlan Technologies, Inc. While selecting the most appropriate tool, the author pondered, amongst others, the following factors:
♦ Comprehensiveness of the simulation engine;
♦ Accuracy and reliability of the propagation module;
♦ User friendliness;
♦ Previous exposure to the tool, eliminating the learning curve to become proficient and productive with it;
♦ Access to the implementation details, allowing for the adequate understanding of the tool’s capabilities and limitations; and
♦ Ability to have changes and/or enhancements to the tool implemented, as required, so that the tool could suit the needs of the research.

Other available software packages considered were PlaNET EV, by Ericsson, Wizard, by Optimi Corporation and Atoll, by Forsk. Each package presented positive features, but had some limiting deficiencies. The CelPlanner Suite package offered the most flexibility and reporting capabilities, streamlining the research process.

4.2.1 Tool Description

The tool is comprised of several modules, each performing a distinct set of tasks commonly employed in the process of designing and optimizing a wireless network. It supports a variety of wireless technologies, including UMTS. Of particular interest to this dissertation are the propagation prediction and network traffic simulation modules, described in the following sections.

4.2.1.1 Propagation Prediction Module

This module relies on a digital elevation model (DEM) and on land use information to estimate the expected signal strength produced by a radio base station around its geographical location. The tool allows the user to choose which propagation
model to use, from a selection of the most common models discussed in the literature. It also allows for customization of the model parameters. Examples of widely employed models are the Lee, Hata-Okumura and COST 231 [108], [109], [110], [113]. There are five layers of geographical information available, with the first two used to create propagation data. They are:

1. Terrain elevation, or “Topography”;
2. Land use, or “Morphology” (also known as “clutter”);
3. Raster images, or “Images”;
4. Geographical landmarks in vectorial format, or “Vectors”; and
5. Polygons with user-define attributes, or “Regions”.

![Diagram](attachment:image.png)

**Figure 4-1** The propagation model utilizes the topography and morphology layers to produce propagation data.

The digital elevation model, referred to in the tool as Topography, offers information about the terrain elevation above the mean sea level (AMSL) at each geographical point. The data is available in raster format, and its resolution in arc sec, or meters, determines the area represented by each graphical pixel. Typical resolutions are 1 arc sec (approximately 30x30m per pixel) and 3 arc sec (approximately 90x90m per pixel). Figure 4-2 shows a graphical representation of the DEM layer.
Figure 4-2 Graphical example of the Digital Elevation Model (DEM) the propagation prediction module relies upon to estimate the signal strength produced by a radio base station. Each color shade represents a different elevation above the mean sea level (AMSL).

In addition to the terrain elevation, the tool also considers land use information in the propagation prediction process. The raster layer, named Morphology, contains a land cover attribute for each geographical point, following the same data resolution parameters described above for the topography layer. Both the topography and morphology layers are commonly produced from topographical maps, aerophotogrammetry, satellite imagery, or a combination of them. An example of the morphology layer is shown in Figure 4-3.

Figure 4-3 Graphical example of the land use (Morphology). Each color shade represents a different land use attribute, such as water, vegetation, roads, etc.
Both the topography and morphology layers can be taken into account in the propagation prediction process, although some propagation models do not take advantage of the latter. The variations on the terrain elevation, as well as natural and man-made obstacles, are considered in the estimation of path loss, as illustrated in Figure 4-4.

The Images layer is available as a graphical aid to the users, having no function in the propagation module. It can be used as a raster underlay to the prediction plots, to help the user visualize the range and footprint of the signal coverage, as well as compare it against geographical points of interest. The tool supports images of different scales, allowing the user to switch between scales depending on the desired level of detail. Figure 4-5 and Figure 4-6 illustrate two levels of detail. The first picture shows a raster image with scale 1:250,000, while the latter shows a zoomed-in portion of that area with scale 1:24,000.
Relying on the topography and - optionally, on the morphology, the propagation prediction module can create the radio signal strength footprint map for a radio base station transmitter. The tool uses the following user-defined input parameters:

- Geographical location of the transmitter (latitude and longitude);
- Transmitter antenna height above ground level (AGL);
- Composite antenna radiation pattern: elevation and azimuth;
- Link budget parameters: transmitter power, feeder losses and other losses and gains, including the antenna gain;
- Antenna orientation and tilting (both mechanical and electrical); and
- Operating frequency.
The outcome of the coverage prediction run can be displayed graphically, as exemplified in Figure 4-7. The various color keys represent different signal strength thresholds, which can be customized to fit the desired ranges. The shadowing effect caused by the variations in the terrain elevation is noticeable and results in a rather irregular footprint. It is worth noting how it departs from the hexagon-shaped footprint commonly used in cellular network theory. Composite plots, comprised of multiple transmitters, can also be produced, as illustrated in Figure 4-8. In this example, the tool assembles the footprint by selecting the transmitter that offers the strongest signal amongst all in each geographical pixel.

![Figure 4-7](image1.png)

**Figure 4-7** - Example of graphical prediction output from the propagation prediction module. The color keys represent different signal strength thresholds.

![Figure 4-8](image2.png)

**Figure 4-8** Example of a composite graphical prediction output from the propagation prediction module. The color keys represent different signal strength thresholds.
4.2.1.2 The Monte Carlo Simulation Module

The Monte Carlo module can be used to simulate the behavior of a UMTS network at the system level. It takes as inputs the signal strength predictions described in the previous section, amongst other variables, as described in the next paragraphs. The algorithm uses a snapshot model to analyze the network at different instantaneous states. Multiple UMTS service classes, each with its own traffic density and user profile, can be used simultaneously, to emulate the different situations of load the network can be subjected to. The statistical combination of the results from multiple successive snapshots for a given user mix, allows the estimation of network performance and quality of service for a certain offered traffic load. The traffic density layer for each class of service is represented by the number of active simultaneous users offering traffic to the network. The modeling of the traffic layer as a function of the service type is a current area of research, beyond the scope of this work. An excellent treatment of the topic can be found in [114]. In the tool, the traffic layer can be created from input parameters that include the service class and user density (derived from the morphology layer).

The user profile is composed of three elements: service type, whose parameters are used in the modeling of the traffic layer, user terminal characteristics and environment model. The service type defines the usage pattern for the user – voice, data, streaming video, etc. -, as well as the quality of service (QoS) requirements. The user terminal refers to the radio and technology details of the UMTS mobile device to be modeled – power, antenna gain, noise figure, etc. The environment model defines propagation characteristics to be considered in the simulation – indoor, outdoor, fading modeling, loss of orthogonality, etc. The diagram in Figure 4-9 [114] illustrates the concept of a user profile.
**Traffic Descriptors**
- Call duration, packet length duration, inter-arrival time, length distribution at session level, etc.
- Quality of Service (QoS) parameters: drop call rate, maximum bit error rate, prioritization, etc.

**Quality of Service (QoS) parameters**
- Drop call rate, maximum bit error rate, prioritization, etc.

**Radio Technology**
- Power setting/power control
- Antenna characteristics
- Noise figure

**Environment**
- Indoor/Outdoor
- Mobility (speed)
- Fading modeling
- Loss of orthogonality

**Service**
- Voice
- Video Stream
- Web - Interactive
- Email

**User Terminal**
- Portable phone
- PDA
- Laptop/Palmtop

**Examples**
- Indoor - Pedestrian
- Outdoor - Pedestrian
- Outdoor – Vehicular

---

**Figure 4-9** The user profile is a combination of three elements: service type, user terminal and environment. The examples highlighted in red represent a pedestrian outdoor user requesting interactive Web access via a PDA device [114].

The configuration of the radio access network (RAN) establishes the way it will respond to the offered load. The geographical layout of the radio base stations, also commonly referred to in the literature as Node Bs, and a number of technology and radio parameters, allow the simulation engine to properly emulate the real behavior of the network. The parameters taken into account are shown in Figure 4-10. The geographical coordinates of each base station (latitude and longitude) position the sites on the topography layer. The link budget parameters determine the effective radiated power (ERP) of each base station and define the maximum path loss to the UMTS user. The antenna parameters influence the coverage footprint of the site, as the elevation and azimuth patterns are taken into account by the propagation model. The antenna gain is also used in the link budget. Radio and technology parameters define the requirements, capabilities and limitations of the UMTS system, affecting the way the network responds to the offered traffic. The power control range, for instance, defines how much power compensation the system offers to mitigate the effects of short term fading.
Figure 4-10 Radio access network parameters taken into consideration in the Monte Carlo simulation [117].

The Monte Carlo module uses all the parameters described above as inputs to the algorithm. It is worth noting that the large number of parameters constitutes a sophisticated and complex model, representing an actual UMTS network in a rather realistic manner. Figure 4-11 illustrates the high-level block diagram showing the relationship between the described modules. The Monte Carlo simulator draws data from all modules, performing iterative calculations for network load and power control convergence, with the goal of obtaining the system resources requirements for each service class. The high-level iterative model flow of the Monte Carlo simulator is detailed in Figure 4-12. The first step into the process is the generation of the snapshot. The algorithm performs a random draw from the input traffic layers for the different services to be modeled, assembling a mix of active UMTS users randomly located around the network sites. This snapshot intends to model the actual random placement of users and services that exists in a network at any given time. Based on the location of each user, the algorithm determines the best serving sector (using the signal strength predictions as a reference), estimating power requirements and noise rise. For each user added to the network, the algorithm executes a convergence loop, whereby it iteratively adjusts the
power control algorithms and assesses the noise rise, until both the downlink and uplink converge, i.e., the link requirements are met for all active users. When this status is reached, the offered load has been accommodated and statistics are collected, so a new snapshot can be created. The aggregation of results from multiple successive snapshots yields statistically significant information about the performance of the network under the modeled conditions.

Figure 4-11 High-level block diagram, showing the interrelation between the functional blocks. The Monte Carlo simulation engine receives data from all the key modules in order to produce the proper output results.
It is worth noting that during the convergence process, the algorithm emulates Radio Resource Management (RRM) functions that are key to the optimal performance of UMTS networks. Load control and admission control are exercised to maintain the load at a level that does not compromise the network’s performance. The network monitors the load for each sector, denying service to new users as they attempt to access the system if the load reaches or exceeds a pre-defined limit. Similarly, the network may deny service if a sectors runs out of orthogonal codes, radio resources or reaches its throughput limit. Additionally, where appropriate, the effects of soft handoff are considered and analyzed. Soft handoff gains are accounted for in the convergence process and the corresponding radio resources requirements are reflected in the statistics.

The performance of the UMTS network under the simulated conditions is summarized in the statistical report produced from the aggregation of the results captured at the end of each iteration. A set of statistical variables is collected. The following variables are captured during the simulation, offering a detailed depiction of the network’s performance:

- Average and standard deviation of number of users supported by each sector, when the sector is the main server. The information is available per service class and totalized for all classes.
Average and standard deviation of number of users supported by each sector, when the sector is a soft-handoff server. The information is available per service class and totalized for all classes.

Average and standard deviation of the total number of users per sector – main plus soft-handoff traffic.

Average and standard deviation of power levels for each sector’s traffic channels. The information is available per service class and totalized for all classes.

Average and standard deviation of the noise rise experienced by each sector.

4.2.2 The Proposed UWB - UMTS Monte Carlo Algorithm

The functional modules and Monte Carlo algorithm described in the previous sections make up a comprehensive network-level UMTS simulator. However, in that configuration the simulator cannot capture the effects of external interference on the UMTS network. This section proposes an enhancement to the tool, creating additional inputs for the pertinent UWB variables and modifying the algorithm to account for them. The proposed solution allows the tool to simulate the behavior of the UMTS network with the same level of completeness as in its original form, with the added ability of simultaneously modeling the impact of UWB interference on the network performance. The available literature describes UMTS system level performance simulations in the presence of UWB for very controlled scenarios, with simplistic network configurations and limited input variables [7], [42], [123]. The results obtained from those, while offering some insight into the actual impact of UWB on UMTS, do not allow for a thorough quantitative assessment of interference in real network deployments. Furthermore, those studies offer contradicting conclusions. While [7], [123] suggest that UWB interference on UMTS networks can be severe, [42] concludes that UWB can coexist with UMTS networks without causing harmful interference. This contribution intends to overcome the limitations posed by those studies, offering a thorough and
scalable implementation, which can be used to assess both theoretical and practical network scenarios. Figure 4-13 illustrates the modified algorithm, highlighting the added functional blocks.

Figure 4-13 Proposed simulation algorithm to model UWB interference on UMTS networks.

The modified algorithm adds new input variables to the simulator, so that it can consider the UWB parameters required for the proper modeling of the technology. These new variables also allow for the creation of different simulation scenarios and sensitivity analyses, as discussed in the next section.

At the present time, UWB has not yet come to fruition as a mass-market technology. However, there is a consensus amongst industry organizations and government regulatory bodies, that the evolution of these devices is likely to be dominated by personal area network (PAN) applications and by the home entertainment market. It is envisaged that UWB devices will be very low power, for short-range applications and capable of high data rate transfers, with a few devices per household or office. Based on this scenario, the algorithm implementation assumes the UWB devices to be spatially correlated with the UMTS users, which seems the most likely situation to
be encountered in a mature UWB deployment, as UWB devices are anticipated to operate in the same geographical surroundings where UMTS devices are usually located. The algorithm offers two options for the active UWB device density, represented as the average ratio of the number of active UWB devices and the number of active UMTS users. The UWB to UMTS user ratio can be either constant or follow a Poisson distribution, characterized by its mean. The Poisson distribution has been used to model the number of active UWB interferers in similar coexistence studies [123].

The algorithm reads the number of active UMTS users from the traffic layers. Once the number of active UWB devices for a UMTS user is determined, their aggregate power spectral density contribution at the UMTS user location is calculated. If the active UWB-UMTS user ratio is constant, the aggregate power spectral density is the product of the number of active UWB devices and the individual power spectral density per device. The individual reference power spectral density per UWB device is an input variable, added to the modified algorithm. If the active UWB-UMTS user ratio follows the Poisson distribution, the aggregate power spectral density is the sum of the individual contributions from each UWB device. In this case, each UWB device is separated from the UMTS user by a different distance, randomly selected from a uniform distribution with mean correlation distance $d_{cor}$, which depends on another user-defined input variable - the maximum separation distance. The mean correlation distance $d_{cor}$ is defined as one half of the maximum separation distance, as shown in Figure 4-14. The UWB devices are assumed to be randomly located anywhere inside a circle of radius $2 \times d_{cor}$ centered at the UMTS user location. The different separation distances for each UWB device cause their individual power spectral density contributions to differ from one another. The individual power spectral densities at the UMTS user location are calculated using a slope-intercept model in the form

$$PSD_{UWB,UMTS, i} = PSD_{UWB} + \alpha \log \left( \frac{d_0}{d_0 + rand() \cdot (d_{UWB,UMTS}, -d_0)} \right), \text{ Equation 4-1}$$

where:
$PSD_{UWB,UMTS,i}$: Power spectral density of the $i_{th}$ UWB device at the UMTS user location;

$PSD_{UWB}$: Power spectral density radiated by the UWB device;

$\alpha$: Attenuation coefficient for the propagation environment – input variable;

$d_0$: Minimum distance from the UMTS user – input variable;

$d_{UWB,UMTS,i}$: Separation distance between the $i_{th}$ UWB device and the UMTS users, randomly selected from a uniform distribution with mean correlation distance $d_{cor}$; and

$rand()$: Random number between 0 and 1.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 4-14 – Definition of the mean correlation distance between the UMTS user and the UWB interference source.** UWB interferers can be randomly located anywhere inside the depicted yellow circle.

The aggregate power spectral density at the UMTS user location is the sum of all individual contributions, as described by equation (4-2).

$$PSD_{UWB,UMTS} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} PSD_{UWB,UMTS,i}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 4-2}$$

After appropriate bandwidth denormalization, the result from equation (4-2) is added to the total downlink noise power at the UMTS user’s receiver, integrating the UWB
interference into the remaining algorithm calculations. The convergence and statistics loops operate as described in section 4.2.1.2.

4.2.3 Considerations on the Validation of the Tool

The CelPlanner tool selected for this study has been used commercially for the design and optimization of real wireless networks for over ten years. During this time, its algorithms have been refined and improved to model the actual behavior of wireless networks, based on meticulous modeling and on the experience and feedback gathered from extensively employing the tool in real designs. Reference [117] presents a formal validation study where the results produced by CelPlanner are compared to those generated with the NPSW tool, independently developed by Nokia Corporation. That study shows the tools to be qualitatively equivalent, adding credence to the use of CelPlanner in this research.

4.3 System Level Simulations

The UWB-UMTS Monte Carlo algorithm proposed and described in the previous section is applied to a notional UMTS network, allowing for the assessment of the impact UWB interference can have on its capacity. The analysis includes the variation of the UWB power level and device density, providing insight into the expected trending of these variables. Firstly, a baseline UMTS network that suffers no external interference is analyzed. The performance results are used as a baseline for comparison against the results obtained in the scenarios where UWB interference is present. The same network is then subjected to a coexisting UWB device population of fixed transmission power levels. The UWB device density is varied and the UMTS network performance is analyzed as the density changes. In the final scenario, the UWB device density is kept constant and the UWB radiated power is varied, to allow for the study of the UMTS network’s sensitivity to the FCC emission limits established for UWB.
4.3.1 Service Classes

The UMTS users were simulated in four different service classes – a subset of those used in the study presented in Chapter 3. The chosen service classes are those where there is greater potential spatial correlation between the UWB source and the UMTS device, namely in the in-car and indoor environments. The applications envisioned for UWB focus on home and office personal area networks, home entertainment and high-data rate, low range multimedia, making it intrinsically an indoor technology. Considering that UMTS handsets are likely to share these same spaces, the physical distance between UWB interferer and UMTS victim receiver is potentially small. Therefore, this study concentrates on the analysis of UWB interference on UMTS in closed environments, assuming line-of-sight between the UWB interference source and victim receiver. The four service classes included in the simulations are:

1. Vehicular speech, macrocell: models voice service using the AMR (Adaptive Modulation Rate) vocoder with bit rate equal to 12.2 Kbps in an in-car scenario. This test case simulates mobile users utilizing UMTS voice services while in transit, for example, on a moving train.

2. Indoor speech, macrocell: this scenario models voice service using the AMR vocoder with bit rate equal to 12.2 Kbps in an indoor environment. This test case simulates mobile users utilizing UMTS voice services while indoors, for example, standing or walking inside an office or residential building.

3. Indoor real time data, macrocell: models real time circuit switched data (CSD) at 144 Kbps in an indoor scenario. This test case simulates mobile users utilizing UMTS data services while indoors, for example, standing or walking inside an office or residential building.

4. Indoor non-real time data, macrocell: models non-real time packet switched data (PSD) at 384 Kbps in an indoor scenario. This test case simulates mobile users using UMTS data services while indoors, for example, standing or walking inside an office or residential building.
The service parameters of each class differentiate the type of UMTS service to be simulated. Table 4-1 summarizes the parameters and values employed in the study.

Table 4-1 Service configuration parameters for the four service classes modeled in the UMTS simulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration Parameters</th>
<th>Service Class</th>
<th>Voice Vehicular</th>
<th>Voice Indoor</th>
<th>Circuit Switched Data Indoor</th>
<th>Packet Switched Data Indoor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Class</td>
<td>Voice</td>
<td>Voice Vehicular</td>
<td>Voice Indoor</td>
<td>Circuit Switched Data Indoor</td>
<td>Packet Switched Data Indoor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation Priority</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Rate</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>12.2 kbps</td>
<td>12.2 kbps</td>
<td>144 kbps</td>
<td>384 kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>12.2 kbps</td>
<td>12.2 kbps</td>
<td>144 kbps</td>
<td>384 kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Factor</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Overhead Factor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. # of handoff servers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eb/lo</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. TCH Power</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>2W</td>
<td>2W</td>
<td>2W</td>
<td>2W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power control margin</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>above threshold</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.2 UMTS User Terminal Configuration

Two types of UMTS user terminals were considered in the simulations: voice and data. The relevant parameters are summarized in Table 4-2. These parameters define how the UMTS users interact with the network at the radio level.
Table 4-2 UMTS user terminal configuration parameters employed in the system level simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UMTS User Terminal Configuration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User Terminal Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Transmission Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise Figure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna Radiation Pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna Height Above Ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna gain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3 Environment Configuration

The UMTS users were modeled in two distinct propagation environments: in-car and in building, as shown in Table 4-3. The in-car profile uses the Rayleigh distribution to model the multipath, due to the mobility associated with it, whereas the in-building profile assumes the UMTS device to be essentially stationary. In this case, the lognormal distribution better represents the link condition.

Table 4-3 Propagation environment parameters used in the system level simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment Configuration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body shielding loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penetration Attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fading Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence Margin (cell area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Link Margin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.4 User Profiles

The simulation considered four different user profiles, created from the combination of service classes, user terminal and environments described above. The four user profiles used in this study are listed in Table 4-4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User Profile</th>
<th>Service Class</th>
<th>User Terminal Type</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Traffic Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voice 12.2K Vehicular</td>
<td>Voice Vehicular</td>
<td>Voice</td>
<td>In-car</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice 12.2K Indoor</td>
<td>Voice Indoor</td>
<td>Voice</td>
<td>Indoor</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSD 144K Vehicular</td>
<td>Circuit Switched Data</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>In-car</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD 384K Vehicular</td>
<td>Packet Switched Data</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>In-car</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-4 User profiles used in the simulations. Each user profile is composed of a service class, a user terminal type and an environment setting.

4.3.5 Radio Base Station Configuration

This section describes the configuration of the radio base stations that constitute the UMTS radio access network (RAN) used in the simulations. The topology and design constraints of the network itself are discussed in section 4.3.7. All radio base stations in the simulated network were configured with the same physical and link budget parameters, as presented in Table 4-5 and Table 4-6. Table 4-5 shows the physical configuration details and Table 4-6 summarizes the link budget components. Two tower heights were used: 20 meters for sites in the core area of the network and 40 meters for sites in the outer tiers. The different heights represent a typical configuration in real networks, where sites located near the downtown areas are subject to stricter zoning restrictions and carry higher traffic load, thus the lower height. Conversely, sites in the outer tiers face fewer zoning restrictions and handle lighter traffic loads. For these sites,
higher tower are actually desirable, to maximize the coverage with as few sites as possible.

Table 4-5 Physical configuration of the radio base stations that compose the UMTS network used in the simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radio Base Station Physical Configuration</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antenna Height Above Ground Level (AGL)</td>
<td>20 m for core sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 m for outer tier sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Sectors</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector Azimuth</td>
<td>0, 120 and 240 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna Model</td>
<td>Andrew UMW-06517-2DH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna Tilting</td>
<td>2 degrees of electrical tilting – all sectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna Gain</td>
<td>18.9 dBi at boresight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-6 Link budget parameters for the radio base stations that compose the UMTS network used in the simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radio Base Station Link Budget</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forward Link</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot Channel Power (CPICH)</td>
<td>1.5 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Power for Other Control Channels (Sync, Paging, etc.)</td>
<td>1.0 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Traffic Channel Power (per channel)</td>
<td>2.0W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogonality Factor</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX Branch Losses (feeders, jumpers, etc.)</td>
<td>2.8 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX Antenna Gain</td>
<td>18.9 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reverse Link</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RX Antenna Gain</td>
<td>18.9 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity Gain</td>
<td>2 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RX Branch Losses (feeders, jumpers, etc.)</td>
<td>2.8 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front-end Noise Figure</td>
<td>5.0 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.6 UMTS System Parameters

The following parameters define the basic UMTS network in the simulator, being applied globally to all radio base stations and UMTS users.

Table 4-7 – UMTS network simulation parameters applied to all radio base stations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UMTS System Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UMTS Carrier Bandwidth</td>
<td>3.84 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Throughput per Carrier</td>
<td>2048 kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Number of Vocoders per Carrier</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Load Factor – Forward Link</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Load Factor – Reverse Link</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Number of Allocation Failures per Call</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Combined Base Station Output Power (per sector)</td>
<td>45 W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.7 UMTS Network Topology

The UMTS network used in the simulations consists of 45 radio base stations (sites), as depicted in Figure 4-15. The network was designed with the goal of providing coverage to the area delimited by the red polygon. The placement of each radio base station was manually optimized through an iterative process, aiming at fulfilling the coverage requirement while minimizing the number of sites. The result is a network topology that loosely resembles a classical hexagon grid. The sites closer to the center of the area of interest have lower tower heights, covering a smaller geographical area, resulting in a higher site density. Conversely, the outer tier sites have higher tower heights, resulting in larger coverage areas and lower site density. Each base station is composed of three sectors, or cells, resulting in 135 cells for the entire network. In the layout depicted in Figure 4-15, the geographical location of each base station is indicated by the icon, which coincides with the junction of the three cells encompassing the site. The setup parameters for the coverage predictions are summarized in Table 4-8.
Even though this is a theoretical analysis, the coverage predictions were created with real terrain and morphology considerations, closely emulating the actual propagation environment in the UMTS network and producing a reasonably realistic interference scenario. Other studies found in the literature [7,42,37] adopted a less sophisticated approach, ignoring the effects of terrain and morphology on the propagation. While it simplifies the implementation of the simulator, this shortcut can result in misleading results, since the UMTS coverage predictions can become overly optimistic, producing distorted coverage estimates. Those, in turn, can lead to overestimation of the effects of UWB interference. The predictions were produced using the Hata model, adopting a 44.9 dB/dec slope factor. The prediction radius was set at 1.8 Km and the prediction resolution was set at 1 arc sec, which equates to a pixel size of approximately 30 x 30m.

Figure 4-15 UMTS network topology used in the simulations. The three lines pointing out of the center of each radio base station’s icon indicate the azimuth of each sector – 0, 120 and 240 degrees.
Table 4-8 Coverage prediction parameters used in the UMTS system level simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage Prediction Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prediction radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediction resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrain &amp; Morphology (Clutter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propagation Model</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.8 UMTS Traffic Demand Grid

The UMTS traffic demand distribution for the area to be simulated is represented as a grid or, optionally, a raster file. Both have a bin size (pixel size) specified in meters or arc sec, which indicate the granularity of the data. The traffic demand files are an input to the simulations, being used by the algorithm to draw users from during the execution of the Monte Carlo process. Essentially, the traffic demand files contain the number of active users in each geographical bin or pixel. They are generated in the tool, using as input parameters the user profile information described in section 4.3.4 and other variables that are a function of the desired type of demand grid, including the shape and size of the area and distribution pattern –uniform or weighted. When the distribution is uniform, all bins or pixels will have the same number of active users. For weighted distributions, the land use classes in the morphology can be used to determine the traffic density in each bin or pixel, based on input weighing factors.

For the simulations discussed in this study, the UMTS demand grid was assumed to have 4000 active UMTS users. A percentage of this total – 70%, was assigned to the four service classes, according to the Traffic Factors listed in Table 4-4. The remaining 30% were allocated to other user profiles that are not included in this study, for they represent outdoor traffic. As previously mentioned, UWB is anticipated to be predominantly an indoor technology. Therefore, UMTS outdoor traffic is unlikely to be subject to UWB interference. The relevant users were distributed over the area encircled by the polygon depicted in Figure 4-15, following a set of user-defined morphology weighting factors, as shown in Table 4-9. The weighting factors emulate the different
user densities encountered in each type of morphology. For instance, the factors in Table 4-9 indicate that the UMTS user density in streets and roads is twice as high as that of residential areas of low concentration (intensity). During the design phase of commercial wireless networks, these weighting factors are derived from demographic studies of the area of interest. The numbers used in this study are typical figures based on the author’s professional experience in wireless network design.

The traffic demand grid is approximately 12.8 Km long in the East-West direction and 12.3 Km long in the North-South direction, representing a total area of 124.3 Km², or 32 active users/Km². The 4000 active users were proportionately divided amongst the four user profiles described in Table 4-4, following the traffic factors indicated in that table. Table 4-10 summarizes the demand grid parameters discussed above, whereas

Table 4-11 shows the number of simulated active users per user profile. Figure 4-16 illustrates the graphical aspect of the demand grid. The grid shown refers to the distribution of Voice 12.2 Kbps indoor users.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphology Type (Clutter)</th>
<th>Traffic Spreading Weighing Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open Water</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woody Wetlands, Emergent Herbaceous</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perennial Ice/Snow, Bare Rock</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasslands/Herbaceous, Pasture</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrubland, Orchards/Vineyards</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous Forest</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen Forest</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed Forest</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban/Recreational Grasses</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Intensity Residential</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Intensity Residential</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial/Industrial/Transportation</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4-10 - Parameters used in the generation of the demand grid employed in the system level simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand Grid Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Active UMTS Users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution mode</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-11 Number of simulated active UMTS users per user profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User Profile</th>
<th>Voice 12.2K Vehicular</th>
<th>Voice 12.2K Indoor</th>
<th>CSD 144K Indoor</th>
<th>PSD 384K Indoor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of active users</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4-16 View of the demand grid for the Voice 12.2 Kbps user profile. The colors represent the different degrees of user activity.
4.3.9 Simulation Parameters

In addition to the network and user related parameters described above, the Monte Carlo engine parameters illustrated in Figure 4-17 must be setup. A brief description of each parameter is provided:

♦ Total Traffic (Active Users): number of UMTS active users simulated. the tool imports this number from the demand grid or it can be edited prior to the start of the simulation;
♦ Number of iterations: corresponds to the number of snapshots taken during the simulation run;
♦ System Blocking Probability: target percentage of blocked traffic due to network congestion;
♦ Minimum/Maximum Number of Iterations: lower and upper limits for the number of iterations performed during the convergence loop; and
♦ Converge Factor: percentage of tolerance for the convergence loop.

Figure 4-17 Monte Carlo simulation setup parameters
4.4 Simulation Results & Discussion

This section presents and discusses the simulation results for the four scenarios introduced in section 4.3.

4.4.1 UMTS Baseline Scenario

In this scenario, the UMTS network suffers no external UWB interference. It is used as a baseline for comparison against the other two scenarios presented below. The network configuration and parameters are as described in Section 4.3. The simulation included 2760 UMTS users, divided in four classes of service, as detailed in Table 4-11. An aggregated graphical depiction of the UMTS users simulated at each snapshot is presented in Figure 4-18. The colors represent the different user profiles, as described in Table 4-4. The pixels shown in black indicate failed connection attempts.

![Figure 4-18 – Aggregated graphical representation of the UMTS users simulated at each snapshot.](image-url)
The simulation results for the baseline scenario are summarized in Table 4-12. They are shown for the total number of simulated users, but reflect the individual traffic contributions by each of the four user profiles. The subsequent set of figures - Figure 4-19 thru Figure 4-51, offers detailed insight into the UMTS network performance, illustrating through various metrics its behavior under the simulated load condition. Figure 4-19 to Figure 4-46 show the composite performance of the UMTS network for each user profile separately, whereas Figure 4-47 to Figure 4-51 show a set of metrics for the combined user profiles, i.e., the complete population of users. A discussion of the results shown in these figures is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Active Users</th>
<th>Percentage of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Simulated Traffic</td>
<td>2760.0</td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carried traffic - Main traffic</td>
<td>2505.8</td>
<td>90.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carried traffic - Handoff traffic</td>
<td>1158.9</td>
<td>42 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Pilot channel coverage</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Directed to other carriers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Throughput per carrier limit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Forward traffic channel power limit</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>0.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Forward sector total power limit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Forward load factor limit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Reverse mobile power limit</td>
<td>209.7</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results in Table 4-12 show that in the absence of external interference, the UMTS network performance is similar to that expected of real operational networks. In the simulated scenario, the network carried 90.8% of the offered traffic. The remaining 9.2% were not carried due to three limiting conditions: lack of pilot channel coverage, lack of forward traffic channel power and lack of reverse link power, due to handset power limitations. The 1% of traffic not carried due to lack of pilot channel coverage
indicates that, in that configuration, the network does not reach 100% of the geographical area where there is demand for the UMTS service. This is generally the case in most commercial networks, because it becomes prohibitively costly to build a ubiquitous network. The 0.6% of traffic not carried due to lack of forward traffic channel power indicates that some sectors are experiencing power saturation, even in the absence of external interference. This implies that there is already enough intra-network noise at some sectors to cause the base station to reach power saturation. The power saturation can be a consequence of traffic – too many active connections, or coverage – users are too far from the site and require additional power in the forward link. The lack of reverse link power prevented 7.6% of the offered traffic to be served, indicating that the link budget is unbalanced, allowing more forward link coverage than reverse link. Even though the three metrics mentioned above can most likely be optimized through traditional network optimization techniques, for the purpose of this dissertation they can be considered as adequate, since the study aims at comparing the relative degradation in coverage due to UWB interference.

Figure 4-19 to Figure 4-22 illustrate the pilot channel (CPICH) coverage for the four service classes, for the resulting network load offered by the simulated user population. These plots show that the pilot channel coverage is virtually ubiquitous, with $E_c/I_o$ above the $-15$ dB threshold in most of the area of interest. As expected, the indoor service classes show as smaller footprint for the same $E_c/I_o$ threshold, due to the larger attenuation caused by buildings.
Figure 4-19 – Pilot channel (CPICH) Ec/Io (dB), no UWB interference - 12.2 Kbps Vehicular Voice.

Figure 4-20 - Pilot channel (CPICH) Ec/Io (dB), no UWB interference - 12.2 Kbps Indoor Voice.
Figure 4-21 - Pilot channel (CPICH) Ec/Io (dB), no UWB interference -144 Kbps Indoor Circuit Switched Data.

Figure 4-22 - Pilot channel (CPICH) Ec/Io (dB), no UWB interference -384 Kbps Indoor Packet Switched Data.
Figure 4-23 to Figure 4-26 show the best server area of each sector, i.e., the collection of pixels where each sector offers the strongest signal to the UMTS user. It is evident from the plots that there is discontinuity in coverage between cells, especially for the CSD 144 Kbps and PSD 384 Kbps service classes. This indicates that there is no UMTS service in those areas, commonly referred to as coverage gaps, or “holes.” The decision criterion in the algorithm does not account for the gain due to soft handoff (SHO), meaning that service might be available where the plot shows it is not, if soft handoff gain is taken into account. It is worth noting that the best server plots shows the areas where the cell offers service – pilot channel, forward and reverse traffic links with enough strength to serve a handset. The pilot channel plot described in the previous paragraph indicates forward link only.

Figure 4-27 to Figure 4-30 show the coverage of the forward traffic channel, also showing that coverage gaps exist and are more prominent for the CSD 144 Kbps and PSD 384 Kbps service classes.

Figure 4-31 to Figure 4-34 plot the reverse link power, i.e., the ERP power the UMTS handset must transmit in order to close the link with the best serving cell at its location. The last two thresholds in the plots are 21 dBm and 24 dBm, corresponding to the maximum ERP for voice and data user profiles, respectively. In essence, any point farther out from the serving cell, beyond the edge of the power threshold for the handset corresponding the user profile under analysis, will not have that UMTS service.

Figure 4-35 to Figure 4-38 establish a comparison between the forward and reverse link service areas. Ideally, those should match, meaning that there would always be bi-directional service for the UMTS users. However, it is rather common in commercial networks that the forward link is slightly stronger than the reverse link, because of the power limitations in the handset. The balance of the link budget in as many network cells as possible is part of the network optimization effort. These plots show that in the baseline network, there is a certain degree of link unbalance. The unbalance becomes more evident where there is no continuity in coverage, i.e., in the coverage gaps, since there is no adjacent cell for the UMTS terminal to handoff to.
Figure 4-23 - Pilot channel (CPICH) Best Server Plot, no UWB interference - 12.2 Kbps Vehicular Voice.

Figure 4-24 - Pilot channel (CPICH) Best Server Plot, no UWB interference - 12.2 Kbps Indoor Voice.
Figure 4-25 - Pilot channel (CPICH) Best Server Plot, no UWB interference - 144 Kbps Indoor Circuit Switched Data.

Figure 4-26 - Pilot channel (CPICH) Best Server Plot, no UWB interference - 384 Kbps Indoor Packet Switched Data.
Figure 4-27 – Forward traffic channel Eb/Io (dB), no UWB interference - 12.2 Kbps Vehicular Voice.

Figure 4-28 - Forward traffic channel Eb/Io (dB), no UWB interference - 12.2 Kbps Indoor Voice.
Figure 4-29 - Forward traffic channel Eb/Io (dB), no UWB interference - 144 Kbps Indoor Circuit Switched Data.

Figure 4-30 - Forward traffic channel Eb/Io (dB), no UWB interference - 384 Kbps Indoor Packet Switched Data.
Figure 4-31 – Mobile terminal radiated power (ERP), in dBm, no UWB interference - 12.2 Kbps Vehicular Voice.

Figure 4-32 - Mobile terminal radiated power (ERP), in dBm, no UWB interference - 12.2 Kbps Indoor Voice.
Figure 4-33 - Mobile terminal radiated power (ERP), in dBm, no UWB interference - 144 Kbps Indoor Circuit Switched Data.

Figure 4-34 - Mobile terminal radiated power (ERP), in dBm, no UWB interference - 384 Kbps Indoor Packet Switched Data.
Figure 4-35 – Forward/Reverse Link Service Areas, no UWB interference - 12.2 Kbps Vehicular Voice.

Figure 4-36 - Forward/Reverse Link Service Areas, no UWB interference - 12.2 Kbps Indoor Voice.
Figure 4-37 - Forward/Reverse Link Service Areas, no UWB interference - 144 Kbps Indoor Circuit Switched Data.

Figure 4-38 - Forward/Reverse Link Service Areas, no UWB interference - 384 Kbps Indoor Packet Switched Data.
Figure 4-39 to Figure 4-42 show the forward link noise rise, representing the contribution of the forward link channels to the noise rise. The noise rise is proportional to the number of active forward channels – pilot and traffic, and their respective power levels.

Figure 4-43 to Figure 4-46 depict the areas where soft handoffs are expected, based on the variation of the pilot channel $E_b/N_0$ as the UMTS terminal moves away from one cell and towards another.

Figure 4-47 offers valuable insight into the reverse link load factor, showing the contribution of the reverse link ERP from all active UMTS devices served by a sector - the more active users per sector, the greater the load factor. It is worth mentioning that the number of active users per cell used to produce these plots was derived from the Monte Carlo simulation.

Figure 4-48 and Figure 4-49 show the number of active users and number of active users in soft handoff per cell, respectively. The difference in traffic densities across the area of interest is apparent in both figures, where it can be observed that the traffic is not balanced amongst the cells. Cells with smaller footprint tend to carry less traffic, whereas those with larger coverage areas tend to absorb more traffic. In addition, the cells at the edge of the area of interest, pointing outwards, tend to have less soft handoff traffic, because there are no adjacent cells other than the neighbor cells in their own base station.

Figure 4-50 illustrates the total base station power per cell. The total power is composed of the common pilot channel, other pilot channels and the traffic channels. It shows a strong correlation with the number of simultaneous active users plot depicted in Figure 4-48 – the more active users, the greater total power.

Figure 4-51 shows the total forward link throughput per cell. It also shows a strong correlation with the number of active users and the total base station power per sector. The total forward link throughput for the simulated network is 66.6 Mbps, representing an average of 493.3 Kbps per cell.
Figure 4-39 – Forward link load factor, no UWB interference - 12.2 Kbps Vehicular Voice.

Figure 4-40 – Forward link load factor, no UWB interference - 12.2 Kbps Indoor Voice.
Figure 4-41 - Forward link load factor, no UWB interference - 144 Kbps Indoor Circuit Switched Data.

Figure 4-42 - Forward link load factor, no UWB interference - 384 Kbps Indoor Packet Switched Data.
Figure 4-43 – Handoff areas plot, no UWB interference - 12.2 Kbps Vehicular Voice.

Figure 4-44 - Handoff areas plot, no UWB interference - 12.2 Kbps Indoor Voice.
Figure 4-45 - Handoff areas plot, no UWB interference - 144 Kbps Indoor Circuit Switched Data.

Figure 4-46 - Handoff areas plot, no UWB interference - 384 Kbps Indoor Packet Switched Data.
Figure 4-47 – Composite reverse load factor plot, no UWB interference – all service classes.

Figure 4-48 – Number of simultaneous users per cell, no UWB interference - all service classes combined.
Figure 4-49 – Number of simultaneous handoff connections per cell, no UWB interference - all service classes combined.

Figure 4-50 - Total Base Station TX Power: common pilot channel, traffic and other pilot channels, no UWB interference.
Figure 4-51 - Total Forward link sector throughput (Kbps), no UWB interference.

4.4.2 UMTS Network in the Presence of UWB Interference

In this scenario, the UMTS network is subject to external UWB interference, according to the UWB interference parameters listed in Table 4-13. These parameters populate the variables in the UWB interference module described in section 4.2.2. The amount of UWB interference is dictated by the number of UWB interference sources – defined by the mean ratio between UWB and UMTS devices – and by the power spectral density radiated per UWB device. In this scenario, the mean UWB/UMTS device ratio is set at 1. The FCC restricts the power spectral density (PSD) emission in the frequency band dedicated to UMTS commercial services to –51.3 dBm/MHz for outdoors applications. The used reference value of –130 dBm/Hz corresponds to the maximum allowed PSD at a reference distance of 0.1m. This distance is equivalent to a UWB device being physically very close to a UMTS terminal, for instance both devices on the same desk. The network configuration and parameters are as described in Section 4.3. The simulation included 2760 UMTS users, divided in four classes of service, as detailed
in Table 4-11. An aggregated graphical depiction of the UMTS users simulated at each snapshot is presented in Figure 4-52. The colors represent the different user profiles, as described in Table 4-4. The pixels shown in black indicate failed connection attempts. A comparison between Figure 4-18 and Figure 4-52 reveals the higher concentration of black pixels in the latter, indicating that more connection attempts fail in the presence of UWB interference.

**Table 4-13** – UWB interference parameters used in the simulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Setting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum UWB/UMTS Separation Distance</td>
<td>30 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separation Distance Distribution</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean UWB/UMTS User Ratio</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio Distribution</td>
<td>Poisson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Power Spectral Density per UWB Device</td>
<td>-130 dBm/Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Distance</td>
<td>0.1 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation Slope</td>
<td>20 dB/dec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4-52** - Aggregated graphical representation of the UMTS users simulated at each snapshot, in the presence of UWB interference.
The simulation results for this scenario are summarized in Table 4-14; they are shown for the total number of simulated users, but reflect the individual traffic contributions by each of the four user profiles. The subsequent set of figures - Figure 4-19 thru Figure 4-51, offers detailed insight into the UMTS network performance, illustrating through various metrics its behavior under the simulated load condition. Figure 4-19 to Figure 4-46 show the composite performance of the UMTS network for each user profile separately, whereas Figure 4-47 to Figure 4-51 show a set of metrics for the combined user profiles, i.e., the complete population of users. A discussion of the results shown in these figures is presented below.

**Table 4-14 – Summary of the traffic simulation results for UMTS network subjected to UWB interference.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Active Users</th>
<th>Percentage of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Simulated Traffic</td>
<td>2460.0</td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carried traffic - Main traffic</td>
<td>2344.8</td>
<td>85 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carried traffic - Handoff traffic</td>
<td>899.9</td>
<td>32.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Pilot channel coverage</td>
<td>264.7</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Directed to other carriers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Throughput per carrier limit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Forward traffic channel power limit</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Forward sector total power limit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Forward load factor limit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Reverse mobile power limit</td>
<td>123.2</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A comparison of the traffic simulation results for the UMTS network with no UWB interference and in the presence of interference is shown in Table 4-15. The performance degradation is notable across the majority of the key metrics. The total traffic carried by the UMTS network decreased by 6.4%, which indicates that its performance is suffering degradation caused by the presence of external UWB interference. The noise rise caused by this interference has resulted in a reduction of the
pilot channel coverage area – an effect known as *cell breathing*. Cell breathing is generally caused by noise rise produced intra-network, as the number of active users varies over time. When the number of users grows, more noise is present, causing the pilot coverage area to shrink. Conversely, when the number of users drops, the coverage expands. In the case where UWB interference is present, the cell breathing is also being affected by that component. In this simulation, the pilot channel coverage reduction resulted in an increase of 895.1% in the number of UMTS users that could not establish a connection due to the lack of pilot coverage. In addition, the handoff traffic decreased by 22.3%, also a consequence of the reduced pilot coverage area. The overall increase in the noise rise forces the base stations to elevate the transmission power in the traffic channels, to preserve the link quality of established connections. Since the total power per base station is limited, this increase in transmission power per active user reduces the total number of users that can be served per base station. This phenomenon is apparent in the simulations, where 52.5% more users were denied service because there was not enough forward link power in the traffic channel to establish a connection. The number of users not served due to lack reverse link power has decreased in the presence of UWB interference. The decline occurred because fewer connection attempts have been initiated. Since the pilot channel coverage has shrunk, fewer users can actually initiate a connection. The network can only determine whether a particular base station has enough power left on the traffic channel to admit a new user after that user has requested a connection through the control channel. Only then can the network assess the link requirements of that user and make a determination as to whether the user can be served.
Table 4-15 – Comparison of the traffic simulation results for the UMTS network with no UWB interference and in the presence of interference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Active Users - No UWB</th>
<th>Active Users - UWB</th>
<th>Variation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Simulated Traffic</td>
<td>2760.0</td>
<td>2760.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carried traffic - Main traffic</td>
<td>2505.8</td>
<td>2344.8</td>
<td>-6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carried traffic - Handoff traffic</td>
<td>1158.9</td>
<td>899.9</td>
<td>-22.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Pilot channel coverage</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>264.7</td>
<td>895.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Directed to other carriers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Throughput per carrier limit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Forward traffic channel power limit</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>52.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Forward sector total power limit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Forward load factor limit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carried traffic - Reverse mobile power limit</td>
<td>209.7</td>
<td>123.2</td>
<td>-41.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4-53 thru Figure 4-85 show the same graphical outputs presented for the baseline scenario, now with the UMTS network in the presence of UMTS interference.

Figure 4-53 to Figure 4-56 illustrate the resulting pilot channel (CPICH) coverage for the four service classes, for the resulting network load offered by the simulated user population in the presence of UWB interference. The increased network load is reflected in the reduced overall $E_c/I_o$ footprint. Similarly, the best server area of each sector is reduced, as illustrated in Figure 4-57 to Figure 4-60.

Figure 4-61 to Figure 4-64 show the coverage of the forward traffic channel, displaying a smaller overall footprint and showing that coverage gaps that existed previously become more evident, especially for the CSD 144 Kbps and PSD 384 Kbps service classes.
Figure 4-53 - Pilot channel (CPICH) Ec/Io (dB) – 12.2 Kbps Vehicular Voice. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-54 - Pilot channel (CPICH) Ec/Io (dB) – 12.2 Kbps Indoor Voice. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-55 - Pilot channel (CPICH) Ec/Io (dB) - 144 Kbps Indoor Circuit Switched Data. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-56 - Pilot channel (CPICH) Ec/Io (dB) - 384 Kbps Indoor Packet Switched Data. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-57 - Pilot channel (CPICH) Best Server Plot – 12.2 Kbps Vehicular Voice. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-58 - Pilot channel (CPICH) Best Server Plot – 12.2 Kbps Indoor Voice. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-59 - Pilot channel (CPICH) Best Server Plot - 144 Kbps Indoor Circuit Switched Data. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD=-130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-60 - Pilot channel (CPICH) Best Server Plot - 384 Kbps Indoor Packet Switched Data. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD=-130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-61 - Forward traffic channel Eb/Io (dB) – 12.2 Kbps Vehicular Voice. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-62 - Forward traffic channel Eb/Io (dB) – 12.2 Kbps Indoor Voice. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-63 - Forward traffic channel Eb/Io (dB) - 144 Kbps Indoor Circuit Switched Data. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-64 - Forward traffic channel Eb/Io (dB) - 384 Kbps Indoor Packet Switched Data. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-65 to Figure 4-68 plot the reverse link power, i.e., the ERP power the UMTS handset must transmit in order to close the link with the best serving cell at its location. The last two thresholds in the plots are 21 dBm and 24 dBm, corresponding to the maximum ERP for voice and data user profiles, respectively. A comparison with Figure 4-31 to Figure 4-34 clearly shows that more reverse link power is required from the UMTS terminals when UWB interference is present, contributing to reverse link noise rise.

Figure 4-69 to Figure 4-72 contrast the forward and reverse link service areas. A comparison with Figure 4-35 to Figure 4-38 shows that the unbalance between forward and reverse links decreases slightly in the presence of UWB interference. This is a result of the increased noise level, which reduces the forward link range.

Figure 4-73 to Figure 4-76 show the increase in the forward link noise rise because of the UWB interference. The increased noise rise is a consequence of the extra forward link power required in the traffic channels, to compensate for the higher noise floor.

Figure 4-77 to Figure 4-80 depict the areas where soft handoffs are expected, based on the variation of the pilot channel $E_b/N_o$ as the UMTS terminal moves away from one cell and towards another. UWB interference causes the reduction of some of the handoff areas, because the higher noise floor at the UMTS terminal eliminates some candidate cells with weaker service at its location.
Figure 4-65 - Mobile terminal radiated power (ERP), in dBm – 12.2 Kbps Vehicular Voice. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-66 - Mobile terminal radiated power (ERP), in dBm – 12.2 Kbps Indoor Voice. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-67 - Mobile terminal radiated power (ERP), in dBm - 144 Kbps Indoor Circuit Switched Data. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-68 - Mobile terminal radiated power (ERP), in dBm - 384 Kbps Indoor Packet Switched Data. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-69 - Forward/Reverse Link Service Areas - 12.2 Kbps Vehicular Voice. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-70 - Forward/Reverse Link Service Areas - 12.2 Kbps Indoor Voice. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-71 - Forward/Reverse Link Service Areas - 384 Kbps Indoor Packet Switched Data. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-72 - Forward/Reverse Link Service Areas - 384 Kbps Indoor Packet Switched Data. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-73 - Forward link load factor – 12.2 Kbps Vehicular Voice. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD=-130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-74 - Forward link load factor – 12.2 Kbps Vehicular Voice. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD=-130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-75 - Forward link load factor - 144 Kbps Indoor Circuit Switched Data. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-76 - Forward link load factor - 384 Kbps Indoor Packet Switched Data. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-77 - Handoff areas plot – 12.2 Kbps Vehicular Voice. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference
PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-78 - Handoff areas plot – 12.2 Kbps Indoor Voice. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference
PSD= -130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-79 - Handoff areas plot - 144 Kbps Indoor Circuit Switched Data. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD=-130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-80 - Handoff areas plot - 384 Kbps Indoor Packet Switched Data. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD=-130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-81, in contrast with Figure 4-47, illustrates the remarkable effect of UWB interference on the reverse link load factor. The reverse load drops substantially, because of the reduced number of active calls caused by the increased noise floor. On average, the reverse link load factor per sector dropped by 6.1%. The chart in Figure 4-86 depicts the variation of the reverse link load factor per cell.

Figure 4-82 shows the number of simultaneous active users per cell. A comparison with Figure 4-48 shows that the number of active users decreases in the majority of cells; the average decrease is 4.7%. Similarly, Figure 4-83 depicts the number of simultaneous handoffs per cell. A comparison with Figure 4-49 also reveals a decrease in this metric, averaging 20.7%. The chart in Figure 4-87 depicts the variation of the number of active users per cell and the chart in Figure 4-88 shows the variation of the number of simultaneous handoffs. The reduction in the number of active users and simultaneous handoffs per cell is a direct effect of the network’s diminished capacity to carry traffic, caused by UWB interference.

Figure 4-84 illustrates the total base station power per cell in the presence of UWB interference. As expected, despite a lower number of active users, the total power per sector is greater than in the baseline scenario shown in Figure 4-50. The average total power increase is 5.3%. The chart in Figure 4-89 depicts the variation of the total base station transmission power per cell.

Figure 4-85 shows the total forward link throughput per cell in the presence of UWB interference. A comparison with Figure 4-51 shows a clear reduction in throughput, averaging 9.3%. It also shows a strong correlation with the number of active users and the total base station power per sector. The total forward link throughput for the simulated network dropped from 66.6 Mbps to 58.3 Mbps while the average throughput per cell dropped from 493.3 Kbps to 432 Kbps per cell – a reduction of 12.4%. The chart in Figure 4-90 shows the variation in the total base station forward link throughput per cell.
Figure 4-81 - Composite reverse load factor plot – all service classes. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD=-130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-82 - Number of simultaneous users per cell - all service classes combined. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD=-130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-83 - Number of simultaneous handoff connections per cell - all service classes combined. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD=-130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-84 – Total Base Station TX Power: common pilot channel, traffic and other pilot channels. Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD=-130 dBm/Hz.
Figure 4-85 – Total Forward link sector throughput (Kbps). Mean UWB-UMTS ratio=1, reference PSD=-130 dBm/Hz.

Figure 4-86 – Reverse link load factor variation per sector due to UWB interference, in comparison with the baseline UMTS network scenario.
Figure 4-87 - Variation in the number of active users per sector due to UWB interference, in comparison with the baseline UMTS network scenario.

Figure 4-88 - Variation in the number of simultaneous handoffs per sector due to UWB interference, in comparison with the baseline UMTS network scenario.
Figure 4-89 - Variation in the total base station transmitted power per sector due to UWB interference, in comparison with the baseline UMTS network scenario.

Figure 4-90 - Variation in the total base station forward link throughput per sector due to UWB interference, in comparison with the baseline UMTS network scenario.
4.4.3 Sensitivity Analysis of the UWB-UMTS Device Ratio

The results presented in the previous section, specifically Table 4-15, assumed a mean UWB-UMTS device ratio of 1. In this section, the effects of a variable UWB-UMTS device ratio on the network performance are investigated. Figure 4-91 plots the traffic handling capacity variation of the UMTS network as the ratio of active UWB devices per active UMTS user increases. The simulation conditions for the UMTS network are the same as described in the previous section. The ratio of UWB devices per UMTS user followed a Poisson distribution, with the average varying according to the plotted data points. All UWB devices transmitted with the same power, respecting the maximum regulated power spectral density for the 2 GHz band. It is apparent that both the main and handoff carried traffic amounts decrease steadily with the increase in the UWB device density per UMTS user. As that density rises, the traffic lost due to the degradation of pilot channel coverage also grows steadily. This degradation is a result of the increased noise power at the UMTS terminals. As the noise level rises, it increasingly desensitizes the UMTS receiver, impairing its ability to properly decode the pilot channel. As a result, fewer UMTS users are able to establish a connection.

![Figure 4-91 Variation of the UMTS network capacity with the variation of the UWB-UMTS device ratio.](image)

Figure 4-91 Variation of the UMTS network capacity with the variation of the UWB-UMTS device ratio.
Figure 4-92 shows the variation in the number of simultaneous active UMTS users that cannot access the network, distributed according to the reasons causing the service denial. It is evident that as the ratio of active UWB devices per active UMTS users increases, the traffic loss due to the lack of reverse link mobile power drops steadily. However, this decrease indicates an undesired phenomenon. Fewer UMTS users are experiencing reverse link power limitation because their connection attempts are actually failing in the forward link, due to poor link quality caused by the excessive noise level. In other words, the connection attempts fail in the downlink first, more specifically in the pilot reception, resulting in fewer reverse link failures due to mobile power saturation. Similarly, Figure 4-92 also shows that the traffic loss due to forward link traffic channel saturation experiences less variation with the increase in the UWB-UMTS device ratio, eventually decreasing as that ratio increases. This is also explained by the precedence of requirement for pilot coverage over traffic channel coverage, i.e., even though both channels are affected by downlink interference from UWB users, the users denied access due to lack of pilot do not get to experience the restrictions in traffic channel power.

![Figure 4-92 Variation of the UMTS network traffic loss caused by power saturation, as a function of the UWB-UMTS device ratio.](image-url)
4.4.4 Sensitivity Analysis of the UWB Power Spectral Density (PSD)

The simulations discussed thus far assumed the UWB devices transmitting at the maximum power allowed by the FCC regulations. The results indicate that for a mean UWB-UMTS device ratio equal to 1, the UMTS network could suffer noticeable performance degradation, as shown in Table 4-15. The variation of the maximum power spectral density (PSD) of the UWB interference to values below the maximum allowed by the FCC could reduce the detrimental effects of UWB on 3G networks. The simulation scenario described in Section 4.4.2, for a mean UWB-UMTS device ratio of 1, was repeated considering different values of the maximum UWB power spectral density (PSD) interference. In this study, the PSD was monotonically decreased from the upper limit of \(-130 \text{ dBm/Hz}\) until the level where the network performance was the same as that achieved for the baseline scenario discussed in section 4.4.1, where no UWB was present.

Figure 4-93 illustrates the variation of the network capacity as the maximum UWB power spectral density (PSD) is decreased, for a mean UWB-UMTS device ratio of 1. The total simulated traffic is 2760 simultaneous users. In the presence of UWB interference at the power spectral density level of \(-130 \text{ dBm/Hz}\), the UMTS network is able to carry 2344.8 simultaneous users, or 6.4\% less than when no interference is present. The carried handoff traffic equates to 899.9 users, representing a 22.3\% decrease from the baseline scenario. The network traffic missed due to lack of pilot coverage is 264.7 users, or 891\% above the baseline scenario. As the UWB interference level decreases, these metrics change monotonically, asymptotically approaching the levels measured for the baseline scenario. At PSD levels below \(-150 \text{ dBm/Hz}\), the degradation due to UWB interference is negligible and the performance of the UMTS network is no longer affected by the presence of UWB devices. This equates to a backoff of 20 dB from the emission limits established by the FCC [5]. Reference [7] presents results of a similar study, where a backoff of 30 dB is recommended. In that study, the behavior of a theoretical UMTS network was simulated using the noise figure of the users’ receivers as the compensation variable to account for the UWB interference. The simulation did not consider the mobility and power variation due to the geographical distribution of the UWB interference. The simplified nature of the model adopted in that study, lacking the
stochastic approach employed here, suggests that the recommendation should be treated as an upper bound figure.

Similarly, Figure 4-94 shows the variation on the network traffic loss due to power saturation, caused by the increased noise level generated by the UWB interference. The graph clearly shows the correlation between the drop in traffic loss due to lack of pilot and the increase in traffic loss due to lack of reverse link mobile power. As the UWB interference decreases, the pilot coverage increases and the UMTS network captures more traffic. This increase in traffic results in more UMTS users loading the network, requiring more reverse link power from each UMTS terminal. Figure 4-94 also shows that the traffic loss due to forward traffic channel power saturation remains constant, indicating that the amount of UWB interference in the network is not affecting that metric.

Figure 4-93 Variation of the UMTS network capacity with the variation of the UWB power spectral density (PSD), for a mean UWB-UMTS ratio equal to 1.
Figure 4-94 Variation of the UMTS network traffic loss caused by power saturation, as a function of the UWB power spectral density (PSD), for a mean UWB-UMTS ratio equal to 1.

Figure 4-95 plots the required power spectral density backoff as a function of the UWB-UMTS device ratio, for the same simulation scenario. The definition of the required power backoff is the amount of reduction in the power spectral density of the UWB signal that results in the UMTS network performance equal to that of the network in the absence of UWB interference. The results show that the required power backoff increases monotonically with the increase in the UWB-UMTS device ratio, at a rate of approximately 8 dB/decade.
4.5 Summary

This chapter presented a methodology for system level simulation of the coexistence between UWB and 3G technologies, using UMTS as an example. The details of 3G network planning tools have been explored and the impact of their most relevant blocks has been discussed.

An algorithm for the simulation of the interaction between UWB interferers and UMTS devices has been proposed, leveraging on the capabilities of existing commercial planning tools. A realization of such algorithm has been presented, along with simulation results for a notional UMTS network subjected to varying levels of UWB interference, respecting the emission limits established by the FCC. The results of these simulations characterize the performance degradation UWB interference can cause on 3G networks. At the present emission limits authorized for UWB, 3G networks will likely suffer noticeable performance degradation in terms of capacity and coverage, if UWB uptake achieves its forecasted mass adoption levels of multiple devices per household or office.
While this study focused on UMTS as a third-generation technology, the principles and methodologies discussed herein can be extrapolated in their entirety to other air interfaces, such as CDMA 2000. The major adjustment required to enable the reuse of the models proposed in this study to other 3G air interfaces is in the carrier bandwidth, which varies depending on the technology.

This concludes the development of this work. The following chapter summarizes the contributions of this research and points to areas of future research related to this topic.
Chapter 5
Conclusions

5.1 Summary and Contributions

The main contribution of this work is the characterization of UWB interference on third-generation (3G) wireless networks, showing the impact that mass adoption of UWB technology can have on those networks. We achieve this contribution by proposing and implementing a methodology that enables realistic, comprehensive and scalable analyses of the effects of UWB on 3G networks. A fundamental component of this framework is the development of an algorithm to model the behavior of the 3G network in the presence of UWB interference. The proposed solution considers the stochastic variables that influence both the 3G users and the UWB interference sources, namely the number of interferers and their spatial distribution.

Studies available in the literature have partially addressed the problem, presenting inconclusive and even contradictory results. The complexities that arise from a comprehensive statistical treatment of the interaction between two systems, with mobile interference sources and victims, have inhibited the exploration of a thorough solution. In available studies, simplifying assumptions about the 3G propagation environment, traffic distribution, usage pattern and UWB interference distribution make the modeling realizable with low complexity, at the expense of accuracy and realism. The range of simplifying assumptions and abstractions in the work available in the literature prevents consistent and converging conclusions.

This work focused on building a simulation framework that takes into consideration the fundamental variables affecting the UWB-3G coexistence problem. Initially, the UWB interference on a narrowband receiver was investigated, with the purpose of determining how to best model its impact on 3G receivers.
Next, and using the results from that investigation, a UWB-3G link level analysis methodology was proposed, aiming at determining how much UWB interference can be expected in a 3G device under typical real single-link situations, assuming the UWB emissions in compliance with the limits established by the FCC.

The link level analysis was then evolved to an in-depth modeling of the 3G system, including the propagation component, with digital elevation models of the terrain and morphology; complete modeling of the radiation pattern of the base station antennas was also accounted for. The technology-specific admission control and radio resource management features encountered in 3G networks were also implemented in the model. Additionally, the mix of service classes 3G networks support was considered. The combination of these components result in a model that realistically describes the behavior of a 3G network.

In the proposed methodology, UWB interference was simulated as a random variable, in which both the number of interferers and their location around the 3G victim receivers change over time. A Monte Carlo algorithm was used to simulate the stochastic behavior of the 3G users and UWB interferers. This algorithm represents the key contribution of this work.

The approach was demonstrated through a practical realization of the framework, with the aid of a commercially available 3G network planning tool, modified to support the UWB interference modeling algorithm proposed in this study.

To complete the research, the performance of a notional UMTS network was simulated using the described implementation. The coverage and capacity aspects were investigated in the presence and absence of UWB interference, allowing for an assessment of the degradation UWB can cause to the overall network performance. The network performance variation as a function of the UWB device density was also studied, showing that the current UWB emission limits established by the FCC can be detrimental to 3G networks. Finally, an illustrative study on the amount of power backoff required to avoid UWB interference on 3G networks is presented, based on the notional UMTS network used as reference.
In summary, the main benefits expected from this research are to:

- Demonstrate that UWB interference can be detrimental to the performance of 3G networks;
- Enable the understanding of how the variables that affect the operation of UWB and 3G affect the coexistence of both systems;
- Allow the study of the UWB-3G coexistence problem in a realistic fashion, accounting for the key variables affecting both systems;
- Allow network operators to model the expected impact of a mass uptake of UWB on 3G networks, should the current FCC-dictated UWB emission limits remain unchanged.

5.2 Related Areas of Research

There are other areas of research related to UWB and its coexistence with legacy systems that were not covered in this dissertation. They could be explored in future research, eventually benefiting from the contributions presented herein.

5.2.1 Impact of UWB on the 3G Power Control

Third-generation air interfaces are equipped with a fast closed-loop power control mechanism intended to mitigate the effects of short-term fading on the link performance. They act on the signal at a rate of, typically, 5 KHz, updating the power level in response to changes in the channel. The burstiness of the UWB signal could potentially attack the 3G power control mechanism, affecting its ability to compensate for channel variations, further impairing the performance of the 3G link. This phenomenon has not been studied in this dissertation, but deserves further probing. Nonetheless, the framework presented here could be used and eventually enhanced to account for such modeling.
5.2.2 Impact of UWB Detect- and-Avoid Mechanisms

As the concern surrounding the impact of UWB on legacy systems grows, UWB technology supporters and manufacturers have been proposing mechanisms to minimize the potential for interference. Detect-and-avoid algorithms, based on sensing the spectrum prior to transmission, have recently been proposed, but their effectiveness on complex network scenarios, such as those discussed in this dissertation, is yet to be assessed. This has not been addressed in this study and could be an area of future research, building upon the work presented here.

5.2.3 Cognitive Radios, UWB & Coexistence

As the need for wideband applications increases, the demand for spectrum grows proportionately. In this context, higher-efficiency methods of spectrum utilization become relevant. Ultra wideband technology can be seen as an attempt to improve the efficiency of spectrum utilization, by allowing the UWB signal to occupy a very large portion of spectrum also used by many other systems. However, UWB is an unlicensed technology, implying that spectrum coordination with legacy systems is not required, creating the potential for interference and performance degradation.

Cognitive radios can be an appealing solution to the burden of spectrum coordination and interference mitigation. They have been receiving increasing interest by the research community, as a solution to improve the efficiency of spectrum utilization while minimizing coordination. Cognitive radios utilize spectrum intelligently, sensing the spectrum before transmitting, aiming at avoid interference with other transmissions. They also utilize spectrum adaptively, adjusting their bandwidth occupation dynamically, according to the transmission requirements and spectrum availability. These principles can be applied to UWB, allowing the technology to better coexist with legacy systems, including 3G networks. The research of cognitive radios applied to UWB, and the benefit it can bring to UWB coexistence with legacy systems could be a potential area of future research.
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